



---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

---

FORM 10-Q

---

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For The Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2008

---

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**  
(State or other jurisdiction  
of incorporation or organization)

**1-5759**  
Commission File Number

**65-0949535**  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**100 S.E. Second Street**  
**Miami, Florida 33131**  
**305/579-8000**

(Address, including zip code and telephone number, including area code, of the principal executive offices)

---

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.  Yes     No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer                       Accelerated filer                       Non-accelerated filer                       Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.  Yes     No

At May 12, 2008, Vector Group Ltd. had 60,361,978 shares of common stock outstanding.

---

---

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

FORM 10-Q

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
<b><u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
<u>Item 1. Vector Group Ltd. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited):</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and March 31, 2007</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the three months ended March 31, 2008</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and March 31, 2007</u>	6
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	39
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	55
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	55
<b><u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	56
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	56
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	56
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	57
<b><u>SIGNATURE</u></b>	58
<u>EX-31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer</u>	
<u>EX-31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer</u>	
<u>EX-32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer</u>	
<u>EX-32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer</u>	
<u>EX-99.1 Material Legal Proceedings</u>	

---

## PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

**VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

	March 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
<b>ASSETS:</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 218,825	\$ 238,117
Investment securities available for sale	45,742	45,875
Accounts receivable — trade	9,080	3,113
Inventories	90,951	86,825
Deferred income taxes	14,826	18,336
Other current assets	3,519	3,360
Total current assets	<u>382,943</u>	<u>395,626</u>
Property, plant and equipment, net	53,106	54,432
Mortgage receivable	21,445	—
Long-term investments accounted for at cost	72,961	72,971
Long-term investment accounted for under the equity method	9,253	10,495
Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses	31,905	35,731
Restricted assets	8,875	8,766
Deferred income taxes	27,160	26,637
Intangible asset	107,511	107,511
Prepaid pension costs	43,105	42,084
Other assets	30,297	31,036
Total assets	<u>\$ 788,561</u>	<u>\$ 785,289</u>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of notes payable and long-term debt	\$ 27,838	\$ 20,618
Accounts payable	5,312	6,980
Accrued promotional expenses	9,610	9,210
Income taxes payable, net	10,654	2,363
Accrued excise and payroll taxes payable, net	5,964	5,327
Settlement accruals	22,133	10,041
Deferred income taxes	98,197	24,019
Accrued interest	4,988	9,475
Other current liabilities	14,786	21,304
Total current liabilities	<u>199,482</u>	<u>109,337</u>
Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion	277,515	277,178
Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	104,026	101,582
Non-current employee benefits	42,343	40,933
Deferred income taxes	64,385	141,904
Other liabilities	13,429	13,503
Total liabilities	<u>701,180</u>	<u>684,437</u>
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized	—	—
Common stock, par value \$0.10 per share, 150,000,000 shares authorized, 60,307,930 and 63,307,020 shares issued and 60,361,978 and 60,361,068 shares outstanding	6,036	6,036
Additional paid-in capital	79,349	89,494
Retained earnings	—	—
Accumulated other comprehensive income	14,853	18,179
Less: 2,945,952 shares of common stock in treasury, at cost	<u>(12,857)</u>	<u>(12,857)</u>
Total stockholders' equity	<u>87,381</u>	<u>100,852</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$ 788,561</u>	<u>\$ 785,289</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2008</b>	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2007</b>
Revenues*	\$ 132,205	\$ 133,892
Expenses:		
Cost of goods sold*	80,007	84,685
Operating, selling, administrative and general expenses	24,157	23,487
Operating income	28,041	25,720
Other income (expenses):		
Interest and dividend income	1,971	1,856
Interest expense	(15,253)	(9,134)
Change in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	(2,444)	27
Provision for loss on investments	—	(1,158)
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses	13,320	2,410
Income from lawsuit settlement	—	20,000
Other, net	(573)	(5)
Income before provision for income taxes	25,062	39,716
Income tax expense	10,755	16,589
Net income	<u>\$ 14,307</u>	<u>\$ 23,127</u>
Per basic common share:		
Net income applicable to common shares	<u>\$ 0.23</u>	<u>\$ 0.36</u>
Per diluted common share:		
Net income applicable to common shares	<u>\$ 0.22</u>	<u>\$ 0.35</u>
Cash distributions declared per share	<u>\$ 0.40</u>	<u>\$ 0.38</u>

\* Revenues and Cost of goods sold include excise taxes of \$40,522 and \$44,485 for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The accompanying notes are an integral part  
of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Treasury Stock	Total
	Shares	Amount					
Balance, December 31, 2007	60,361,068	\$ 6,036	\$ 89,494	\$ —	\$ 18,179	\$ (12,857)	\$ 100,852
Net income	—	—	—	14,307	—	—	14,307
Pension-related minimum liability adjustments, net of taxes	—	—	—	—	195	—	195
Forward contract adjustments, net of taxes	—	—	—	—	9	—	9
Unrealized gain of long-term investments accounted for under the equity method, net of taxes	—	—	—	—	(399)	—	(399)
Unrealized gain on investment securities, net of taxes	—	—	—	—	(3,131)	—	(3,131)
Total other comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	—	(3,326)
Total comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	—	10,981
Distributions on common stock	—	—	(11,039)	(14,307)	—	—	(25,346)
Exercise of options	910	—	12	—	—	—	12
Tax benefit of options exercised	—	—	1	—	—	—	1
Amortization of deferred compensation	—	—	881	—	—	—	881
Balance, March 31, 2008	<u>60,361,978</u>	<u>\$ 6,036</u>	<u>\$ 79,349</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 14,853</u>	<u>\$ (12,857)</u>	<u>\$ 87,381</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part  
of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2008</b>	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2007</b>
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>\$ 14,159</u>	<u>\$ 31,069</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchase of investment securities	(5,182)	(6,032)
Proceeds from sale or liquidation of long-term investments	10	—
Purchase of long-term investments	—	(62)
Purchase of mortgage receivable	(21,445)	—
Distributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses	15,822	1,000
Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses	—	(750)
Increases in cash surrender value of life insurance policies	(143)	(201)
Increase in non-current restricted assets	(109)	(91)
Capital expenditures	(1,227)	(1,710)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(12,274)</u>	<u>(7,846)</u>
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Repayments of debt	(1,501)	(1,702)
Deferred financing charges	(99)	—
Borrowings under revolver	128,429	119,440
Repayments on revolver	(121,303)	(124,803)
Distributions on common stock	(26,717)	(25,934)
Tax benefit of options exercised	1	—
Proceeds from exercise of options	13	846
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>(21,177)</u>	<u>(32,153)</u>
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(19,292)	(8,930)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	<u>238,117</u>	<u>146,769</u>
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	<u>\$ 218,825</u>	<u>\$ 137,839</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part  
of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

**1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

(a) Basis of Presentation:

The condensed consolidated financial statements of Vector Group Ltd. (the “Company” or “Vector”) include the accounts of VGR Holding LLC (“VGR Holding”), Liggett Group LLC (“Liggett”), Vector Tobacco Inc. (“Vector Tobacco”), Liggett Vector Brands Inc. (“Liggett Vector Brands”), New Valley LLC (“New Valley”) and other less significant subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Liggett is engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes in the United States. Vector Tobacco is engaged in the development and marketing of low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarette products and the development of reduced risk cigarette products. New Valley is engaged in the real estate business and is seeking to acquire additional operating companies and real estate properties.

The interim condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company are unaudited and, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments necessary (which are normal and recurring) to state fairly the Company’s consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The consolidated results of operations for interim periods should not be regarded as necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire year.

(b) Distributions and dividends on common stock

The Company records distributions on its common stock as dividends in its condensed consolidated statement of stockholders’ equity to the extent of retained earnings. Any amounts exceeding retained earnings are recorded as a reduction to additional paid-in-capital.

(c) Earnings Per Share (“EPS”):

Information concerning the Company’s common stock has been adjusted to give effect to the 5% stock dividend paid to Company stockholders on September 28, 2007. All per share amounts have been presented as if the stock dividend had occurred on January 1, 2007.

The Company has stock option awards which provide for common stock dividend equivalents at the same rate as paid on the common stock with respect to the shares underlying the unexercised portion of the options. As a result, in its calculation of basic EPS for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company has adjusted its net income for the effect of its participating securities as follows:

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2008</b>	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2007</b>
Net income	\$ 14,307	\$ 23,127
Income attributable to participating securities	(678)	(1,565)
Net income available to common stockholders	<u>\$ 13,629</u>	<u>\$ 21,562</u>

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding, which includes vested restricted stock. Diluted EPS includes the dilutive effect of stock options, unvested restricted stock grants and convertible securities. Basic and diluted EPS were calculated using the following shares for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007:

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2008</b>	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2007</b>
Weighted-average shares for basic EPS	59,973,257	59,364,708
Plus incremental shares related to stock options	1,568,368	1,569,491
Weighted-average shares for diluted EPS	<u>61,541,625</u>	<u>60,934,199</u>

The following stock options, non-vested restricted stock and shares issuable upon the conversion of convertible debt were outstanding during the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 but were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because the exercise prices of the options and the per share expense associated with the restricted stock were greater than the average market price of the common shares during the respective periods, and the impact of common shares issuable under the convertible debt were anti-dilutive to EPS.

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2008</b>	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2007</b>
Number of stock options	215,944	522,767
Weighted-average exercise price	\$ 25.47	\$ 20.07
Weighted-average shares of non- vested restricted stock	69,563	519,749
Weighted-average expense per share	\$ 18.71	\$ 17.84
Weighted-average number of shares issuable upon conversion of debt	12,315,489	12,315,489
Weighted-average conversion price	<u>\$ 18.02</u>	<u>\$ 18.02</u>

All of the issues of the Company's convertible debt were anti-dilutive for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and were not included in the computation of diluted EPS for these periods.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

(d) **Comprehensive Income:**

Other comprehensive income is a component of stockholders' equity and includes such items as the unrealized gains and losses on investment securities available for sale, forward foreign contracts and minimum pension liability adjustments. Total comprehensive income for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 is as follows:

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2008</b>	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2007</b>
Net income	\$ 14,307	\$ 23,127
Forward contract adjustments, net of income taxes	9	1
Pension-related minimum liability adjustments, net of income taxes	195	299
Net unrealized gains of long-term investments accounted under the equity method:		
Net unrealized gains reclassified into net income, net of income taxes	(399)	—
Change in unrealized gains, net of income taxes	(399)	—
Net unrealized gains on investment securities available for sale:		
Change in net unrealized gains, net of income taxes	(3,131)	13,830
Net unrealized gains reclassified into net income, net of income taxes	—	684
Change in unrealized gains, net of income taxes	(3,131)	14,514
Total comprehensive income	<u>\$ 10,981</u>	<u>\$ 37,941</u>

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of income taxes, were as follows as of March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007:

	<u>March 31,</u> <u>2008</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2007</u>
Net unrealized gains on investment securities available for sale, net of income taxes of \$7,776 and \$9,943, respectively	\$ 11,236	\$ 14,367
Net unrealized gains on long-term Investments accounted for under the equity method, net of income taxes of \$0 and \$276, respectively	—	399
Forward contracts adjustment, net of income taxes of \$213 and \$219, respectively	(308)	(317)
Additional pension liability, net of income taxes of \$2,586 and \$2,452 respectively	<u>3,925</u>	<u>3,730</u>
Accumulated other comprehensive income	<u>\$ 14,853</u>	<u>\$ 18,179</u>

(e) Contingencies:

The Company records Liggett's product liability legal expenses and other litigation costs as operating, selling, general and administrative expenses as those costs are incurred. As discussed in Note 8, legal proceedings covering a wide range of matters are pending or threatened in various jurisdictions against Liggett.

Management is unable to make a reasonable estimate with respect to the amount or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of pending tobacco-related litigation or the costs of defending such cases, and the Company has not provided any amounts in its consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes, if any. Litigation is subject to many uncertainties, and it is possible that the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome in any such tobacco-related litigation.

(f) New Accounting Pronouncements:

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements", ("SFAS No. 157") for financial assets and financial liabilities. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements but provides a definition of fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. The Company will adopt SFAS No. 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities on January 1, 2009. The adoption of SFAS No. 157 on financial assets and financial liabilities did not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows. The Company is currently assessing the impact of SFAS No. 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities on its consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities." SFAS No. 159 permits entities to elect to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with early adoption permitted provided the entity also elects to apply the provisions of SFAS No. 157. The Company has not elected to use the fair value option.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), a revised version of SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations." The revision is intended to simplify existing guidance and converge rulemaking under U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") with international accounting rules. This statement applies prospectively to business combinations where the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. An entity may not apply it before that date. The new standard also converges financial reporting under U.S. GAAP with international accounting rules. The Company is currently assessing the impact, if any, of SFAS No. 141(R) on its consolidated financial statements, which will depend on future transactions.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities—an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133". SFAS No. 161 seeks qualitative disclosures about the objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative data about the fair value of and gains and losses on derivative contracts, and details of credit-risk-related contingent features in hedged positions. SFAS No. 161 also seeks enhanced disclosure around derivative instruments in financial statements, accounting under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities", and how hedges affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 is effective for the Company as of January 1, 2009 and the Company does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 161 to have a material impact on its consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

On May 9, 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1, "Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)" ("FSP No. APB 14-1"). The Company is currently assessing the impact of FSP No. APB 14-1 on its consolidated financial statements.

## 2. **RESTRUCTURING**

The only remaining component of the 2006 Vector Research restructuring at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 was employee severance and benefits of \$46 and \$70, respectively. Approximately \$24 was utilized during the three months ended March 31, 2008.

The only remaining component of the 2004 Liggett Vector Brands restructuring at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 was contract termination and exit costs and the balance was \$582 and \$598 at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively. Approximately \$16 was utilized for the three months ended March 31, 2008.

## 3. **INVESTMENT SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE**

Investment securities classified as available for sale are carried at fair value, with net unrealized gains or losses included as a component of stockholders' equity, net of income taxes. The components of investment securities available for sale at March 31, 2008 are as follows:

	<u>Cost</u>	<u>Gross Unrealized Gain</u>	<u>Gross Unrealized Loss</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
Marketable equity securities	\$ 26,730	\$ 19,371	\$ (359)	\$ 45,742

Investment securities available for sale as of March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 include New Valley LLC's 13,888,889 shares of Ladenburg Thalmann Financial Services Inc. ("LTS") common stock, which were carried at \$25,972 and \$29,444, respectively). Investment securities available for sale as of March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 also include 5,057,110 and 2,257,110 shares, respectively, of Opko Health Inc. ("Opko") common stock, which were carried at \$10,418 and \$6,433. In March 2008, the Company acquired 2,800,000 shares of Opko in a private placement. These shares have not been registered for resale but are expected to be freely tradeable within one year.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

**4. INVENTORIES**

Inventories consist of:

	March 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Leaf tobacco	\$ 43,997	\$ 41,502
Other raw materials	4,715	4,847
Work-in-process	811	710
Finished goods	47,248	45,331
Inventories at current cost	96,771	92,390
LIFO adjustments	(5,820)	(5,565)
	<u>\$ 90,951</u>	<u>\$ 86,825</u>

The Company has a leaf inventory management program whereby, among other things, it is committed to purchase certain quantities of leaf tobacco. The purchase commitments are for quantities not in excess of anticipated requirements and are at prices, including carrying costs, established at the commitment date. At March 31, 2008, Liggett had leaf tobacco purchase commitments of approximately \$20,806. There were no leaf tobacco purchase commitments at Vector Tobacco at that date. During 2007, the Company entered into a single source supply agreement for fire safe cigarette paper through 2012.

The Company capitalizes the incremental prepaid cost of the Master Settlement Agreement in ending inventory. For the three months ended March 31, 2008, the Company's MSA expense was reduced by approximately \$1,100 as a result of the MSA assessment for 2007, which was received in March 2008, being less than anticipated.

LIFO inventories represent approximately 95% of total inventories at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007.

**5. LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS**

Long-term investments consist of investments in the following:

	March 31, 2008		December 31, 2007	
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
Investment partnerships accounted for at cost	\$ 72,961	\$ 86,973	\$ 72,971	\$ 89,007
Investments accounted for on the equity method	\$ 9,253	\$ 9,253	\$ 10,495	\$ 10,495

The principal business of these investment partnerships is investing in investment securities and real estate. The estimated fair value of the investment partnerships was provided by the partnerships based on the indicated market values of the underlying assets or investment portfolio. New Valley is an investor in real estate partnerships where it has committed to make additional investments of up to an aggregate of \$172 at March 31, 2008. The investments in these investment partnerships are illiquid and the ultimate realization of these investments is subject to the performance of the underlying partnership and its management by the general partners.

In April 2008, the Company elected to withdraw its investment in Jefferies Buckeye Fund, LLC ("Buckeye Fund"), a privately managed investment partnership, of which Jefferies Asset Management, LLC is the portfolio manager. The Company recorded a loss of \$567 associated with the Buckeye Fund's performance, which has been included as "Other expense" on the Company's condensed consolidated statement of operations, for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The Company received proceeds of \$8,328 in May 2008 and anticipates receiving an additional \$925 of proceeds in the second and third quarters of 2008.

These investments are carried on the condensed consolidated balance sheet at cost. The fair value determination disclosed above would be classified as Level 3 under the SFAS 157 hierarchy disclosed in Note 12 if such assets were recorded on the condensed consolidated balance sheet at fair value. The fair values were determined on unobservable inputs and were based on company assumptions, and information obtained from the partnerships based on the indicated market values of the underlying assets or investment portfolio.

The changes in the fair value of these investments as of March 31, 2008 were as follows:

	Investment partnerships accounted for at cost	Investment partnerships accounted for on the equity method
Balance as of January 1, 2008	\$ 89,007	\$ 10,495
Unrealized loss on long term investments	(2,034)	(675)
Realized loss on long-term investments	—	(567)
Balance as of March 31, 2008	<u>\$ 86,973</u>	<u>\$ 9,253</u>

In the future, the Company may invest in other investments, including limited partnerships, real estate investments, equity securities, debt securities, derivatives and certificates of deposit, depending on risk factors and potential rates of return.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

**6. NOTES PAYABLE, LONG-TERM DEBT AND OTHER OBLIGATIONS**

Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations consist of:

	<u>March 31,</u> <u>2008</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2007</u>
Vector:		
11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015	\$165,000	\$ 165,000
3.875% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures due 2026, net of unamortized discount of \$84,217 and \$84,299*	25,783	25,701
5% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Notes due 2011, net of unamortized net discount of \$46,183 and \$48,027*	65,681	63,837
Liggett:		
Revolving credit facility	21,908	14,782
Term loan under credit facility	7,689	7,822
Equipment loans	8,700	9,660
V.T. Aviation:		
Note payable	6,197	6,470
VGR Aviation:		
Note payable	4,290	4,370
Other	105	154
Total notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations.	305,353	297,796
Less:		
Current maturities	(27,838)	(20,618)
Amount due after one year	<u>\$277,515</u>	<u>\$ 277,178</u>

\* The fair value of the derivatives embedded within the 3.875% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures (\$71,161 and \$67,911 at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively) and the 5% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Notes (\$32,865 at March 31, 2008 and \$33,671 at December 31, 2007, respectively) is separately classified as a derivative liability in the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

**11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 — Vector:**

In August 2007, the Company sold \$165,000 of its 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 (the "Senior Secured Notes") in a private offering to qualified institutional investors in accordance with Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. On April 29, 2008, the Company commenced an offer to exchange the Secured Notes for an equal amount of newly issued 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015. The new Secured Notes have substantially the same terms as the original notes, except that the new Secured Notes have been registered under the Securities Act.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debt — Vector:

Vector has issued two series of variable interest senior convertible debt. Both series of debt pay interest on a quarterly basis at a stated rate plus an additional amount of interest on each payment date. The additional amount is based on the amount of cash dividends paid during the prior three-month period ending on the record date for such interest payment multiplied by the total number of shares of its common stock into which the debt will be convertible on such record date.

A summary of non-cash interest expense associated with the embedded derivative liability associated with the Company's Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debt for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 is as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2008	Three Months Ended March 31, 2007
3.875% convertible debentures	\$ 90	\$ (241)
5% convertible notes	1,188	708
Interest expense associated with embedded derivatives	<u>\$ 1,278</u>	<u>\$ 467</u>

A summary of non-cash changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt is as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2008	Three Months Ended March 31, 2007
3.875% convertible debentures	\$ (3,250)	\$ (891)
5% convertible notes	806	918
(Loss) gain on changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	<u>\$ (2,444)</u>	<u>\$ 27</u>

The following table reconciles the fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt at March 31, 2008.

	3.875% Convertible Debentures	5% Convertible Notes	Total
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 67,911	\$ 33,671	\$101,582
Loss (gain) from changes in fair value of embedded derivatives	3,250	(806)	2,444
Balance at March 31, 2008	<u>\$ 71,161</u>	<u>\$ 32,865</u>	<u>\$104,026</u>

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

*Beneficial Conversion Feature on Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debt:*

A summary of non-cash interest expense associated with the beneficial conversion feature on the Company's Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debt for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 is as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2008	Three Months Ended March 31, 2007
Amortization of beneficial conversion feature:		
3.875% convertible debentures	\$ (8)	\$ (168)
5% convertible notes	656	376
Interest expense associated with beneficial conversion feature.	<u>\$ 648</u>	<u>\$ 208</u>

*Unamortized Debt Discount:*

The following table reconciles unamortized debt discount at March 31, 2008:

	3.875% Convertible Debentures	5% Convertible Notes	Total
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 84,299	\$ 48,027	\$132,326
Amortization of embedded derivative	(90)	(1,188)	(1,278)
Amortization of beneficial conversion feature	8	(656)	(648)
Balance at March 31, 2008	<u>\$ 84,217</u>	<u>\$ 46,183</u>	<u>\$130,400</u>

*Revolving Credit Facility — Liggett:*

Liggett has a \$50,000 credit facility with Wachovia Bank, N.A. ("Wachovia") under which \$21,908 was outstanding at March 31, 2008. Availability as determined under the facility was approximately \$8,200 based on eligible collateral at March 31, 2008.

**7. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS**

*Defined Benefit and Postretirement Plans:*

Net periodic benefit cost for the Company's pension and other postretirement benefit plans for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 consists of the following:

	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	Three Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2008	March 31, 2007	March 31, 2008	March 31, 2007
Service cost — benefits earned during the period	\$ 1,035	\$ 1,062	\$ 4	\$ 4
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	2,381	2,281	148	148
Expected return on plan assets	(3,036)	(3,183)	—	—
Amortization of prior service cost	350	351	—	—
Amortization of net actuarial loss	25	176	(45)	(26)
Net expense	<u>\$ 755</u>	<u>\$ 687</u>	<u>\$ 107</u>	<u>\$ 126</u>

The Company did not make contributions to its pension benefits plans for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and does not anticipate making any contributions to such plans in 2008. The Company anticipates paying approximately \$750 in other postretirement benefits in 2008.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

**8. CONTINGENCIES****Tobacco-Related Litigation:***Overview*

Since 1954, Liggett and other United States cigarette manufacturers have been named as defendants in numerous direct, third-party and purported class actions predicated on the theory that cigarette manufacturers should be liable for damages alleged to have been caused by cigarette smoking or by exposure to secondary smoke from cigarettes. New cases continue to be commenced against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers. The cases generally fall into the following categories: (i) smoking and health cases alleging personal injury brought on behalf of individual plaintiffs (“Individual Actions”); (ii) smoking and health cases primarily alleging personal injury or seeking court-supervised programs for ongoing medical monitoring and purporting to be brought on behalf of a class of individual plaintiffs (“Class Actions”); (iii) health care cost recovery actions brought by various foreign and domestic governmental entities (“Governmental Actions”); and (iv) health care cost recovery actions brought by third-party payors including insurance companies, union health and welfare trust funds, asbestos manufacturers and others (“Third-Party Payor Actions”). As new cases are commenced, the costs associated with defending these cases and the risks relating to the inherent unpredictability of litigation continue to increase. The future financial impact of the risks and expenses of litigation and the effects of the tobacco litigation settlements discussed below are not quantifiable at this time. For the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, Liggett incurred legal expenses and other litigation related costs totaling approximately \$1,363 and \$1,031, respectively.

*Individual Actions*

As of March 31, 2008, there were 37 individual cases pending against Liggett and/or the Company, where one or more individual plaintiffs allege injury resulting from cigarette smoking, addiction to cigarette smoking or exposure to secondary smoke and seek compensatory and, in some cases, punitive damages. In addition, there were approximately 1,900 *Engle* progeny cases (defined below) pending, in state and federal courts in Florida, and approximately 100 individual cases pending in West Virginia state court as part of a consolidated action. The following table lists the number of individual cases by state that are pending against Liggett (excluding *Engle* progeny cases and the cases consolidated in West Virginia) or its affiliates as of March 31, 2008:

<u>State</u>	<u>Number of Cases</u>
Florida	12
New York	11
Louisiana	5
Mississippi	2
West Virginia	2
District of Columbia	1
Maryland	1
Missouri	1
Ohio	1
Pennsylvania	1

Of the individual cases listed above, three name Liggett as the only defendant. In April 2004, in *Davis v. Liggett Group Inc.*, a Florida state court jury awarded compensatory damages of \$540 against Liggett. In addition, plaintiff’s counsel was awarded legal fees of \$752. Liggett appealed both the verdict and the legal fee award. In October 2007, the compensatory award was affirmed by the Fourth District Court of Appeal, but the court certified certain issues to the Florida Supreme Court. On April 16, 2008, the Florida Supreme Court accepted jurisdiction of the certified issues for appeal. Briefing of these issues is pending. In March 2008, the Fourth District Court of Appeal reversed and remanded the legal fee award for further proceedings in the trial court. No amounts have been expensed for this matter. In March 2005, in *Ferlanti v. Liggett Group Inc.*, a Florida state court granted Liggett’s motion for summary judgment. The plaintiff appealed and in June 2006, the appellate court reversed and remanded back to the trial court. The court granted leave to plaintiff to add a claim for punitive damages. Trial commenced on February 19, 2008 and, on February 22, 2008, the court declared a mistrial. This case has since been consolidated with another *Engle* progeny case, where other defendants are named. The only other individual case where Liggett was the sole defendant, *Duecker v. Liggett Group Inc.*, was administratively closed.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

The plaintiffs' allegations of liability in those cases in which individuals seek recovery for injuries allegedly caused by cigarette smoking are based on various theories of recovery, including negligence, gross negligence, breach of special duty, strict liability, fraud, concealment, misrepresentation, design defect, failure to warn, breach of express and implied warranties, conspiracy, aiding and abetting, concert of action, unjust enrichment, common law public nuisance, property damage, invasion of privacy, mental anguish, emotional distress, disability, shock, indemnity and violations of deceptive trade practice laws, the federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act ("RICO"), state RICO statutes and antitrust statutes. In many of these cases, in addition to compensatory damages, plaintiffs also seek other forms of relief including treble/multiple damages, medical monitoring, disgorgement of profits and punitive damages. Although alleged damages often are not determinable from a complaint, and the law governing the pleading and calculation of damages varies from state to state and jurisdiction to jurisdiction, compensatory and punitive damages have been specifically pleaded in a number of cases, sometimes in amounts ranging into the hundreds of millions and even billions of dollars.

Defenses raised by defendants in individual cases include lack of proximate cause, assumption of the risk, comparative fault and/or contributory negligence, lack of design defect, statute of limitations, equitable defenses such as "unclean hands" and lack of benefit, failure to state a claim and federal preemption.

Jury awards representing material amounts of damages have been returned against other cigarette manufacturers in recent years. The awards in these individual actions are for both compensatory and punitive damages. Over the last several years, after conclusion of all appeals, damage awards have been paid to several individual plaintiffs, including an award of \$5,500 in compensatory damages, \$50,000 in punitive damages and \$27,000 in interest in a case against another cigarette manufacturer. There are several significant jury awards against other cigarette manufacturers which are currently on appeal.

*Engle Progeny Cases.* In 2000, a jury in *Engle v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.* rendered a \$145,000,000 punitive damages verdict in favor of a "Florida class" against certain cigarette manufacturers, including Liggett. Pursuant to the Florida Supreme Court's July 2006 ruling in *Engle*, which decertified the class on a prospective basis, and affirmed the appellate court's reversal of the punitive damages award, former class members had one year from January 11, 2007 in which to file individual lawsuits. In addition, some individuals who filed suit prior to January 11, 2007, and who claim they meet the conditions in *Engle*, are attempting to avail themselves of the *Engle* ruling. Lawsuits by individuals requesting the benefit of the *Engle* ruling, whether filed before or after the January 11, 2007 mandate, are referred to as the "*Engle* progeny cases." As of March 31, 2008, Liggett and/or the Company have been served in approximately 1,900 *Engle* progeny cases in both state and federal courts in Florida. Other cigarette manufacturers have been named as defendants in these cases. These cases include approximately 8,150 plaintiffs. Although the deadline for filing *Engle* progeny cases has passed, the total number of cases will increase as not all cases have been served. For further information on the *Engle* case, see "*Class Actions — Engle Case*," below.

#### *Class Actions*

As of March 31, 2008, there were 11 actions pending for which either a class has been certified or plaintiffs are seeking class certification, where Liggett is a named defendant. Other cigarette manufacturers are also named. Many of these actions purport to constitute statewide class actions and were filed after May 1996 when the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, in *Castano v. American Tobacco Co., Inc.* reversed a federal district court's certification of a purported nationwide class action on behalf of persons who were allegedly "addicted" to tobacco products.

*Engle Case.* In May 1994, *Engle* was filed against Liggett and others in Miami-Dade County, Florida. The class consisted of all Florida residents who, by November 21, 1996, "have suffered, presently suffer or have died from diseases and medical conditions caused by their addiction to cigarette smoking." In July 1999, after the conclusion of Phase I of the trial, the jury returned a verdict against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers on certain issues determined by the trial court to be "common" to the causes of action of the plaintiff class. The jury made several findings adverse to the defendants including that defendants' conduct "rose to a level that would permit a potential award or entitlement to punitive damages." Phase II of the trial was a causation and damages trial for three of the class plaintiffs and a punitive damages trial on a class-wide basis, before the same jury that returned the verdict in Phase I. In April 2000, the jury awarded compensatory damages of \$12,704 to the three class plaintiffs, to be reduced in proportion to the respective plaintiff's fault. In July 2000, the jury awarded approximately \$145,000,000 in punitive damages against all defendants, including \$790,000 against Liggett.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

In May 2003, Florida's Third District Court of Appeal reversed the trial court's final judgment and remanded the case with instructions to decertify the class. The judgment in favor of one of the three class plaintiffs, in the amount of \$5,831, was overturned as time barred and the court found that Liggett was not liable to the other two class plaintiffs.

In July 2006, the Florida Supreme Court affirmed the decision vacating the punitive damages award and held that the class should be decertified prospectively, but, preserved several of the trial court's Phase I findings (including that: (i) smoking causes lung cancer, among other diseases; (ii) nicotine in cigarettes is addictive; (iii) defendants placed cigarettes on the market that were defective and unreasonably dangerous; (iv) the defendants concealed material information; (v) all defendants sold or supplied cigarettes that were defective; and (vi) all defendants were negligent) and allowed former class members to proceed to trial on individual liability issues (using the above findings) and compensatory and punitive damage issues, provided they commence their individual lawsuits within one year from January 11, 2007, the date of the court's mandate. In December 2006, the Florida Supreme Court added the finding that defendants sold or supplied cigarettes that, at the time of sale or supply, did not conform to the representations made by defendants. As a result of the decision, as of March 31, 2008, Liggett and/or the Company have been served in approximately 1,900 *Engle* progeny cases. Other cigarette manufacturers have been named in these cases. These cases include approximately 8,150 plaintiffs.

In June 2002, the jury in a Florida state court action entitled *Lukacs v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company*, awarded \$37,500 in compensatory damages in a case involving Liggett and two other cigarette manufacturers. In March 2003, the court reduced the amount of the compensatory damages to \$24,860. The jury found Liggett 50% responsible for the damages incurred by the plaintiff. The *Lukacs* case was the first case to be tried as an individual *Engle* class member suit following entry of final judgment by the *Engle* trial court. After the issuance of the Florida Supreme Court's opinion discussed above, the plaintiff filed a motion requesting that the trial court enter partial final judgment, tax costs and attorneys' fees and schedule trial on the punitive damages claims. Defendants opposed the relief sought by plaintiff on the grounds that the reversal by the Florida Supreme Court of the *Engle* Phase I finding on fraud mandates the reversal of the jury verdict and precludes the entry of final judgment in plaintiff's favor and, in January 2008, filed a submission asking the court to set aside the verdict and dismiss the case. Oral argument was held in March 2007. A further hearing on the motion is scheduled for July 24, 2008. If the court enters judgment in plaintiff's favor, plaintiff contends that interest on the judgment accrues from the date of the verdict. In the event the court enters judgment in plaintiff's favor Liggett intends to appeal, at which time Liggett may be required to post a bond. In addition, plaintiff filed a motion seeking an award of attorneys' fees from Liggett based on plaintiff's prior proposal for settlement.

*Other Class Actions.* Classes remain certified against Liggett in West Virginia (*Blankenship*), Kansas (*Smith*) and New Mexico (*Romero*). *Blankenship* is dormant. *Smith v. Philip Morris* and *Romero v. Philip Morris* are actions in which plaintiffs allege that cigarette manufacturers conspired to fix cigarette prices in violation of antitrust laws. Class certification was granted in *Smith* in November 2001. Discovery is ongoing. Class certification was granted in *Romero* in April 2003 and was affirmed by the New Mexico Supreme Court in February 2005. In June 2006, the trial court granted defendants' motions for summary judgment. Plaintiffs appealed to the New Mexico Court of Appeals. Briefing was completed in August 2007 and the parties are awaiting a decision.

Class action suits have been filed in a number of states against cigarette manufacturers, alleging, among other things, that the use of the terms "light" and "ultra light" constitutes unfair and deceptive trade practices, among other things. One such suit, *Schwab v. Philip Morris*, pending in federal court in New York since 2004, sought to create a nationwide class of "light" cigarette smokers. The action asserted claims under RICO which could result in treble damages. The proposed class sought as much as \$200,000,000 in damages. In September 2006, the court granted plaintiff's motion for class certification. In April 2008, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit granted the defendants' motions to decertify the class. Liggett is a defendant in the *Schwab* case.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

In June 1998, in *Cleary v. Philip Morris, Inc.*, a putative class action was brought in Illinois state court on behalf of persons who were allegedly injured by (i) the defendants' purported conspiracy pursuant to which defendants allegedly concealed material facts regarding the addictive nature of nicotine; (ii) the defendants' alleged acts of targeting their advertising and marketing to minors; and (iii) the defendants' claimed breach of the public's right to defendants' compliance with laws prohibiting the distribution of cigarettes to minors. The plaintiffs request that the defendants be required to disgorge all profits unjustly received through their sale of cigarettes to plaintiffs, which in no event will be greater than \$75 each, inclusive of punitive damages, interest and costs. In July 2006, the plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification. A class certification hearing occurred in September 2007 and the parties are awaiting a decision. Merits discovery is stayed pending a ruling by the court. Liggett is a defendant in the *Cleary* case.

In April 2001, in *Brown v. American Tobacco Co., Inc.*, a California state court granted in part plaintiffs' motion for class certification and certified a class comprised of adult residents of California who smoked at least one of defendants' cigarettes "during the applicable time period" and who were exposed to defendants' marketing and advertising activities in California. In March 2005, the court granted defendants' motion to decertify the class based on a recent change in California law. In October 2006, the plaintiffs filed a petition for review with the California Supreme Court, which was granted in November 2006. Oral argument has not yet been scheduled. Liggett is a defendant in the *Brown* case.

Although not technically a class action, in *In Re: Tobacco Litigation (Personal Injury Cases)*, a West Virginia State court consolidated approximately 750 individual smoker actions that were pending prior to 2001 for trial of certain common issues. In January 2002, the court severed Liggett from the trial of the consolidated action. The consolidation was affirmed on appeal by the West Virginia Supreme Court. In February 2008, the United States Supreme Court denied the defendants' petition for writ of certiorari asking the Court to review the trial plan. It is estimated that Liggett could be a defendant in approximately 100 of the cases. In February 2008, the court granted defendants' motion to stay all proceedings pending United States Supreme Court review in *Good v. Altria Group Inc.*

Class certification motions are pending in a number of other cases and a number of orders denying class certification are on appeal. In addition to the cases described above, numerous class actions remain certified against other cigarette manufacturers, including *Scott v. American Tobacco Co., Inc.* In this case, a Louisiana jury returned a \$591,000 verdict (subsequently reduced by the court to \$279,000) against other cigarette manufacturers to fund medical monitoring or smoking cessation programs for members of the class. The verdict is on appeal.

#### *Governmental Actions*

As of March 31, 2008, there were two Governmental Actions pending against Liggett, only one of which is active. The claims asserted in health care cost recovery actions vary. In these cases, the governmental entities typically assert equitable claims that the tobacco industry was "unjustly enriched" by their payment of health care costs allegedly attributable to smoking and seek reimbursement of those costs. Other claims made by some but not all plaintiffs include the equitable claim of indemnity, common law claims of negligence, strict liability, breach of express and implied warranty, breach of special duty, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy, public nuisance, claims under state and federal statutes governing consumer fraud, antitrust, deceptive trade practices and false advertising, and claims under RICO.

In December 1998, in *City of St. Louis v. American Tobacco Company Inc.*, a case pending in Missouri state court, the City of St. Louis and approximately 50 hospitals brought suit against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers seeking recovery of costs expended by the hospitals on behalf of patients who suffer, or have suffered, from illnesses allegedly resulting from the use of cigarettes. In June 2005, the court granted defendants' motion for summary judgment as to claims for damages which accrued prior to November 16, 1993. The claims for damages which accrued after November 16, 1993 are pending. Discovery is ongoing. A hearing has been scheduled for September 3, 2008 on motions for summary judgment filed by the parties. Trial is scheduled to commence in January 2010.

*DOJ Case.* In September 1999, the United States government commenced litigation against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. The action sought to recover an unspecified amount of health care costs paid for and furnished, and to be paid for and furnished, by the federal government for lung

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

cancer, heart disease, emphysema and other smoking-related illnesses allegedly caused by the fraudulent and tortious conduct of defendants, to restrain defendants and co-conspirators from engaging in alleged fraud and other allegedly unlawful conduct in the future, and to compel defendants to disgorge the proceeds of their unlawful conduct. The action asserted claims under three federal statutes, the Medical Care Recovery Act (“MCRA”), the Medicare Secondary Payer provisions of the Social Security Act (“MSP”) and RICO. In September 2000, the court dismissed the government’s claims based on MCRA and MSP.

In August 2006, the trial court entered a Final Judgment and Remedial Order against each of the cigarette manufacturing defendants, except Liggett. The Final Judgment, among other things, ordered that the non-Liggett defendants are enjoined from: (i) committing any act of racketeering concerning the manufacturing, marketing, promotion, health consequences or sale of cigarettes in the United States; (ii) making any material false, misleading, or deceptive statement or representation concerning cigarettes that persuades people to purchase cigarettes; and (iii) utilizing “lights”, “low tar”, “ultra lights”, “mild”, or “natural” descriptors, or conveying any other express or implied health messages in connection with the marketing or sale of cigarettes, domestically and internationally, commencing January 1, 2007.

No monetary damages were awarded other than the government’s costs. The defendants appealed the Final Judgment in March 2007. In its appellate brief the government acknowledged that it was not appealing the district court’s decision to award no remedy against Liggett. Although this case has been concluded as to Liggett, it is unclear what impact, if any, the Final Judgment will have on the cigarette industry as a whole. To the extent that the Final Judgment leads to a decline in industry-wide shipments of cigarettes in the United States or otherwise imposes regulations which adversely affect the industry, Liggett’s sales volume, operating income and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

*Third-Party Payor Actions*

As of March 31, 2008, there was one Third-Party Payor Action pending against Liggett. Other cigarette manufacturers are also named. The Third-Party Payor Actions typically have been commenced by insurance companies, union health and welfare trust funds, asbestos manufacturers and others. In Third-Party Payor Actions, plaintiffs seek damages for: funding of corrective public education campaigns relating to issues of smoking and health; funding for clinical smoking cessation programs; disgorgement of profits from sales of cigarettes; restitution; treble damages; and attorneys’ fees. Although no specific amounts are provided, it is understood that requested damages against cigarette manufacturers in these cases might be in the billions of dollars.

Several federal circuit courts of appeals and state appellate courts have ruled that Third-Party Payors did not have standing to bring lawsuits against cigarette manufacturers, relying primarily on grounds that plaintiffs’ claims were too remote. The United States Supreme Court has refused to consider plaintiffs’ appeals from the cases decided by five federal circuit courts of appeals.

In June 2005, the Jerusalem District Court in Israel added Liggett as a defendant in an action commenced in 1998 by the largest private insurer in that country, General Health Services, against the major United States cigarette manufacturers. The plaintiff seeks to recover the past and future value of the total expenditures for health care services provided to residents of Israel resulting from tobacco related diseases, court ordered interest for past expenditures from the date of filing the statement of claim, increased and/or punitive and/or exemplary damages and costs. The court ruled that, although Liggett had not sold product in Israel since at least 1978, it might still have liability for cigarettes sold prior to that time. Motions filed by the defendants are pending before the Israel Supreme Court seeking appeal from a lower court’s decision granting leave to plaintiff for foreign service of process.

*Upcoming Trials*

There is one individual action pending in New York state court, *Hausrath v. Philip Morris Inc.*, where Liggett is a defendant, along with other cigarette manufacturers, that has been set for trial on September 8, 2008. There are at least nine individual actions in Florida, all *Engle* progeny cases, that may be set for trial in 2008. Trial dates are subject to change.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

*MSA and Other State Settlement Agreements*

In March 1996, March 1997 and March 1998, Liggett entered into settlements of smoking-related litigation with 45 states and territories. The settlements released Liggett from all smoking-related claims within those states and territories, including claims for health care cost reimbursement and claims concerning sales of cigarettes to minors.

In November 1998, Philip Morris, Brown & Williamson, R.J. Reynolds and Lorillard (the “Original Participating Manufacturers” or “OPMs”) and Liggett (together with any other tobacco product manufacturer that becomes a signatory, the “Subsequent Participating Manufacturers” or “SPMs”) (the OPMs and SPMs are hereinafter referred to jointly as the “Participating Manufacturers”) entered into the Master Settlement Agreement (the “MSA”) with 46 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, the United States Virgin Islands, American Samoa and the Northern Mariana Islands (collectively, the “Settling States”) to settle the asserted and unasserted health care cost recovery and certain other claims of those Settling States. The MSA received final judicial approval in each Settling State.

In the Settling States, the MSA released Liggett from:

- all claims of the Settling States and their respective political subdivisions and other recipients of state health care funds, relating to: (i) past conduct arising out of the use, sale, distribution, manufacture, development, advertising and marketing of tobacco products; (ii) the health effects of, the exposure to, or research, statements or warnings about, tobacco products; and
- all monetary claims of the Settling States and their respective subdivisions and other recipients of state health care funds relating to future conduct arising out of the use of, or exposure to, tobacco products that have been manufactured in the ordinary course of business.

The MSA restricts tobacco product advertising and marketing within the Settling States and otherwise restricts the activities of Participating Manufacturers. Among other things, the MSA prohibits the targeting of youth in the advertising, promotion or marketing of tobacco products; bans the use of cartoon characters in all tobacco advertising and promotion; limits each Participating Manufacturer to one tobacco brand name sponsorship during any 12-month period; bans all outdoor advertising, with certain limited exceptions; prohibits payments for tobacco product placement in various media; bans gift offers based on the purchase of tobacco products without sufficient proof that the intended recipient is an adult; prohibits Participating Manufacturers from licensing third parties to advertise tobacco brand names in any manner prohibited under the MSA; and prohibits Participating Manufacturers from using as a tobacco product brand name any nationally recognized non-tobacco brand or trade name or the names of sports teams, entertainment groups or individual celebrities.

The MSA also requires Participating Manufacturers to affirm corporate principles to comply with the MSA and to reduce underage usage of tobacco products and imposes restrictions on lobbying activities conducted on behalf of Participating Manufacturers. In addition, the MSA provides for the appointment of an independent auditor to calculate and determine the amount of payments owed pursuant to the MSA.

Liggett has no payment obligations under the MSA except to the extent its market share exceeds a market share exemption of approximately 1.65% of total cigarettes sold in the United States. Vector Tobacco has no payment obligations under the MSA, except to the extent its market share exceeds a market share exemption of approximately 0.28% of total cigarettes sold in the United States. According to data from Management Science Associates, Inc., domestic shipments by Liggett and Vector Tobacco accounted for approximately 2.2%, 2.4% and 2.5% of the total cigarettes shipped in the United States in 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively. If Liggett’s or Vector Tobacco’s market share exceeds their respective market share exemption in a given year, then on April 15 of the following year, Liggett and/or Vector Tobacco, as the case may be, would pay on each excess unit an amount equal (on a per-unit basis) to that due by the OPMs for that year. In April 2005, 2006, and 2007, Liggett and Vector Tobacco paid \$20,982, \$10,637 and \$38,743 for their 2004, 2005 and 2006 MSA obligations, respectively. Liggett and Vector Tobacco paid \$35,995 for their 2007 MSA obligations, having prepaid \$34,500 in 2007.

Under the payment provisions of the MSA, the Participating Manufacturers are required to pay a base annual amount of \$9,000,000 in 2008 and each year thereafter (subject to applicable adjustments, offsets and reductions). These annual payments are allocated based on unit volume of domestic cigarette shipments. The payment obligations under the MSA are the several, and not joint, obligations of each Participating Manufacturer and are not the responsibility of any parent or affiliate of a Participating Manufacturer.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

*Certain MSA Disputes*

In 2005, the independent auditor under the MSA calculated that Liggett owed \$28,668 for its 2004 sales. In April 2005, Liggett paid \$11,678 and disputed the balance, as permitted by the MSA. Liggett subsequently paid \$9,304 of the disputed amount, although Liggett continues to dispute that this amount is owed. This \$9,304 relates to an adjustment to its 2003 payment obligation claimed by Liggett for the market share loss to non-participating manufacturers, which is known as the “NPM Adjustment.” At March 31, 2008, included in “Other assets” on the Company’s consolidated balance sheet, was a noncurrent receivable of \$6,513 relating to such amount. The remaining balance in dispute of \$7,686 is comprised of \$5,318 claimed for a 2004 NPM Adjustment and \$2,368 relating to the independent auditor’s retroactive change from “gross” to “net” units in calculating MSA payments, which Liggett contends is improper, as discussed below. From its April 2006 payment, Liggett and Vector Tobacco withheld approximately \$1,600 claimed for the 2005 NPM Adjustment and \$2,612 relating to the retroactive change from “gross” to “net” units. Liggett and Vector Tobacco withheld approximately \$4,200 from their April 2007 payments related to the 2006 NPM Adjustment and approximately \$3,000 relating to the retroactive change from “gross” to “net” units. From its April 2008 payment, Liggett withheld approximately \$4,000 for the 2007 NPM Adjustment and approximately \$3,300 relating to the retroactive change from “gross” to “net” units. Vector Tobacco paid approximately \$200 into the disputed payments account for the 2007 NPM Adjustment.

The following amounts have not been expensed in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements as they relate to Liggett’s and Vector Tobacco’s claim for an NPM adjustment: \$6,513 for 2003, \$3,789 for 2004 and \$800 for 2005.

*NPM Adjustment.* In March 2006, an economic consulting firm selected pursuant to the MSA rendered its final and non-appealable decision that the MSA was a “significant factor contributing to” the loss of market share of Participating Manufacturers for 2003. The economic consulting firm rendered the same decision with respect to 2004 and 2005. As a result, the manufacturers are entitled to potential NPM Adjustments to their 2003, 2004 and 2005 MSA payments. A Settling State that has diligently enforced its qualifying escrow statute in the year in question may be able to avoid application of the NPM Adjustment to the payments made by the manufacturers for the benefit of that state or territory.

Since April 2006, notwithstanding provisions in the MSA requiring arbitration, litigation has been commenced in 49 Settling States over the issue of whether the application of the NPM Adjustment for 2003 is to be determined through litigation or arbitration. These actions relate to the potential NPM Adjustment for 2003, which the independent auditor under the MSA previously determined to be as much as \$1,200,000 for all Participating Manufacturers. To date, all 48 courts that have decided the issue have ruled that the 2003 NPM Adjustment dispute is arbitrable and 36 of those decisions are final. There can be no assurance that Liggett or Vector Tobacco will receive any adjustment as a result of these proceedings.

*Gross v. Net Calculations.* In October 2004, the independent auditor notified Liggett and all other Participating Manufacturers that their payment obligations under the MSA, dating from the agreement’s execution in late 1998, had been recalculated using “net” unit amounts, rather than “gross” unit amounts (which had been used since 1999). The change in the method of calculation could, among other things, require additional MSA payments by Liggett of approximately \$18,300, plus interest, for 2001 through 2007, require an additional payment of approximately \$3,300 for 2008 and require additional amounts in future periods because the proposed change from “gross” to “net” units would serve to lower Liggett’s market share exemption under the MSA.

Liggett has objected to this retroactive change and has disputed the change in methodology. Liggett contends that the retroactive change from using “gross” unit amounts to “net” unit amounts is impermissible for several reasons, including:

- use of “net” unit amounts is not required by the MSA (as reflected by, among other things, the use of “gross” unit amounts through 2005);
- such a change is not authorized without the consent of affected parties to the MSA;

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

- the MSA provides for four-year time limitation periods for revisiting calculations and determinations, which precludes recalculating Liggett's 1997 Market Share (and thus, Liggett's market share exemption); and
- Liggett and others have relied upon the calculations based on "gross" unit amounts since 1998.

No amounts have been expensed or accrued in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for any potential liability relating to the "gross" versus "net" dispute.

*QUEST 3.* Vector Tobacco does not make MSA payments on sales of its QUEST 3 product as Vector Tobacco believes that QUEST 3 does not fall within the definition of a cigarette under the MSA. There can be no assurance that Vector Tobacco's assessment is correct and that additional payments under the MSA for QUEST 3 will not be owed.

*Litigation Challenging the MSA.* In litigation pending in federal court in New York, certain importers of cigarettes allege that the MSA and certain related New York statutes violate federal antitrust and constitutional law. The United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit has held that plaintiffs have stated a claim for relief on antitrust grounds. In September 2004, the court denied plaintiffs' motion to preliminarily enjoin the MSA and certain related New York statutes, but the court issued a preliminary injunction against an amendment repealing the "allocable share" provision of the New York escrow statute. The parties' motions for summary judgment are pending. Additionally, in another proceeding pending in New York federal court, plaintiffs seek to enjoin the statutes enacted by New York and other states in connection with the MSA on the grounds that the statutes violate the Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution and federal antitrust laws. In September 2005, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit held that plaintiffs stated a claim for relief and that the New York federal court had jurisdiction over the other defendant states. In October 2006, the United States Supreme Court denied the petition of the attorneys general for writ of certiorari. Similar challenges to the MSA and MSA-related state statutes are pending in Kentucky, Arkansas, Kansas, Louisiana, Tennessee and Oklahoma. Liggett and the other cigarette manufacturers are not defendants in these cases.

*Other State Settlements.* The MSA replaces Liggett's prior settlements with all states and territories except for Florida, Mississippi, Texas and Minnesota. Each of these four states, prior to the effective date of the MSA, negotiated and executed settlement agreements with each of the other major tobacco companies, separate from those settlements reached previously with Liggett. Liggett's agreements with these states remain in full force and effect, and Liggett made various payments to these states during 1996, 1997 and 1998 under the agreements. These states' settlement agreements with Liggett contained most favored nation provisions which could reduce Liggett's payment obligations based on subsequent settlements or resolutions by those states with certain other tobacco companies. Beginning in 1999, Liggett determined that, based on each of these four states' settlements with United States Tobacco Company, Liggett's payment obligations to those states had been eliminated. With respect to all non-economic obligations under the previous settlements, Liggett believes it is entitled to the most favorable provisions as between the MSA and each state's respective settlement with the other major tobacco companies. Therefore, Liggett's non-economic obligations to all states and territories are now defined by the MSA. In 2003, in order to resolve any potential issues with Minnesota as to Liggett's ongoing economic settlement obligations, Liggett negotiated a \$100 a year payment to Minnesota, to be paid any year cigarettes manufactured by Liggett are sold in that state.

In 2004, the Attorneys General for Florida, Mississippi and Texas advised Liggett that they believed that Liggett had failed to make all required payments under the respective settlement agreements with these states for the period 1998 through 2003 and that additional payments may be due for 2004 and subsequent years. In 2004, Florida and Mississippi proposed settlements to Liggett in the total amount of \$20,000 for the period 1998 through 2003. Further discussions among the parties have not resulted in any resolution of the disputes. Liggett believes these allegations are without merit, based, among other things, on the language of the most favored nation provisions of the settlement agreements.

Except for \$2,500 accrued at March 31, 2008, in connection with the foregoing matters, no other amounts have been accrued in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for any additional amounts that may be payable by Liggett under the settlement agreements with Florida, Mississippi and Texas. There can be no assurance that Liggett will resolve these matters or that Liggett will not be required to make additional material payments, which payments could adversely affect the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

Management is not able to predict the outcome of the litigation pending or threatened against Liggett. Litigation is subject to many uncertainties. For example, in July 2006, the Florida Supreme Court affirmed the intermediate appellate court's decision in the *Engle* case vacating the punitive damages award and held that the class should be decertified prospectively, but, preserved several of the trial court's Phase I findings. In June 2002, the jury in the *Lukacs* case, an individual case brought under the third phase of the *Engle* case, awarded \$37,500 (subsequently reduced by the court to \$24,860) of compensatory damages against Liggett and two other defendants and found Liggett 50% responsible for the damages. If a final judgment is entered, Liggett may be required to bond the amount of the judgment to perfect its appeal. In April 2004, a jury in an individual action in a Florida state court awarded compensatory damages of \$540 against Liggett and legal fees of \$752. The legal fee award was reversed on appeal and remanded to the trial court for further proceedings. It is possible that additional cases could be decided unfavorably against Liggett. As a result of the *Engle* decision, Liggett and/or the Company have been served in approximately 1,900 *Engle* progeny cases. Other cigarette manufacturers have been named in these cases. These cases include approximately 8,150 plaintiffs. Liggett may enter into discussions in an attempt to settle particular cases if it believes it is appropriate to do so.

Management cannot predict the cash requirements related to any future defense costs, settlements or judgments, including cash required to bond any appeals, and there is a risk that those requirements will not be able to be met. An unfavorable outcome of a pending smoking and health case could encourage the commencement of additional similar litigation, or could lead to multiple adverse decisions in the *Engle* progeny cases. Management is unable to make a reasonable estimate with respect to the amount or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of the cases pending against Liggett or the costs of defending such cases and as a result has not provided any amounts in its condensed consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes. The complaints filed in these cases rarely detail alleged damages. Typically, the claims set forth in an individual's complaint against the tobacco industry seek money damages in an amount to be determined by a jury, plus punitive damages and costs.

The tobacco industry is subject to a wide range of laws and regulations regarding the marketing, sale, taxation and use of tobacco products imposed by local, state and federal governments. There have been a number of restrictive regulatory actions, adverse legislative and political decisions and other unfavorable developments concerning cigarette smoking and the tobacco industry. These developments may negatively affect the perception of potential triers of fact with respect to the tobacco industry, possibly to the detriment of certain pending litigation, and may prompt the commencement of additional similar litigation or legislation.

It is possible that the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome in any of the smoking-related litigation.

Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's management are unaware of any material environmental conditions affecting their existing facilities. Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's management believe that current operations are conducted in material compliance with all environmental laws and regulations and other laws and regulations governing cigarette manufacturers. Compliance with federal, state and local provisions regulating the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment, has not had a material effect on the capital expenditures, results of operations or competitive position of Liggett or Vector Tobacco.

**Other Litigation:**

In 1994, New Valley commenced an action against the United States government seeking damages for breach of a launch services agreement covering the launch of one of the Westar satellites owned by New Valley's former Western Union satellite business. In March 2007, the parties entered into a Stipulation for Entry of Judgment to settle New Valley's claims. In May 2007, New Valley received a \$20,000 payment from the government in connection with the settlement. The Company recognized a pre-tax gain in 2007 of \$19,590, net of operating, selling, administrative and general expenses of \$410, in connection with the settlement.

**Other Matters:**

In February 2004, Liggett Vector Brands and another cigarette manufacturer entered into a five year agreement with a subsidiary of the American Wholesale Marketers Association to support a program to permit certain tobacco distributors to secure, on reasonable terms, tax stamp bonds required by state and local governments for the distribution of cigarettes. This agreement was recently extended through 2014. Under the agreement, Liggett Vector Brands has agreed to pay a portion of losses, if any, incurred by the surety under the bond program, with a maximum loss exposure of \$500 for Liggett Vector Brands. To secure its potential obligations under the agreement, Liggett Vector Brands has delivered to the subsidiary of the association a \$100 letter of credit and agreed to fund up to an additional \$400. Liggett Vector Brands has incurred no losses to date under this agreement, and the Company believes the fair value of Liggett Vector Brands' obligation under the agreement was immaterial at March 31, 2008.

There may be several other proceedings, lawsuits and claims pending against the Company and certain of its consolidated subsidiaries unrelated to tobacco or tobacco product liability. Management is of the opinion that the liabilities, if any, ultimately resulting from such other proceedings, lawsuits and claims should not materially affect the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

**9. INCOME TAXES**

Vector's income tax rates for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 do not bear a customary relationship to statutory income tax rates as a result of the impact of nondeductible expenses, state income taxes and interest and penalties accrued on unrecognized tax benefits offset by the impact of the domestic production activities deduction.

The Company's provision for income taxes in interim periods is based on an estimated annual effective income tax rate derived, in part, from estimated annual pre-tax results from ordinary operations in accordance with FIN 18, "Accounting for Income Taxes in Interim Periods—an interpretation of APB Opinion No. 28." For the three months ended March 31, 2008, the Company's income tax provision was reduced because of the impact of the gain on the income from the Company's investment in the St. Regis Hotel, which reduced income tax expense by \$460 due to differences in the Company's marginal tax rate of approximately 41% and its anticipated effective annual income tax rate from ordinary operations of approximately 45%. For the three months ended March 31, 2007, the Company did not include either the benefit from the settlement of a state income tax assessment in March 2007 or the income from the lawsuit settlement with the United States government in the computation of the effective annual income tax rate from estimated pre-tax results from ordinary operations. The benefit from the settlement of the state income tax assessment in March 2007 reduced income tax expense by approximately \$450 and the income from the lawsuit settlement reduced income tax expense by approximately \$800 due to differences in the Company's marginal tax rate of approximately 41% and its anticipated effective annual income tax rate from ordinary operations of approximately 45% in 2007. Accordingly, the provision for income taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2007 has been computed by applying the discrete method in accordance with FIN 18 to account for these two items.

The Company's current deferred income tax liabilities increased by approximately \$75,500 during the three months ended March 31, 2008 as a result of the reclassification of a deferred tax liability from non-current to current liabilities. This reclassification resulted from the Company's settlement with the Internal Revenue Service in July 2006, which required the Company to recognize taxable income of approximately \$192,000 from the Philip Morris brand transaction by March 1, 2009.

**10. NEW VALLEY**

*Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses.* The components of "Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses" were as follows as of March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007:

	<u>March 31, 2008</u>	<u>December 31, 2007</u>
Douglas Elliman Realty LLC	\$ 31,905	\$ 31,893
16 <sup>th</sup> and K Holdings LLC	—	3,838
Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses	<u>\$ 31,905</u>	<u>\$ 35,731</u>

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

*Residential Brokerage Business.* New Valley recorded income of \$1,337 and \$4,156 for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, associated with Douglas Elliman Realty. New Valley's income includes 50% of Douglas Elliman's net income, as well as interest income earned by New Valley on a subordinated loan to Douglas Elliman Realty, increases to income resulting from amortization of negative goodwill which resulted from purchase accounting, and management fees. New Valley received cash distributions from Douglas Elliman Realty LLC of \$325 and \$245 for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Summarized financial information for Douglas Elliman Realty for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 and as of March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 is presented below.

	<u>March 31, 2008</u>	<u>December 31, 2007</u>
Cash	\$ 19,418	\$ 26,916
Other current assets	9,020	9,462
Property, plant and equipment, net	17,444	18,394
Trademarks	21,663	21,663
Goodwill	38,305	38,294
Other intangible assets, net	1,538	1,928
Other non-current assets	919	850
Notes payable — current	584	581
Current portion of notes payable to member - Prudential Real Estate Financial Services Of America, Inc.	4,373	4,373
Current portion of notes payable to member — New Valley	625	625
Other current liabilities	18,516	26,579
Notes payable — long term	890	2,402
Notes payable to member — Prudential Real Estate Financial Services of America, Inc.	12,389	15,115
Notes payable to member — New Valley	8,673	8,583
Other long-term liabilities	7,874	6,599
Members' equity	54,383	52,650

	<u>Three Months Ended</u>	
	<u>March 31,</u>	
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>
Revenues	\$81,363	\$91,849
Costs and expenses	77,229	81,433
Depreciation expense.	1,350	1,600
Amortization expense.	74	87
Interest expense, net	863	1,274
Income tax expense	115	110
Net income	<u>\$ 1,732</u>	<u>\$ 7,345</u>

*16<sup>th</sup> and K Holdings LLC.* In 2007, 16<sup>th</sup> and K Holdings LLC entered into certain agreements to sell 90% of the St. Regis Hotel. The sale closed in March 2008. In addition to retaining a 3% interest, net of incentives, in the St. Regis Hotel, New Valley received \$15,822 in March 2008 and anticipates receiving an additional approximate \$1,400 associated with the sale of the hotel in 2008 and approximately an additional \$5,000 in various installments between 2009 and 2012. The Company recorded the \$15,822 as an investing activity in the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows as the distribution related to the sale of the St. Regis hotel. New Valley recorded equity losses of \$3,796 and \$43 for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, associated with 16<sup>th</sup> and K Holdings LLC. For the three months ended March 31, 2008, New Valley also recorded equity income of \$15,779 in connection with the gain from the sale of the St. Regis because the amount received from 16<sup>th</sup> and K Holdings exceeded the Company's basis in the investment and the Company has no legal obligation to make additional investments to 16<sup>th</sup> and K Holdings.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

*Mortgage receivable.* In March 2008, a subsidiary of New Valley LLC purchased a loan secured by a substantial portion of a 450-acre approved master planned community in Palm Springs, California known as “Escena.” The loan, which is currently in foreclosure, was purchased for its face value plus acquisition costs. The loan is being accounted for under the cost recovery method.

The borrowers are Escena-PSC, LLC and Palm Springs Classic, LLC, a joint venture of Lennar Homes of California, Inc and Empire Land, LLC. Lennar Homes is an affiliate of Lennar Corporation. The project consists of 867 residential lots with site and public infrastructure, a 18-hole Nicklaus Design golf course, a substantially completed clubhouse, and a 450-room hotel site on seven acres of land.

**11. INVESTMENTS AND FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS**

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements”, for financial assets and financial liabilities. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements but rather introduces a framework for measuring fair value and expands required disclosure about fair value measurements of assets and liabilities.

SFAS No. 157 discusses valuation techniques, such as the market approach (comparable market prices), the income approach (present value of future income or cash flow), and the cost approach (cost to replace the service capacity of an asset or replacement cost). The statement clarifies that fair value is an exit price, representing amounts that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants.

SFAS No. 157 utilizes a three-tier fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. The following is a brief description of those three levels:

Level 1	Observable inputs such as quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
Level 2	Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liability, either directly or indirectly. These include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets and quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active.
Level 3	Unobservable inputs in which there is little market data, which requires the reporting entity to develop their own assumptions

This hierarchy requires the use of observable market data, when available, and to minimize the use of unobservable inputs when determining fair value.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

The Company's population of recurring financial assets and liabilities subject to fair value measurements and the necessary disclosures are as follows:

<u>Description</u>	<u>Fair Value Measurements as of March 31, 2008</u>			
	<u>Total</u>	<u>Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)</u>	<u>Significant other Observable Inputs (Level 2)</u>	<u>Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)</u>
<b>Assets:</b>				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$211,896	\$ 211,896	\$ —	\$ —
Investment securities available for sale	45,742	39,897	5,845	—
Total	<u>\$254,036</u>	<u>\$ 248,191</u>	<u>\$ 5,845</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Fair Value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	<u>\$104,026</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 104,026</u>

The fair value of investment securities available for sale included in Level 1 are based on quoted market prices from various stock exchanges. The \$5,845 of the investments securities available for sale in Level 2 are not registered and therefore do not have direct market quotes.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

The fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt were derived using a valuation model and have been classified as Level 3. The valuation model assumes future dividend payments by the company and utilizes interest rates and credit spreads for secured to unsecured debt, unsecured to subordinated debt and subordinated debt to preferred stock to determine the fair value of the derivatives embedded within the convertible debt. The changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt as of March 31, 2008 are disclosed in Note 6.

**12. SEGMENT INFORMATION**

The Company's significant business segments for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 were Liggett, Vector Tobacco and New Valley. The Liggett segment consists of the manufacture and sale of conventional cigarettes and, for segment reporting purposes, includes the operations of Medallion acquired on April 1, 2002 (which operations are held for legal purposes as part of Vector Tobacco). The Vector Tobacco segment includes the development and marketing of the low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarette products as well as the development of reduced risk cigarette products and, for segment reporting purposes, excludes the operations of Medallion. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies. The New Valley segment includes the Company's equity income and investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses and mortgage receivable.

Financial information for the Company's continuing operations before taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 follows:

	<u>Liggett</u>	<u>Vector Tobacco</u>	<u>Real Estate</u>	<u>Corporate and Other</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>Three months ended March 31, 2008</u>					
Revenues	\$131,645	\$ 560	—	—	\$132,205
Operating income (loss)	37,344	(2,410)	—	(6,893)	28,041
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	13,320	—	13,320
Identifiable assets	336,829	2,176	53,350	396,206	788,561
Depreciation and amortization	1,853	30	—	585	2,468
Capital expenditures	1,215	12	—	—	1,227
<u>Three months ended March 31, 2007</u>					
Revenues	\$132,813	\$ 1,079	\$ —	\$ —	\$133,892
Operating income (loss)	35,460	(2,304)	—	(7,436)	25,720
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	2,410	—	2,410
Identifiable assets	311,479	7,808	30,455	315,204	664,946
Depreciation and amortization	2,011	33	—	585	2,629
Capital expenditures	1,666	44	—	—	1,710

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

**13. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

The accompanying condensed consolidating financial information has been prepared and presented pursuant to Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation S-X, Rule 3-10, "Financial Statements of Guarantors and Issuers of Guaranteed Securities Registered or Being Registered". Each of the subsidiary guarantors are 100% owned, directly or indirectly, by the Company, and all guarantees are full and unconditional and joint and several. The Company's investments in its consolidated subsidiaries are presented under the equity method of accounting.

The 11% Senior Secured Notes issued due 2015, issued on August 16, 2007 by Vector are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several basis by all of the 100%-owned domestic subsidiaries of the Company that are engaged in the conduct of its cigarette businesses. (See Note 6.) The notes are not guaranteed by any of the Company's subsidiaries engaged in the real estate businesses conducted through its subsidiary New Valley LLC. Presented herein are unaudited condensed consolidating balance sheets as of March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the related unaudited condensed consolidating statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 and the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 of the Company (Parent/Issuer), the guarantor subsidiaries (Subsidiary Guarantors) and the subsidiaries that are not guarantors (Subsidiary Non-Guarantors).

The indenture contains covenants that restrict the payment of dividends by the Company if the Company's consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("Consolidated EBITDA"), as defined in the indenture, for the most recently ended four full quarters is less than \$50,000. The indenture also restricts the incurrence of debt if the Company's Leverage Ratio and its Secured Leverage Ratio, as defined in the indenture, exceed 3.0 and 1.5, respectively. The Company's Leverage Ratio is defined in the indenture as the ratio of the Company's and the guaranteeing subsidiaries' total debt less the fair market value of the Company's and the guaranteeing subsidiaries' cash and cash equivalents, investments in securities and long-term investments to Consolidated EBITDA, as defined in the indenture. The Company's Secured Leverage Ratio is defined in the indenture in the same manner as the Leverage Ratio, except that secured indebtedness is substituted for indebtedness.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  
**Unaudited**

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS**

	<b>March 31, 2008</b>				
	<b>Parent/ Issuer</b>	<b>Subsidiary Guarantors</b>	<b>Subsidiary Non- Guarantors</b>	<b>Consolidating Adjustments</b>	<b>Consolidated Vector Group Ltd.</b>
<b>ASSETS:</b>					
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 212,459	\$ 6,366	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 218,825
Investment securities available for sale	45,664	—	78	—	45,742
Accounts receivable — trade	—	9,080	—	—	9,080
Intercompany receivables	90	—	—	(90)	—
Inventories	—	90,951	—	—	90,951
Deferred income taxes	14,464	362	—	—	14,826
Income taxes receivable	—	14,774	—	(14,774)	—
Other current assets	303	3,216	—	—	3,519
Total current assets	<u>272,980</u>	<u>124,749</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>(14,864)</u>	<u>382,943</u>
Property, plant and equipment, net	834	52,272	—	—	53,106
Mortgage receivable	—	—	21,445	—	21,445
Long-term investments accounted for at cost	72,233	—	728	—	72,961
Long-term investments accounted under the equity method	9,253	—	—	—	9,253
Investments in non- consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	31,905	—	31,905
Investments in consolidated subsidiaries	220,395	—	—	(220,395)	—
Restricted assets	3,951	4,924	—	—	8,875
Deferred income taxes	21,928	905	4,327	—	27,160
Intangible asset	—	107,511	—	—	107,511
Prepaid pension costs	—	43,105	—	—	43,105
Other assets	17,350	12,946	1	—	30,297
Total assets	<u>\$ 618,924</u>	<u>\$ 346,412</u>	<u>\$ 58,484</u>	<u>\$ (235,259)</u>	<u>\$ 788,561</u>

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  
**Unaudited**

	March 31, 2008				
	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Subsidiary Non- Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated Vector Group Ltd.
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:</b>					
<b>Current liabilities:</b>					
Current portion of notes payable and long-term debt	\$ —	\$ 27,838	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 27,838
Accounts payable	364	4,942	6	—	5,312
Intercompany payables	—	90	—	(90)	—
Accrued promotional expenses	—	9,610	—	—	9,610
Income taxes payable, net	3,848	—	21,580	(14,774)	10,654
Accrued excise and payroll taxes payable, net	—	5,964	—	—	5,964
Settlement accruals	—	22,133	—	—	22,133
Deferred income taxes	86,223	11,974	—	—	98,197
Accrued interest	4,988	—	—	—	4,988
Other current liabilities	3,826	10,181	779	—	14,786
Total current liabilities	99,249	92,732	22,365	(14,864)	199,482
Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion	256,466	21,049	—	—	277,515
Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	104,026	—	—	—	104,026
Non-current employee benefits	27,178	15,165	—	—	42,343
Deferred income taxes	44,143	20,132	110	—	64,385
Other liabilities	481	10,523	2,425	—	13,429
Total liabilities	531,543	159,601	24,900	(14,864)	701,180
Commitments and contingencies	—	—	—	—	—
Stockholders' equity	87,381	186,811	33,584	(220,395)	87,381
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$ 618,924</u>	<u>\$ 346,412</u>	<u>\$ 58,484</u>	<u>\$ (235,259)</u>	<u>\$ 788,561</u>

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  
**Unaudited**

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS**

	<b>December 31, 2007</b>				
	<b>Parent/ Issuer</b>	<b>Subsidiary Guarantors</b>	<b>Subsidiary Non- Guarantors</b>	<b>Consolidating Adjustments</b>	<b>Consolidated Vector Group Ltd.</b>
<b>ASSETS:</b>					
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 228,901	\$ 9,216	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 238,117
Investment securities available for sale	45,841	—	34	—	45,875
Accounts receivable — trade	—	3,113	—	—	3,113
Intercompany receivables	19	—	—	(19)	—
Inventories	—	86,825	—	—	86,825
Deferred income taxes	18,003	333	—	—	18,336
Income taxes receivable	27,364	—	—	(27,364)	—
Other current assets	103	3,257	—	—	3,360
Total current assets	<u>320,231</u>	<u>102,744</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>(27,383)</u>	<u>395,626</u>
Property, plant and equipment, net	867	53,565	—	—	54,432
Long-term investments accounted for at cost	72,233	—	738	—	72,971
Long-term investments accounted under the equity method	10,495	—	—	—	10,495
Investments in non- consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	35,731	—	35,731
Investments in consolidated subsidiaries	190,354	—	—	(190,354)	—
Restricted assets	3,859	4,907	—	—	8,766
Deferred income taxes	21,288	883	4,466	—	26,637
Intangible asset	—	107,511	—	—	107,511
Prepaid pension costs	—	42,084	—	—	42,084
Other assets	18,066	12,970	—	—	31,036
Total assets	<u>\$ 637,393</u>	<u>\$ 324,664</u>	<u>\$ 40,969</u>	<u>\$ (217,737)</u>	<u>\$ 785,289</u>

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  
**Unaudited**

	December 31, 2007				
	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Subsidiary Non- Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated Vector Group Ltd.
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:</b>					
<b>Current liabilities:</b>					
Current portion of notes payable and long-term debt	\$ —	\$ 20,618	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 20,618
Accounts payable	2,194	4,786	—	—	6,980
Intercompany payables	—	19	—	(19)	—
Accrued promotional expenses	—	9,210	—	—	9,210
Income taxes payable, net	—	13,245	16,482	(27,364)	2,363
Accrued excise and payroll taxes payable, net	—	5,327	—	—	5,327
Settlement accruals	—	10,041	—	—	10,041
Deferred income taxes	20,218	3,801	—	—	24,019
Accrued interest	9,475	—	—	—	9,475
Other current liabilities	6,486	14,118	700	—	21,304
Total current liabilities	38,373	81,165	17,182	(27,383)	109,337
Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion	254,538	22,640	—	—	277,178
Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	101,582	—	—	—	101,582
Non-current employee benefits	25,983	14,950	—	—	40,933
Deferred income taxes	115,571	26,223	110	—	141,904
Other liabilities	494	10,571	2,438	—	13,503
Total liabilities	536,541	155,549	19,730	(27,383)	684,437
Commitments and contingencies	—	—	—	—	—
Stockholders' equity	100,852	169,115	21,239	(190,354)	100,852
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$ 637,393</u>	<u>\$ 324,664</u>	<u>\$ 40,969</u>	<u>\$ (217,737)</u>	<u>\$ 785,289</u>

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  
**Unaudited**

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2008</b>				<b>Consolidated Vector Group Ltd.</b>
	<b>Parent/ Issuer</b>	<b>Subsidiary Guarantors</b>	<b>Subsidiary Non- Guarantors</b>	<b>Consolidating Adjustments</b>	
Revenues	\$ —	\$ 132,205	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 132,205
Expenses:					
Cost of goods sold	—	80,007	—	—	80,007
Operating, selling, administrative and general expenses	7,194	16,568	395	—	24,157
Management fee expense	—	1,985	—	(1,985)	—
Operating income (loss)	(7,194)	33,645	(395)	1,985	28,041
Other income (expenses):					
Interest and dividend income	1,896	75	—	—	1,971
Interest expense	(14,671)	(582)	—	—	(15,253)
Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	(2,444)	—	—	—	(2,444)
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	13,320	—	13,320
Equity income in consolidated subsidiaries	27,742	—	—	(27,742)	—
Management fee income	1,985	—	—	(1,985)	—
Other, net	(569)	—	(4)	—	(573)
Income before provision for income taxes	6,745	33,138	12,921	(27,742)	25,062
Income tax benefit (expense)	7,562	(13,032)	(5,285)	—	(10,755)
Net income	<u>\$ 14,307</u>	<u>\$ 20,106</u>	<u>\$ 7,636</u>	<u>\$ (27,742)</u>	<u>\$ 14,307</u>

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  
**Unaudited**

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2007</b>				<b>Consolidated Vector Group Ltd.</b>
	<b>Parent/ Issuer</b>	<b>Subsidiary Guarantors</b>	<b>Subsidiary Non- Guarantors</b>	<b>Consolidating Adjustments</b>	
Revenues	\$ —	\$ 133,892	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 133,892
Expenses:					
Cost of goods sold	—	84,685	—	—	84,685
Operating, selling, administrative and general expenses	7,772	15,096	619	—	23,487
Management fee expense	—	1,917	—	(1,917)	—
Operating income (loss)	(7,772)	32,194	(619)	1,917	25,720
Other income (expenses):					
Interest and dividend income	4,272	139	—	(2,555)	1,856
Interest expense	(8,138)	(3,551)	—	2,555	(9,134)
Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	27	—	—	—	27
Provision for loss on Investments, net	2	—	(1,160)	—	(1,158)
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	2,410	—	2,410
Income from lawsuit settlement.	—	—	20,000	—	20,000
Equity income in consolidated subsidiaries	29,117	—	—	(29,117)	—
Management fee income	1,917	—	—	(1,917)	—
Other, net	(4)	1	(2)	—	(5)
Income before provision for income taxes	19,421	28,783	20,629	(29,117)	39,716
Income tax benefit (expense)	3,706	(12,326)	(7,969)	—	(16,589)
Net income	<u>\$ 23,127</u>	<u>\$ 16,457</u>	<u>\$ 12,660</u>	<u>\$ (29,117)</u>	<u>\$ 23,127</u>

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  
**Unaudited**

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2008</b>				
	<b>Parent/ Issuer</b>	<b>Subsidiary Guarantors</b>	<b>Subsidiary Non- Guarantors</b>	<b>Consolidating Adjustments</b>	<b>Consolidated Vector Group Ltd.</b>
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 16,735	\$ (4,689)	\$ 968	\$ 1,145	\$ 14,159
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>					
Purchase of investment securities	(5,182)	—	—	—	(5,182)
Proceeds from sale or liquidation of long-term investments	—	—	10	—	10
Purchase of mortgage receivable	—	—	(21,445)	—	(21,445)
Distributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	15,822	—	15,822
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance policies	(101)	(42)	—	—	(143)
(Increase) decrease in non-current restricted assets	(92)	(17)	—	—	(109)
Investments in subsidiaries	(1,000)	—	—	1,000	—
Capital expenditures	—	(1,227)	—	—	(1,227)
Net cash used in investing activities	(6,375)	(1,286)	(5,613)	1,000	(12,274)
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>					
Repayments of debt	—	(1,501)	—	—	(1,501)
Deferred financing charges	(99)	—	—	—	(99)
Borrowings under revolver	—	128,429	—	—	128,429
Repayments on revolver	—	(121,303)	—	—	(121,303)
Capital contributions received	—	1,000	4,645	(5,645)	—
Intercompany dividends paid	—	(3,500)	—	3,500	—
Dividends and distributions on common stock	(26,717)	—	—	—	(26,717)
Proceeds from exercise of Vector options and warrants	13	—	—	—	13
Tax benefit of options exercised	1	—	—	—	1
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(26,802)	3,125	4,645	(2,145)	(21,177)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(16,442)	(2,850)	—	—	(19,292)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	228,901	9,216	—	—	238,117
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	<u>\$ 212,459</u>	<u>\$ 6,366</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 218,825</u>

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  
**Unaudited**

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2007</b>				<b>Consolidated Vector Group Ltd.</b>
	<b>Parent/ Issuer</b>	<b>Subsidiary Guarantors</b>	<b>Subsidiary Non- Guarantors</b>	<b>Consolidating Adjustments</b>	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 17,357	\$ 38,902	\$ 98	\$ (25,288)	\$ 31,069
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>					
Purchase of investment securities	(6,032)	—	—	—	(6,032)
Purchase of long-term investments	—	—	(62)	—	(62)
Distributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	1,000	—	1,000
Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	(750)	—	(750)
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance policies	(93)	(108)	—	—	(201)
(Increase) decrease in non-current restricted assets	(150)	59	—	—	(91)
Receipt of repayment of notes receivable	4,000	—	—	(4,000)	—
Investments in subsidiaries	(950)	—	—	950	—
Capital expenditures	—	(1,710)	—	—	(1,710)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	<u>(3,225)</u>	<u>(1,759)</u>	<u>188</u>	<u>(3,050)</u>	<u>(7,846)</u>
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>					
Repayments of debt	—	(5,702)	—	4,000	(1,702)
Borrowings under revolver	—	119,440	—	—	119,440
Repayments on revolver	—	(124,803)	—	—	(124,803)
Distributions on common stock	(25,934)	—	—	—	(25,934)
Capital contributions received	—	950	—	(950)	—
Intercompany dividends	—	(25,000)	(288)	25,288	—
Proceeds from exercise of options and warrants	846	—	—	—	846
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>(25,088)</u>	<u>(35,115)</u>	<u>(288)</u>	<u>28,338</u>	<u>(32,153)</u>
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents.	(10,956)	2,028	(2)	—	(8,930)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	132,942	13,797	30	—	146,769
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	<u>\$ 121,986</u>	<u>\$ 15,825</u>	<u>\$ 28</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 137,839</u>

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

### Overview

We are a holding company and are engaged principally in:

- the manufacture and sale of cigarettes in the United States through our subsidiary Liggett Group LLC,
- the development and marketing of the low nicotine and nicotine-free QUEST cigarette products and the development of reduced risk cigarette products through our subsidiary Vector Tobacco Inc., and
- the real estate business through our subsidiary, New Valley LLC, which is seeking to acquire additional operating companies and real estate properties. New Valley owns 50% of Douglas Elliman Realty, LLC, which operates the largest residential brokerage company in the New York metropolitan area.

In recent years, we have undertaken a number of initiatives to streamline the cost structure of our tobacco business and improve operating efficiency and long-term earnings. We may consider various additional opportunities to further improve efficiencies and reduce costs. These prior initiatives have involved material restructuring and impairment charges, and any further actions taken are likely to involve material charges as well. Although management may estimate that substantial cost savings will be associated with these restructuring actions, there is a risk that these actions could have a serious negative impact on our tobacco operations and that any estimated increases in profitability cannot be achieved.

All of Liggett's unit sales volume in 2007 and the first three months ended March 31, 2008 was in the discount segment, which Liggett's management believes has been the primary growth segment in the industry for over a decade. The significant discounting of premium cigarettes in recent years has led to brands, such as EVE, that were traditionally considered premium brands to become more appropriately categorized as discount, following list price reductions.

Liggett's cigarettes are produced in approximately 245 combinations of length, style and packaging. Liggett's current brand portfolio includes:

- LIGGETT SELECT — the third largest brand in the deep discount category,
- GRAND PRIX — a growing brand in the deep discount segment,
- EVE — a leading brand of 120 millimeter cigarettes in the branded discount category,
- PYRAMID — the industry's first deep discount product with a brand identity, and
- USA and various Partner Brands and private label brands.

In 1999, Liggett introduced LIGGETT SELECT, one of the leading brands in the deep discount category. LIGGETT SELECT was the largest seller in Liggett's family of brands in 2007 and comprised 32.9% of Liggett's unit volume in 2007. In September 2005, Liggett repositioned GRAND PRIX to distributors and retailers nationwide. GRAND PRIX is marketed as the "lowest price fighter" to specifically compete with brands which are priced at the lowest level of the deep discount segment.

## Table of Contents

Under the Master Settlement Agreement reached in November 1998 with 46 states and various territories, the three largest cigarette manufacturers must make settlement payments to the states and territories based on how many cigarettes they sell annually. Liggett, however, is not required to make any payments unless its market share exceeds approximately 1.65% of the U.S. cigarette market. Additionally, Vector Tobacco has no payment obligation unless its market share exceeds approximately 0.28% of the U.S. market. Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's payments under the Master Settlement Agreement are based on each company's incremental market share above the minimum threshold applicable to such company. We believe that Liggett has gained a sustainable cost advantage over its competitors as a result of the settlement.

The discount segment is a challenging marketplace, with consumers having less brand loyalty and placing greater emphasis on price. Liggett's competition is now divided into two segments. The first segment is made up of the four largest manufacturers of cigarettes in the United States, Philip Morris USA Inc., Reynolds America Inc. (following the combination of RJR Tobacco and Brown & Williamson's United States tobacco business in July 2004), Lorillard Tobacco Company and Commonwealth Brands, Inc. (which Imperial Tobacco PLC acquired in 2007). The three largest manufacturers, while primarily premium cigarette based companies, also produce and sell discount cigarettes. The second segment of competition is comprised of a group of smaller manufacturers and importers, most of which sell lower quality, deep discount cigarettes.

### **Recent Developments**

*NASA Settlement.* In 1994, New Valley commenced an action against the United States government seeking damages for breach of a launch services agreement covering the launch of one of the Westar satellites owned by New Valley's former Western Union satellite business. In March 2007, the parties entered into a Stipulation for Entry of Judgment to settle New Valley's claims and, pursuant to the settlement, \$20,000 was paid in May 2007. In the first quarter of 2007, we recognized a pre-tax gain of \$19,590, which consisted of other non-operating income of \$20,000 and \$410 of selling, general and administrative expenses, in connection with the settlement.

*Issuance of 11% Senior Secured Notes.* In August 2007, we sold \$165,000 principal amount of our 11% Senior Secured Notes due August 15, 2015 in a private offering to qualified institutional investors in accordance with Rule 144A under the Securities Act. We intend to use the net proceeds of the issuance for general corporate purposes which may include working capital requirements, the financing of capital expenditures, future acquisitions, the repayment or refinancing of outstanding indebtedness, payment of dividends and distributions and the repurchase of all or any part of our outstanding convertible notes.

*Proposed and enacted excise tax increases.* Congress is considering proposals to increase the federal excise tax by as much as \$0.61 per pack. Eleven states enacted increases to state excise taxes in 2007. Further increases in states' taxes are expected in 2008.

*Tobacco Settlement Agreements.* In October 2004, the independent auditor under the Master Settlement Agreement notified Liggett and all other Participating Manufacturers that their payment obligations under the Master Settlement Agreement, dating from the agreement's execution in late 1998, had been recalculated using "net" unit amounts, rather than "gross" unit amounts (which had been used since 1999 to calculate market share and the allocation of the base amount of payments under the Master Settlement Agreement). The change in the method of calculation could, among other things, require additional Master Settlement Agreement payments by Liggett of approximately \$18,300, plus interest, for 2001 through 2007, require an additional payment of approximately \$3,300 for 2008 and require additional amounts in future periods because the proposed change from "gross" to "net" units would serve to lower Liggett's market share exemption under the Master Settlement Agreement. Liggett has objected to this retroactive change and has disputed the change in methodology. No amounts have been accrued or expensed in our consolidated financial statements for any potential liability relating to the "gross" versus "net" dispute.

## Table of Contents

In 2005, the independent auditor under the Master Settlement Agreement calculated that Liggett owed \$28,668 for its 2004 sales. Liggett paid \$11,678 and disputed the balance, as permitted by the Master Settlement Agreement. Liggett subsequently paid \$9,304 of the disputed amount, although Liggett continues to dispute that this amount is owed. This \$9,304 relates to an adjustment to its 2003 payment obligation claimed by Liggett for the market share loss to non-participating manufacturers, which is known as the "NPM Adjustment." At March 31, 2008, included in "Other assets" on our consolidated balance sheet was a receivable of \$6,513 relating to such amount. The remaining balance in dispute of \$7,686 is comprised of \$5,318 claimed for a 2004 NPM Adjustment and \$2,368 relating to the independent auditor's retroactive change from "gross" to "net" units in calculating Master Settlement Agreement payments, which Liggett contends is improper, as discussed above. From its April 2006 payment, Liggett and Vector Tobacco withheld approximately \$1,600 claimed for the 2005 NPM Adjustment and \$2,612 relating to the retroactive change from "gross" to "net" units. Liggett and Vector Tobacco withheld approximately \$4,200 from their April 2007 payments related to the 2006 NPM Adjustment and approximately \$3,000 relating to the retroactive change from "gross" to "net" units. From its April 2008 payment, Liggett withheld approximately \$4,000 for the 2007 NPM Adjustment and approximately \$3,300 related to the retroactive change from "gross" to "net" units. Vector Tobacco paid approximately \$200 into the disputed payments account for the 2007 NPM Adjustment.

The following amounts have not been expensed in our consolidated financial statements as they relate to Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's claim for an NPM Adjustment: \$6,513 for 2003, \$3,789 for 2004 and \$800 for 2005.

In March 2006, an economic consulting firm selected pursuant to the Master Settlement Agreement rendered its final and non-appealable decision that the Master Settlement Agreement was a "significant factor contributing to" the loss of market share of Participating Manufacturers for 2003. The economic consulting firm rendered the same decision with respect to 2004 and 2005. As a result, the manufacturers are entitled to potential NPM Adjustments to their 2003, 2004 and 2005 Master Settlement Agreement payments. A Settling State that has diligently enforced its qualifying escrow statute in the year in question may be able to avoid application of the NPM Adjustment to the payments made by the manufacturers for the benefit of that state or territory.

Since April 2006, notwithstanding provisions in the Master Settlement Agreement requiring arbitration, litigation has been commenced in 49 Settling States and territories over the issue of whether the application of the NPM Adjustment for 2003 is to be determined through litigation or arbitration. These actions relate to the potential NPM Adjustment for 2003, which the independent auditor under the Master Settlement Agreement previously determined to be as much as \$1,200,000 for all Participating Manufacturers. To date, all 48 courts that have decided the issue have ruled that the 2003 NPM Adjustment dispute is arbitrable and 36 of these decisions are final. There can be no assurance that Liggett or Vector Tobacco will receive any adjustment as a result of these proceedings.

Vector Tobacco does not make MSA payments on sales of its QUEST 3 product as Vector Tobacco believes that QUEST 3 does not fall within the definition of a cigarette under the MSA. There can be no assurance that Vector Tobacco's assessment is correct and that additional payments under the MSA for QUEST 3 will not be owed.

In 2003, in order to resolve any potential issues with Minnesota as to Liggett's ongoing economic settlement obligations, Liggett negotiated a \$100 a year payment to Minnesota, to be paid any year cigarettes manufactured by Liggett are sold in that state. In 2004, the Attorneys General for each of Florida, Mississippi and Texas advised Liggett that they believed that Liggett

## [Table of Contents](#)

has failed to make all required payments under the respective settlement agreements with these states for the period 1998 through 2003 and that additional payments may be due for 2004 and subsequent years. In 2004, Florida and Mississippi proposed settlements to Liggett in the amount of \$20,000 for the period 1998 through 2003. Further discussions among the parties have not resulted in any resolutions of the disputes. Liggett believes these allegations are without merit, based, among other things, on the language of the most favored nation provisions of the settlement agreements.

Except for \$2,500 accrued as of March 31, 2008, in connection with the foregoing matters, no other amounts have been accrued in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for any additional amounts that may be payable by Liggett under the settlement agreements with Florida, Mississippi and Texas. There can be no assurance that Liggett will resolve these matters and that Liggett will not be required to make additional material payments, which payments could adversely affect our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

*Real Estate Activities.* New Valley accounts for its 50% interests in Douglas Elliman Realty LLC, Koa Investors LLC and 16<sup>th</sup> & K Holdings LLC on the equity method. Prior to the fourth quarter of 2007, New Valley accounted for its interest in Ceebraid Acquisition Corporation, on the equity method. Douglas Elliman Realty operates the largest residential brokerage company in the New York metropolitan area. Koa Investors LLC owns the Sheraton Keauhou Bay Resort & Spa in Kailua-Kona, Hawaii. Following a major renovation, the property reopened in the fourth quarter 2004 as a four star resort with 521 rooms. In August 2005, 16<sup>th</sup> & K Holdings LLC acquired the St. Regis Hotel, a 193 room luxury hotel in Washington, D.C., for \$47,000. The St. Regis Hotel, which was temporarily closed for an extensive renovation on August 31, 2006, reopened in January 2008. 16<sup>th</sup> & K Holdings LLC capitalized all costs other than management fees related to the renovation of the property during the renovation phase. Ceebraid owns the Holiday Isle Resort in Islamorada, Florida.

*Sale of St. Regis Hotel.* In 2007, 16<sup>th</sup> and K Holdings LLC entered into certain agreements to sell 90% of the St. Regis Hotel. The sale closed in March 2008. In addition to retaining a 3% interest, net of incentives, in the St. Regis Hotel, New Valley received \$15,822 in March 2008 and anticipates receiving from the sale approximately \$1,400 in 2008 and approximately an additional \$5,000 in various installments between 2009 and 2012. New Valley recorded losses of \$3,796 and \$43 for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, associated with 16<sup>th</sup> and K Holdings LLC. For the three months ended March 31, 2008, New Valley also recorded income of \$15,779 in connection with the gain from the sale of the St. Regis.

*Escena.* In March 2008, a subsidiary of New Valley LLC purchased a loan secured by a substantial portion of a 450-acre approved master planned community in Palm Springs, California known as "Escena." The loan, which is currently in foreclosure, was purchased for its face value plus acquisition costs. The loan is being accounted for under the cost recovery method. The borrowers are Escena-PSC, LLC and Palm Springs Classic, LLC, a joint venture of Lennar Homes of California, Inc and Empire Land, LLC. Lennar Homes is an affiliate of Lennar Corporation. The project consists of 867 residential lots with site and public infrastructure, an 18-hole Nicklaus Design golf course, a substantially completed clubhouse, and a 450-room hotel site on seven acres of land.

### **Recent Developments in Tobacco-Related Litigation**

The cigarette industry continues to be challenged on numerous fronts. New cases continue to be commenced against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers. As of March 31, 2008, there were approximately 1,925 individual suits (excluding approximately 100 individual cases pending in West Virginia state court as part of a consolidated action; Liggett has been severed from the trial of the consolidated action), 11 purported class actions and four governmental and other third-party payor health care reimbursement actions pending in the United States in which Liggett or us, or both, were named as a defendant.

## [Table of Contents](#)

A civil lawsuit was filed by the United States federal government seeking disgorgement of approximately \$289,000,000 from various cigarette manufacturers, including Liggett. In August 2006, the trial court entered a Final Judgment and Remedial Order against each of the cigarette manufacturing defendants, except Liggett. The defendants filed amended notices of appeal in March 2007. The government acknowledged in its appellate brief that it was not appealing the district court's decision to award no remedy against Liggett. Therefore, although this case has been concluded as to Liggett, it is unclear what impact, if any, the Final Judgment will have on the cigarette industry as a whole. To the extent that the Final Judgment leads to a decline in industry-wide shipments of cigarettes in the United States or otherwise imposes regulations which adversely affect the industry, Liggett's sales volume, operating income and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

In 2000, a jury in *Engle v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.* rendered a \$145,000,000 punitive damages verdict in favor of a "Florida class" against certain cigarette manufacturers, including Liggett. Pursuant to the Florida Supreme Court's July 2006 ruling in *Engle*, which decertified the class on a prospective basis, and affirmed the appellate court's reversal of the punitive damages award, former class members had one year from January 11, 2007 in which to file individual lawsuits. In addition, some individuals who filed suit prior to January 11, 2007, and who claim they meet the conditions in *Engle*, are attempting to avail themselves of the *Engle* ruling. Lawsuits by individuals requesting the benefit of the *Engle* ruling, whether filed before or after the January 11, 2007 mandate, are referred to as the "*Engle* progeny cases." As of March 31, 2008, Liggett and/or the Company have been served in approximately 1,900 *Engle* progeny cases in both state and federal courts in Florida. Other cigarette manufacturers have been named as defendants in these cases. These cases include approximately 8,150 plaintiffs. Although the deadline for filing *Engle* progeny cases has passed, the total number of cases will increase as not all cases have been served.

In June 2002, the jury in a Florida state court action entitled *Lukacs v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company*, awarded \$37,500 in compensatory damages in a case involving Liggett and two other cigarette manufacturers. In March 2003, the court reduced the amount of the compensatory damages to \$24,860. The jury found Liggett 50% responsible for the damages incurred by the plaintiff. The *Lukacs* case was the first case to be tried as an individual *Engle* class member suit following entry of final judgment by the *Engle* trial court. In the event the court enters judgment in plaintiff's favor Liggett intends to appeal, at which time Liggett may be required to post a bond. In addition, plaintiff filed a motion seeking an award of attorneys' fees from Liggett based on plaintiff's prior proposal for settlement. It is possible that additional cases could be decided unfavorably and that there could be further adverse developments in the *Engle* case. Liggett may enter into discussions in an attempt to settle particular cases if it believes it is appropriate to do so. We cannot predict the cash requirements related to any future settlements and judgments, including cash required to bond any appeals, and there is a risk that those requirements will not be able to be met.

In recent years, there have been a number of proposed restrictive regulatory actions from various federal administrative bodies, including the United States Environmental Protection Agency and the FDA. There have also been adverse political decisions and other unfavorable developments concerning cigarette smoking and the tobacco industry, including the commencement and certification of class actions and the commencement of third-party payor actions. Recently, legislation was reintroduced in Congress providing for the regulation of cigarettes by the FDA. These developments generally receive widespread media attention. We are not able to evaluate the effect of these developing matters on pending litigation or the possible commencement of additional litigation, but our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome in any tobacco-related litigation.

## Critical Accounting Policies

There are no material changes from the critical accounting policies set forth in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, for the year ended December 31, 2007, except for the changes set forth below. Please refer to that section and the information below for disclosures regarding the critical accounting policies related to our business.

*Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements.* Effective January 1, 2008, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements," ("SFAS No. 157") for financial assets and financial liabilities. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements but provides a definition of fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. We will adopt SFAS No. 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities on January 1, 2009. The adoption of SFAS No. 157 on financial assets and financial liabilities did not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows. We are currently assessing the impact of SFAS No. 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

## Results of Operations

The following discussion provides an assessment of our results of operations, capital resources and liquidity and should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report. The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of VGR Holding, Liggett, Vector Tobacco, Liggett Vector Brands, New Valley and other less significant subsidiaries.

For purposes of this discussion and other consolidated financial reporting, our significant business segments for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 were Liggett and Vector Tobacco. The Liggett segment consists of the manufacture and sale of conventional cigarettes and, for segment reporting purposes, includes the operations of the Medallion Company, Inc. acquired on April 1, 2002 (which operations are held for legal purposes as part of Vector Tobacco). The Vector Tobacco segment includes the development and marketing of the low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarette products as well as the development of reduced risk cigarette products and, for segment reporting purposes, excludes the operations of Medallion.

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2008	Three Months Ended March 31, 2007
<b>Revenues:</b>		
Liggett	\$ 131,645	\$ 132,813
Vector Tobacco	560	1,079
Total revenues	<u>\$ 132,205</u>	<u>\$ 133,892</u>
<b>Operating income:</b>		
Liggett	\$ 37,344	\$ 35,460
Vector Tobacco	(2,410)	(2,304)
Total tobacco	34,934	33,156
Corporate and other	(6,893)	(7,436)
Total operating income	<u>\$ 28,041</u>	<u>\$ 25,720</u>

## [Table of Contents](#)

### Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 Compared to Three Months ended March 31, 2007

*Revenues.* Total revenues were \$132,205 for the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to \$133,892 for the three months ended March 31, 2007. This \$1,687 (1.3%) decrease in revenues was due to a \$1,168 (0.9%) decrease in revenues at Liggett and a \$519 (48.1%) decrease in revenues at Vector Tobacco.

*Tobacco Revenues.* In September 2006, Liggett generally reduced its promotional pricing on LIGGETT SELECT and EVE by \$1.00 per carton and increased the list price of GRAND PRIX by \$1.00 per carton. In April 2007, Liggett increased the list price of GRAND PRIX by an additional \$1.00 per carton. In September 2007, Liggett increased the list price of LIGGETT SELECT, EVE and GRAND PRIX by an additional \$0.70 per carton. In April 2008, Liggett increased the list price of GRAND PRIX by \$0.40 per carton.

*Tobacco Revenues.* All of Liggett's sales for the first three months of 2008 and 2007 were in the discount category. For the three months ended March 31, 2008, net sales at Liggett totaled \$131,645, compared to \$132,813 for the three months ended March 31, 2007. Revenues decreased by 0.9% (\$1,168) due to a 8.3% decrease in unit sales volume (approximately 188.5 million units) accounting for \$11,075 in unfavorable volume variance and \$606 unfavorable sales mix offset by a \$10,513 favorable variance from pricing and lower promotional spending. Net revenues of the LIGGETT SELECT brand decreased \$3,855 for the first quarter of 2008 compared to 2007, and its unit volume decreased 13.6% in 2008 period compared to 2007. Net revenues of the GRAND PRIX brand increased \$5,377 for the first quarter of 2008 compared to the 2007 as a favorable variance from pricing and lower promotional spending of \$5,803 partially offset by a decline in volume of 1.3% (8.4 million units).

Revenues at Vector Tobacco for the three months ended March 31, 2008 were \$560 compared to \$1,079 in the 2007 period due to decreased sales volume. Vector Tobacco's revenues in both periods related to sales of QUEST.

*Tobacco Gross Profit.* Tobacco gross profit was \$52,198 for the first three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to \$49,207 for the three months ended March 31, 2007. This represented an increase of \$2,991 (6.1%) when compared to the same period last year, due primarily to decreased promotional spending expense. Liggett's brands contributed 99.7% to our gross profit and Vector Tobacco contributed 0.3% for the three months ended March 31, 2008. Over the same period in 2007, Liggett's brands contributed 99.4% to tobacco gross profit and Vector Tobacco contributed 0.6%.

Liggett's gross profit of \$52,026 for the three months ended March 31, 2008 increased \$3,138 from gross profit of \$48,888 for the three months ended March 31, 2007. As a percent of revenues (excluding federal excise taxes), gross profit at Liggett increased to 57.2% for the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to gross profit of 55.2% for the three months ended March 31, 2007. This increase in Liggett's gross profit in the 2008 period was attributable to due primarily to decreased promotional spending expense and approximately \$1,100 of a one-time decrease in MSA expense as a result of the MSA assessment for 2007 being less than anticipated.

Vector Tobacco's gross profit was \$172 for the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to gross profit of \$319 for the same period in 2007. The decrease was due primarily to the reduced sales volume.

*Expenses.* Operating, selling, general and administrative expenses were \$24,157 for the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to \$23,487 for the same period last year, an increase of \$670 (2.9%). Expenses at Liggett were \$14,682 for the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to \$13,427 for the same period in the prior year, an increase of \$1,255 or 9.3%. The increase related to increased compensation and product liability legal expenses in the 2008 period compared to the 2007 period. Liggett's product liability legal expenses of \$1,363 for the three

## Table of Contents

months ended March 31, 2008 compared to \$1,031 for the same period in the prior year. Expenses at Vector Tobacco for the three months ended March 31, 2008 were \$2,582 compared to expenses of \$2,624 for the three months ended March 31, 2007. Expenses at the corporate level decreased from \$7,436 to \$6,893 primarily as a result of the absence of \$410 of incremental expenses associated with our lawsuit settlement in March 2007.

For the three months ended March 31, 2008, Liggett's operating income increased \$1,884 to \$37,344 compared to \$35,460 for the same period in 2007 primarily due to increased gross profit. For the three months ended March 31, 2008, Vector Tobacco's operating loss was \$2,410 compared to a loss of \$2,304 for the three months ended March 31, 2007.

*Other Income (Expenses).* For the three months ended March 31, 2008, other income (expenses) was a loss of \$2,979 compared to income of \$13,996 for the three months ended March 31, 2007. For the three months ended March 31, 2008, equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses of \$13,320, and interest and dividend income of \$1,971 and was primarily offset by interest expense of \$15,253, changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt of \$2,444 and a loss of \$567 associated with the performance of an investment partnership. The equity income of \$13,320 for the 2008 period resulted from New Valley's investment in Douglas Elliman Realty which contributed \$1,337 and \$11,983 from 16th and K, which consisted of equity losses from the operations of the St. Regis hotel of \$3,796 and income of \$15,779 in connection with the gain on the disposal of 16th and K's interest in 90% of the St. Regis Hotel in Washington, D.C. For the three months ended March 31, 2007, other income consisted primarily of \$20,000 for the NASA lawsuit settlement, equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses of \$2,410 and interest and dividend income of \$1,856 and was primarily offset by interest expense of \$9,134 and a loss on investments of \$1,158. The equity income of \$2,410 for the 2007 period resulted primarily from income of \$4,156 related to New Valley's investment in Douglas Elliman Realty offset by losses of \$953 in Ceebraid, \$750 in Koa Investors, and \$43 in 16th and K. As of March 31, 2007, New Valley has suspended its recognition of equity losses in Ceebraid and Koa Investors as such losses exceed its basis plus any commitment to make additional investments.

The value of the embedded derivative is contingent on changes in interest rates of debt instruments maturing over the duration of the convertible debt, our stock price as well as projections of future cash and stock dividends over the term of the debt. The loss from the embedded derivative in the three months ended March 31, 2008 was primarily the result of declining interest rates offset by the payment of interest during the period. The gain from the embedded derivative in the three months ended March 31, 2007 was primarily the result of payment of interest during the period offset by declining long-term interest rates.

*Income before income taxes.* Income before income taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2008 was \$25,062 compared to income before income taxes of \$39,716 for the three months ended March 31, 2007.

*Income tax provision.* The income tax provision was \$10,755 and \$16,589 for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Our income tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 did not bear a customary relationship to statutory income tax rates as a result of the impact of nondeductible expenses and state income taxes offset by the impact of the domestic production activities deduction.

In addition, our income tax provision for 2008 was reduced because of the impact of the gain on the disposal of the St. Regis, which reduced income tax expense by \$460 due to differences in our marginal tax rate of approximately 41% and our anticipated effective annual income tax rate from ordinary operations of approximately 45%. In addition, our income tax provision for 2007 was reduced because of the impact of the settlement of a state income tax assessment in March 2007, which reduced income tax expense by \$450, and the \$19,590 of income from the lawsuit settlement, which reduced income tax expense by approximately \$800 due to differences in our marginal tax rate of approximately 41% and our anticipated effective annual income tax rate from ordinary operations of approximately 45%. Our provision for income taxes in interim periods is

## [Table of Contents](#)

based on an estimated annual effective income tax rate derived, in part, from estimated annual pre-tax results from ordinary operations in accordance with FIN 18, "Accounting for Income Taxes in Interim Periods—an interpretation of APB Opinion No. 28". We did not include the discrete items discussed above in the 2008 or 2007 computation of our effective annual income tax rate from estimated pre-tax results from ordinary operations. Accordingly, our provision for income taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 has been computed by applying the discrete method in accordance with FIN 18 to account for these items.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Net cash and cash equivalents decreased \$19,292 for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and decreased \$8,930 for the three months ended March 31, 2007.

Net cash provided from operations was \$14,159 and \$31,069 for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The difference between the two periods relates primarily to increased inventories at Liggett in 2008 compared to a decrease in 2007, larger increases in accounts receivable and increased payments of compensation accruals at Liggett Vector Brands in 2008.

Cash used in investing activities was \$12,274 for the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to cash used in investing activities of \$7,846 for the 2007 period. In the first quarter of 2008, cash was used for the purchase of the mortgage receivable of \$21,445, the purchase of investment securities of \$5,182, capital expenditures of \$1,227, increase in the cash surrender value of corporate-owned life insurance policies of \$143, an increase in restricted assets of \$109, offset primarily by distributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses of \$15,822. In the first quarter of 2007, cash was used primarily for capital expenditures of \$1,710, the purchase of investment securities of \$6,032, investment in non-consolidated real estate businesses of \$750 and increase in the cash surrender value of corporate-owned life insurance policies of \$201 offset by distributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses of \$1,000.

Cash used in financing activities was \$21,177 for the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to cash used of \$32,153 for the 2007 period. In the first quarter of 2008, cash was primarily used for distributions on common stock of \$26,717 and repayments on debt of \$1,501 offset by net borrowings of debt under the revolver of \$7,126. In the first quarter of 2007, cash was used for distributions on common stock of \$25,934, repayments on debt of \$1,702 and net repayments of debt under the revolver of \$5,363 and was offset primarily by proceeds from the exercise of options of \$846.

*Liggett.* Liggett has a \$50,000 credit facility with Wachovia Bank, N.A. under which \$21,909 was outstanding at March 31, 2008. Availability as determined under the facility was approximately \$8,200 based on eligible collateral at March 31, 2008. The facility contains covenants that provide that Liggett's earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as defined under the facility, on a trailing twelve-month basis, shall not be less than \$100,000 if Liggett's excess availability, as defined, under the facility is less than \$20,000. The covenants also require that annual capital expenditures, as defined under the facility, (before a maximum carryover amount of \$2,500) shall not exceed \$10,000 during any fiscal year. At March 31, 2008, management believed that Liggett was in compliance with all covenants under the credit facility; Liggett's EBITDA, as defined, were approximately \$144,200 for the twelve months ended March 31, 2008.

Liggett and other United States cigarette manufacturers have been named as defendants in a number of direct and third-party actions (and purported class actions) predicated on the theory that they should be liable for damages from cancer and other adverse health effects alleged to have been caused by cigarette smoking or by exposure to so-called secondary smoke from cigarettes. We believe, and have been so advised by counsel handling the respective cases, that Liggett has a

## [Table of Contents](#)

number of valid defenses to claims asserted against it. Litigation is subject to many uncertainties. In June 2002, the jury in an individual case brought under the third phase of the *Engle* case awarded \$37,500 (subsequently reduced by the court to \$24,860) of compensatory damages against Liggett and two other defendants and found Liggett 50% responsible for the damages. Liggett may be required to bond the amount of the judgment to perfect its appeal. It is possible that additional cases could be decided unfavorably and that there could be further adverse developments in the *Engle* case. Liggett may enter into discussions in an attempt to settle particular cases if it believes it is appropriate to do so. Management cannot predict the cash requirements related to any future settlements and judgments, including cash required to bond any appeals, and there is a risk that those requirements will not be able to be met. An unfavorable outcome of a pending smoking and health case could encourage the commencement of additional similar litigation. In recent years, there have been a number of adverse regulatory, political and other developments concerning cigarette smoking and the tobacco industry. These developments generally receive widespread media attention. Neither we nor Liggett are able to evaluate the effect of these developing matters on pending litigation or the possible commencement of additional litigation or regulation. See Note 8 to our condensed consolidated financial statements and “Legislation and Regulation” below for a description of legislation, regulation and litigation.

Management is unable to make a reasonable estimate of the amount or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of the cases pending against Liggett or the costs of defending such cases. It is possible that our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome in any such tobacco-related litigation.

*Vector.* We believe that we will continue to meet our liquidity requirements through 2008. Corporate expenditures (exclusive of Liggett, Vector Research, Vector Tobacco and New Valley) over the next twelve months for current operations include cash interest expense of approximately \$48,500, dividends on our outstanding shares (currently at an annual rate of approximately \$105,000) and corporate expenses and taxes. We anticipate funding our expenditures for current operations and required principal payments with available cash resources, proceeds from public and/or private debt and equity financing, management fees and other payments from subsidiaries. New Valley may acquire or seek to acquire additional operating businesses through merger, purchase of assets, stock acquisition or other means, or to make other investments, which may limit its ability to make such distributions.

We or our subsidiaries file U.S. federal income tax returns and returns with various state and local jurisdictions. Our condensed consolidated balance sheets include deferred income tax assets and liabilities, which represent temporary differences in the application of accounting rules established by generally accepted accounting principles and income tax laws. As of March 31, 2008, our deferred income tax liabilities exceeded our deferred income tax assets by \$120,596. Our current deferred income tax liabilities increased by approximately \$75,500 during the three months ended March 31, 2008 as a result of the reclassification of a deferred tax liability from non-current to current liabilities. This reclassification resulted from our settlement with the Internal Revenue Service in July 2006, which required us to recognize taxable income of approximately \$192,000 from the Philip Morris brand transaction by March 1, 2009. The largest component of our deferred tax liabilities exists because of differences that resulted from the Philip Morris brand transaction discussed above.

### **Market Risk**

We are exposed to market risks principally from fluctuations in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and equity prices. We seek to minimize these risks through our regular operating and financing activities and our long-term investment strategy. Our market risk management procedures cover all market risk sensitive financial instruments.

## [Table of Contents](#)

As of March 31, 2008, approximately \$40,085 of our outstanding debt at face value had variable interest rates determined by various interest rate indices, which increases the risk of fluctuating interest rates. Our exposure to market risk includes interest rate fluctuations in connection with our variable rate borrowings, which could adversely affect our cash flows. As of March 31, 2008, we had no interest rate caps or swaps. Based on a hypothetical 100 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates (1%), our annual interest expense could increase or decrease by approximately \$401.

In addition, as of March 31, 2008, approximately \$91,464 (\$221,864 principal amount) of outstanding debt had a variable interest rate determined by the amount of the dividends on our common stock. The difference between the stated value of the debt and its carrying value is due principally to certain embedded derivatives, which were separately valued and recorded upon issuance and whose value at March 31, 2008 was estimated at \$104,026. Changes to the estimated fair value of these embedded derivatives are reflected quarterly within our statements of operations as “Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt.” The value of the embedded derivative is contingent on changes in interest rates of debt instruments maturing over the duration of the convertible debt as well as projections of future cash and stock dividends over the term of the debt and changes in the closing stock price at the end of each quarterly period. Based on a hypothetical 100 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates (1%), our annual “Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt” could increase or decrease by approximately \$4,525 with approximately \$525 resulting from the embedded derivative associated with our 5% variable interest senior convertible notes due 2011 and the remaining \$4,000 resulting from the embedded derivative associated with our 3.875% variable interest senior convertible debentures due 2026. An increase in our quarterly dividend rate by \$0.10 per share would increase interest expense by approximately \$4,950 per year.

We held investment securities available for sale totaling \$45,742 at March 31, 2008, which includes 13,888,889 shares of Ladenburg Thalmann Financial Services Inc., which were carried at \$25,972 and 5,057,110 shares of Opko Health, Inc., which were carried at \$10,418. In March 2008, we acquired 2,800,000 shares of Opko in a private placement. These shares have not been registered for resale. See Note 3 to our condensed consolidated financial statements. Adverse market conditions could have a significant effect on the value of these investments.

New Valley also holds long-term investments in various investment partnerships. These investments are illiquid, and their ultimate realization is subject to the performance of the underlying entities.

### **New Accounting Pronouncements**

In February 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued SFAS No. 159, “The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities.” SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with early adoption permitted provided the entity also elects to apply the provisions of SFAS No. 157. We have not elected to use the fair value option.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), a revised version of SFAS No. 141, “Business Combinations.” The revision is intended to simplify existing guidance and converge rulemaking under U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (“GAAP”) with international accounting rules. This statement applies prospectively to business combinations where the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. An entity may not apply it before that date. The new standard also converges financial reporting under U.S. GAAP with international accounting rules. We are currently assessing the impact, if any, of SFAS No. 141(R) on its consolidated financial statements.

## [Table of Contents](#)

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, “Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities—an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133.” SFAS No. 161 seeks qualitative disclosures about the objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative data about the fair value of and gains and losses on derivative contracts, and details of credit-risk-related contingent features in hedged positions. SFAS No. 161 also seeks enhanced disclosure around derivative instruments in financial statements, accounting under SFAS No. 133, “Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities,” and how hedges affect an entity’s financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 is effective for us as of January 1, 2009 and we do not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 161 to have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows

On May 9, 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1, “Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)” (“FSP No. APB 14-1”). We are currently assessing the impact of FSP No. APB 14-1 on our consolidated financial statements.

### **Legislation and Regulation**

Reports with respect to the alleged harmful physical effects of cigarette smoking have been publicized for many years and, in the opinion of Liggett’s management, have had and may continue to have an adverse effect on cigarette sales. Since 1964, the Surgeon General of the United States and the Secretary of Health and Human Services have released a number of reports which state that cigarette smoking is a causative factor with respect to a variety of health hazards, including cancer, heart disease and lung disease, and have recommended various government actions to reduce the incidence of smoking. In 1997, Liggett publicly acknowledged that, as the Surgeon General and respected medical researchers have found, smoking causes health problems, including lung cancer, heart and vascular disease, and emphysema.

Since 1966, federal law has required that cigarettes manufactured, packaged or imported for sale or distribution in the United States include specific health warnings on their packaging. Since 1972, Liggett and the other cigarette manufacturers have included the federally required warning statements in print advertising and on certain categories of point-of-sale display materials relating to cigarettes. The Federal Cigarette Labeling and Advertising Act (“FCLA Act”) requires that packages of cigarettes distributed in the United States and cigarette advertisements in the United States bear one of the following four warning statements: “SURGEON GENERAL’S WARNING: Smoking Causes Lung Cancer, Heart Disease, Emphysema, And May Complicate Pregnancy”; “SURGEON GENERAL’S WARNING: Quitting Smoking Now Greatly Reduces Serious Risks to Your Health”; “SURGEON GENERAL’S WARNING: Smoking By Pregnant Women May Result in Fetal Injury, Premature Birth, And Low Birth Weight”; and “SURGEON GENERAL’S WARNING: Cigarette Smoke Contains Carbon Monoxide”. The law also requires that each person who manufactures, packages or imports cigarettes annually provide to the Secretary of Health and Human Services a list of ingredients added to tobacco in the manufacture of cigarettes. Annual reports to the United States Congress are also required from the Secretary of Health and Human Services as to current information on the health consequences of smoking and from the Federal Trade Commission (“FTC”) on the effectiveness of cigarette labeling and current practices and methods of cigarette advertising and promotion. Both federal agencies are also required annually to make such recommendations as they deem appropriate with regard to further legislation. It is possible that proposed legislation providing for regulation of cigarettes by the Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”), if enacted, could significantly change the warning requirements currently mandated by the FCLA Act. In addition, since 1997, Liggett has included the warning “Smoking is Addictive” on its cigarette packages and point-of-sale materials.

In January 1993, the Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) released a report on the respiratory effect of secondary smoke which concludes that secondary smoke is a known human lung carcinogen in adults and in children, causes increased respiratory tract disease and middle ear disorders and increases the severity and frequency of asthma. In June 1993, the two largest of the major domestic cigarette manufacturers, together with other segments of the tobacco and

## Table of Contents

distribution industries, commenced a lawsuit against the EPA seeking a determination that the EPA did not have the statutory authority to regulate secondary smoke, and that given the scientific evidence and the EPA's failure to follow its own guidelines in making the determination, the EPA's classification of secondary smoke was arbitrary and capricious. In July 1998, a federal district court vacated those sections of the report relating to lung cancer, finding that the EPA may have reached different conclusions had it complied with relevant statutory requirements. The federal government appealed the court's ruling. In December 2002, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit rejected the industry challenge to the EPA report ruling that it was not subject to court review. Issuance of the report may encourage efforts to limit smoking in public areas.

In August 1996, the FDA filed in the Federal Register a Final Rule classifying tobacco as a "drug" or "medical device", asserting jurisdiction over the manufacture and marketing of tobacco products and imposing restrictions on the sale, advertising and promotion of tobacco products. Litigation was commenced challenging the legal authority of the FDA to assert such jurisdiction, as well as challenging the constitutionality of the rule. In March 2000, the United States Supreme Court ruled that the FDA does not have the power to regulate tobacco. Liggett supported the FDA Rule and began to phase in compliance with certain of the proposed FDA regulations. Since the Supreme Court decision, various proposals and recommendations have been made for additional federal and state legislation to regulate cigarette manufacturers. Congressional advocates of FDA regulations have introduced legislation that would give the FDA authority to regulate the manufacture, sale, distribution and labeling of tobacco products to protect public health, thereby allowing the FDA to reinstate its prior regulations or adopt new or additional regulations. In October 2004, the Senate passed a bill, which did not become law, providing for FDA regulation of tobacco products. A substantially similar bill was reintroduced in Congress in February 2007. The ultimate outcome of these proposals cannot be predicted, but FDA regulation of tobacco products could have a material adverse effect on us.

In August 1996, Massachusetts enacted legislation requiring tobacco companies to publish information regarding the ingredients in cigarettes and other tobacco products sold in that state. In December 2002, the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit ruled that the ingredients disclosure provisions violated the constitutional prohibition against unlawful seizure of property by forcing firms to reveal trade secrets. Liggett began voluntarily complying with this legislation in December 1997 by providing ingredient information to the Massachusetts Department of Public Health and, notwithstanding the appellate court's ruling, has continued to provide ingredient disclosure. Liggett and Vector Tobacco also provide ingredient information annually, as required by law, to the states of Texas and Minnesota. Several other states are considering ingredient disclosure legislation, and the proposed Senate bill providing for FDA regulation also calls for, among other things, ingredient disclosure.

In October 2004, the Fair and Equitable Tobacco Reform Act of 2004 ("FETRA") was signed into law. FETRA provides for the elimination of the federal tobacco quota and price support program through an industry funded buyout of tobacco growers and quota holders. Pursuant to the legislation, manufacturers of tobacco products will be assessed \$10,140,000 over a ten year period to compensate tobacco growers and quota holders for the elimination of their quota rights. Cigarette manufacturers will initially be responsible for 96.3% of the assessment (subject to adjustment in the future), which will be allocated based on relative unit volume of domestic cigarette shipments. Management currently estimates that Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's assessment will be approximately \$23,900 for the third year of the program which began January 1, 2007. The relative cost of the legislation to the three largest cigarette manufacturers will likely be less than the cost to smaller manufacturers, including Liggett and Vector Tobacco, because one effect of the legislation is that the three largest manufacturers will no longer be obligated to make certain contractual payments, commonly known as Phase II payments, that they agreed in 1999 to make to tobacco-producing states. The ultimate impact of this legislation cannot be determined, but there is a risk that smaller manufacturers, such as Liggett and Vector Tobacco, will be disproportionately affected by the legislation, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

## Table of Contents

Cigarettes are subject to substantial and increasing federal, state and local excise taxes. The federal excise tax on cigarettes is currently \$0.39 per pack, although proposals are pending in Congress to increase the federal excise tax by as much as \$0.61 per pack. State and local sales and excise taxes vary considerably and, when combined with sales taxes, local taxes and the current federal excise tax, may currently exceed \$4.00 per pack. In 2006, eight states enacted increases in excise taxes and 11 states have enacted increases in excise taxes in 2007. Further increases from other states are expected. Congress is currently considering significant increases in the federal excise tax or other payments from tobacco manufacturers, and various states and other jurisdictions are considering, or have pending, legislation proposing further state excise tax increases. Management believes increases in excise and similar taxes have had, and will continue to have, an adverse effect on sales of cigarettes.

In June 2000, the New York State legislature passed legislation charging the state's Office of Fire Prevention and Control with developing standards for "self-extinguishing" or reduced ignition propensity cigarettes. All cigarettes manufactured for sale in New York State must be manufactured to specific reduced ignition propensity standards set forth in the regulations. Since the passage of the New York law, approximately 20 states have passed similar laws utilizing substantially similar technical standards. Similar legislation is being considered by other state governments and at the federal level. Compliance with such legislation could be burdensome and costly and could harm the business of Liggett and Vector Tobacco, particularly if there were to be varying standards from state to state.

Federal or state regulators may object to Vector Tobacco's low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarette products and reduced risk cigarette products it may develop as unlawful or allege they bear deceptive or unsubstantiated product claims, and seek the removal of the products from the marketplace or significant changes to advertising. Various concerns regarding Vector Tobacco's advertising practices have been expressed to Vector Tobacco by certain state attorneys general. Vector Tobacco has previously engaged in discussions in an effort to resolve these concerns and Vector Tobacco has, in the interim, suspended all print advertising for its *QUEST* brand. Failure to advertise the *QUEST* brand could have a material adverse effect on sales of *QUEST*. Allegations by federal or state regulators, public health organizations and other tobacco manufacturers that Vector Tobacco's products are unlawful, or that its public statements or advertising contain misleading or unsubstantiated health claims or product comparisons, may result in litigation or governmental proceedings. Vector Tobacco's business may become subject to extensive domestic and international governmental regulation. Various proposals have been made for federal, state and international legislation to regulate cigarette manufacturers generally, and reduced constituent cigarettes specifically. It is possible that laws and regulations may be adopted covering issues like the manufacture, sale, distribution, advertising and labeling of tobacco products as well as any express or implied health claims associated with reduced risk, low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarette products and the use of genetically modified tobacco. A system of regulation by agencies such as the FDA, the FTC or the United States Department of Agriculture may be established. The FTC has expressed interest in the regulation of tobacco products which bear reduced carcinogen claims. The ultimate outcome of any of the foregoing cannot be predicted, but any of the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on us.

A wide variety of federal, state and local laws limit the advertising, sale and use of cigarettes, and these laws have proliferated in recent years. For example, many local laws prohibit smoking in restaurants and other public places, and many employers have initiated programs restricting or eliminating smoking in the workplace. There are various other legislative efforts pending on the federal and state level which seek to, among other things, eliminate smoking in public places, further restrict displays and advertising of cigarettes, require additional warnings, including graphic warnings, on cigarette packaging and advertising, ban vending machine sales and curtail affirmative defenses of tobacco companies in product liability litigation. This trend has had, and is likely to continue to have, an adverse effect on us.

In addition to the foregoing, there have been a number of other restrictive regulatory actions, adverse legislative and political decisions and other unfavorable developments concerning cigarette smoking and the tobacco industry. These developments may negatively affect the perception of potential triers of fact with respect to the tobacco industry, possibly to the detriment of certain pending litigation, and may prompt the commencement of additional similar litigation or legislation.

#### **SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

In addition to historical information, this report contains “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the federal securities law. Forward-looking statements include information relating to our intent, belief or current expectations, primarily with respect to, but not limited to:

- economic outlook,
- capital expenditures,
- cost reduction,
- new legislation,
- cash flows,
- operating performance,
- litigation,
- impairment charges and cost savings associated with restructurings of our tobacco operations, and
- related industry developments (including trends affecting our business, financial condition and results of operations).

We identify forward-looking statements in this report by using words or phrases such as “anticipate”, “believe”, “estimate”, “expect”, “intend”, “may be”, “objective”, “plan”, “seek”, “predict”, “project” and “will be” and similar words or phrases or their negatives.

The forward-looking information involves important risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from our anticipated results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements include, without limitation, the following:

- general economic and market conditions and any changes therein, due to acts of war and terrorism or otherwise,
- governmental regulations and policies,
- effects of industry competition,
- impact of business combinations, including acquisitions and divestitures, both internally for us and externally in the tobacco industry,
- impact of restructurings on our tobacco business and our ability to achieve any increases in profitability estimated to occur as a result of these restructurings,

## Table of Contents

- impact of new legislation on our competitors' payment obligations, results of operations and product costs, i.e. the impact of recent federal legislation eliminating the federal tobacco quota system,
- uncertainty related to litigation and potential additional payment obligations for us under the Master Settlement Agreement and other settlement agreements with the states, and
- risks inherent in our new product development initiatives.

Further information on risks and uncertainties specific to our business include the risk factors discussed above in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and under Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, for the year ended December 31, 2007 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Although we believe the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, there is a risk that these expectations will not be attained and that any deviations will be material. The forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made.

**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

The information under the caption “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Market Risk” is incorporated herein by reference.

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report, and, based on their evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that these controls and procedures are effective.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II**

**OTHER INFORMATION**

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Reference is made to Note 8, incorporated herein by reference, to our condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report which contains a general description of certain legal proceedings to which our company, VGR Holding, Liggett, Vector Tobacco, New Valley or their subsidiaries are a party and certain related matters. Reference is also made to Exhibit 99.1 for additional information regarding the pending smoking-related material legal proceedings to which Liggett is a party. A copy of Exhibit 99.1 will be furnished without charge upon written request to us at our principal executive offices, 100 S.E. Second St., Miami, Florida 33131, Attn. Investor Relations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Except as set forth below, there are no material changes from the risk factors set forth in Item 1A, "Risk Factors," of our Annual Report on 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. Please refer to that section for disclosures regarding the risks and uncertainties related to our business. The risk factors in the Annual Report on Form 10-K entitled "Litigation will continue to harm the tobacco industry", "Individual tobacco-related cases have increased as a result of the Florida Supreme Court's ruling in *Engle*" and "Liggett may have additional payment obligations under the Master Settlement Agreement and its other settlement agreements with the states" are revised to reflect the updated information concerning the number and status of cases and other matters discussed under Note 8 to our condensed consolidated financial statements and in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition — Recent Developments — Tobacco Settlement Agreements", "— Recent Developments in Legislation, Regulation and Tobacco-Related Litigation", and "— Legislation and Regulation."

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

No securities of ours which were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933 have been issued or sold by us during the three months ended March 31, 2008.

No securities of ours were repurchased by us or our affiliated purchasers during the three months ended March 31, 2008.

[Table of Contents](#)

Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	
	31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer, Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
	31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer, Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
	32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer, Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
	32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer, Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
	99.1	Material Legal Proceedings

---

\* Incorporated by reference.

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**(Registrant)**

By: /s/ J. Bryant Kirkland III  
J. Bryant Kirkland III  
Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer

Date: May 12, 2008

**RULE 13a-14(a) CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

I, Howard M. Lorber, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Vector Group Ltd.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 12, 2008

/s/ Howard M. Lorber

Howard M. Lorber

President and Chief Executive Officer

**RULE 13a-14(a) CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER**

I, J. Bryant Kirkland III, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Vector Group Ltd.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 12, 2008

/s/ J. Bryant Kirkland III

J. Bryant Kirkland III

Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer

**SECTION 1350 CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Vector Group Ltd. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Howard M. Lorber, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to my knowledge:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

May 12, 2008

/s/ Howard M. Lorber

Howard M. Lorber  
President and Chief Executive Officer

**SECTION 1350 CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Vector Group Ltd. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, J. Bryant Kirkland III, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to my knowledge:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

May 12, 2008

/s/ J. Bryant Kirkland III

J. Bryant Kirkland III

Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer

## **I. INDIVIDUAL SMOKER CASES**

### **District of Columbia**

Sims, et al. v. Philip Morris, Inc., et al., Case No. 1:01-CV-01107-GK, USDC, District of Columbia (case filed 5/23/01). Three individuals suing. In February 2003, the court denied plaintiffs' motion for class certification. Plaintiffs subsequently filed motions seeking reconsideration and reversal of the order denying class certification, which motions were denied by the court in December 2006. No appeals were taken.

### **Florida**

Engle Progeny Cases. Pursuant to the Florida Supreme Court's July 2006 ruling in *Engle v. Liggett Group Inc.*, which decertified the *Engle* class on a prospective basis, former class members had one year from January 11, 2007 to file individual lawsuits. In addition, some individuals who filed suit prior to January 11, 2007, and who claim they meet the conditions in *Engle*, are attempting to avail themselves of the *Engle* ruling. Lawsuits by individuals requesting the benefit of the *Engle* ruling, whether filed before or after the January 11, 2007 mandate, are referred to as the *Engle* progeny cases. Certain of these cases were previously listed in this Exhibit 99.1, but are now generally referred to in this paragraph. As of March 31, 2008, Liggett and/or the Company were served in approximately 1,900 *Engle* progeny cases in both state and federal courts in Florida. These cases include approximately 8,150 plaintiffs. Several trials have been scheduled beginning in November 2008. For further information on the *Engle* case, see "II. Class Action Cases — *Engle* Case," below and Note 8. Contingencies.

Cowart v. Liggett Group Inc., et al., Case No. 98-01483CA, Circuit Court of the 4<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit, Florida, Duval County (case filed 3/16/98). One individual suing.

Davis, et al. v. Liggett Group Inc., et al., Case No. 02-48914, Circuit Court of the 17<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit, Florida, Broward County (case filed 10/4/02). Liggett is the only defendant in this action. In April 2004, a jury awarded compensatory damages of \$540,000 against Liggett. In addition, plaintiff's counsel was awarded legal fees of \$752,000. On October 10, 2007, the compensatory award was affirmed by the Fourth District Court of Appeal, but the court certified certain issues to the Florida Supreme Court. On April 16, 2008, the Florida Supreme Court accepted jurisdiction of the certified issues for appeal, which is presently being briefed. On March 19, 2008, the Fourth District Court of Appeal reversed and remanded the legal fee award for further proceedings in the trial court.

Duecker v. Liggett Group Inc., Case No. 98-03093 CA, Circuit Court of the 4<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit, Florida, Duval County (case filed 7/5/98). One individual suing. Liggett is the only defendant. The case was administratively closed by the court.

Ferlanti v. Liggett Group Inc., et al., Case No. 03-21697, Circuit Court of the 17<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit, Florida, Broward County (case filed 12/11/03). One individual suing as Personal Representative of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. Liggett was the sole defendant in this action. Plaintiff's motion for leave to amend to add a claim for punitive damages was granted on April 25, 2007. Trial commenced on February 19, 2008, and the court declared a mistrial on February 22, 2008. This case has been consolidated with an *Engle* progeny case filed by the plaintiff.

---

Laschke, et al. v. R.J. Reynolds, et al., Case No. 96-8131-CI-008, Circuit Court of the 6<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit, Florida, Pinellas County (case filed 12/20/96). Two individuals suing. The dismissal of the case was reversed on appeal, and the case was remanded to the trial court. Motions to dismiss have been filed by the defendants.

Levine v. R.J. Reynolds, et al., Case No. CL 95-98769 (AH), Circuit Court of the 15<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit, Florida, Palm Beach County (case filed 7/24/96). One individual suing. Plaintiff asserted claims for negligence and strict liability against each defendant and a claim for punitive damages against R.J. Reynolds. Although, plaintiff's Liggett brand history is limited, a motion for summary judgment was denied by the court. It is likely the matter will be set for trial in the fourth quarter of 2008 or first quarter of 2009.

Lobley v. Philip Morris, et al., Case No. 97-1033-CA-10-L, Circuit Court of the 18<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit, Florida, Seminole County (case filed 5/14/97). Two individuals suing.

Lukacs v. R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 01-38-22 CA23, Circuit Court of the 11<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit, Florida, Miami-Dade County (case filed 12/15/01). One individual suing as Personal Representative of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. In June 2002, the jury awarded \$37,500,000 in compensatory damages, which was subsequently reduced to \$24,860,000. The jury found Liggett 50% responsible. The plaintiff requested that the court enter partial judgment in this matter, award attorneys' fees and costs and schedule a trial on punitive damages. A hearing on plaintiff's motion to enter final judgment occurred on March 15, 2007. A further hearing on that motion is expected to be scheduled in July 2008. See Note 8. Contingencies, for a more detailed discussion of this case.

Meckler, et al. v. Brown & Williamson, et al., Case No. 97-03949-CA, Circuit Court of the 4<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit, Florida, Duval County (case filed 7/10/97). One individual suing.

Rawls, et al. v. Liggett Group Inc., et al., Case No. 97-01354 CA, Circuit Court of the 4<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit, Florida, Duval County (case filed 3/6/97). One individual suing.

Spry, et al. v. Liggett Group, LLC, et al., Case No. 06-31216 CICI, Circuit Court of the 7<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit, Florida, Volusia County (case filed 7/27/06). Two individuals suing.

Strohmetz v. Philip Morris, et al., Case No. 98-03787 CA, Circuit Court of the 4<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit, Florida, Duval County (case filed 7/16/98). One individual suing.

## **Louisiana**

Dimm, et al. v. R.J. Reynolds, et al., Case No. 53919, Circuit Court of the 18<sup>th</sup> Judicial District Court, Louisiana, Iberville Parish (case filed 7/25/00). Seven individuals suing.

Hunter, et al. v. R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 2002/18748m, Circuit Court of the Civil District Court, Louisiana, Parish of Orleans (case filed 12/4/2002). Two individuals suing.

Newsom, et al. v. R.J. Reynolds, et al., Case No. 105838, Circuit Court of the 16<sup>th</sup> Judicial District Court, Louisiana, St. Mary Parish (case filed 5/17/00). Five individuals suing.

Oser v. The American Tobacco Co., et al., Case No. 97-9293, Circuit Court of the Civil District Court, Louisiana, Parish of Orleans (case filed 5/27/97). One individual suing.

Reese, et al. v. R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 2003-12761, Circuit Court of the 22<sup>nd</sup> Judicial District Court, Louisiana, St. Tammany Parish (case filed 6/10/03). Five individuals suing.

### **Maryland**

Russ, et al., v. John Crane-Houdaille, Inc., et al., Case No. 24-X-07-000430, Circuit Court for Baltimore City (case filed 10/15/07). Plaintiffs are suing individually and as the Personal Representatives of the Estate of Jack Russ. On March 26, 2008, Liggett filed an Answer and Motion to Dismiss or Sever.

### **Mississippi**

Cochran v. R.J. Reynolds, et al., Case No. 2002-0366(3), Circuit Court, Mississippi, George County (case filed 12/31/02). One individual suing.

Granger v. B.A.T. Industries, P.L.C., et al., Civil Action No. 3:08- CV -216-HTW-LRA , United States District Court, Southern District of Mississippi, Jackson Division (case filed 3/5/08). One individual suing. The case was originally filed in the Circuit Court of Copiah County, Mississippi, and was removed to Federal Court on April 4, 2008.

### **Missouri**

Nuzum v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corporation, et al., Case No. 03-CV-237237, Circuit Court, Missouri, Jackson County (case filed 5/21/03). Two individuals suing.

### **New York**

Brantley v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 114317/01, Supreme Court of New York, New York County (case filed 7/23/01). One individual suing.

Debobes v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 29544/92, Supreme Court of New York, Nassau County (case filed 10/17/97). One individual suing.

Gouveia, et al. v. Fortune Brands, Inc., et al., Case No. 210671/04, Supreme Court of New York, Rensselaer County (case filed 9/16/1997). Two individuals suing. Note of Issue was served on February 12, 2008. The pre-trial conference, originally scheduled for March 2008, has been adjourned until the summary judgment motions are decided.

Hausrath, et al. v. Philip Morris Inc., et al., Case No. I2001-09526, Supreme Court of New York, Erie County (case filed 01/24/02). Two individuals suing. Trial is scheduled to commence on September 8, 2008.

James v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 103034/02, Supreme Court of New York, New York County (case filed 4/4/97). One individual suing.

Robare v. Fortune Brands, Inc. f/k/a American Brands, Inc., et al., Case No. 0139/08, Supreme Court of New York, Clinton County (case filed 2/19/08). One individual suing. The complaint was dismissed on April 15, 2008. On April 28, 2008, plaintiff *pro se* filed a notice of appeal.

Shea, et al. v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 008938/03, Supreme Court of New York, Nassau County (case filed 10/17/97). Two individuals suing. A Note of Issue has been filed and the case is ready for trial.

Standish v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 18418-97, Supreme Court of New York, Bronx County (case filed 7/28/97). One individual suing.

Tomasino, et al. v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 027182/97, Supreme Court of New York, Nassau County (case filed 9/23/97). Two individuals suing. A Note of Issue has been filed and the case is ready for trial.

Tormey, et al. v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 2005-0506, Supreme Court of New York, Onondaga County (case filed 1/25/05). Two individuals suing.

Yedwabnick, et al. v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 20525/97, Supreme Court of New York, Queens County (case filed 9/19/97). One individual suing.

### **Ohio**

Croft, et al. v. Akron Gasket & Packing, et al., Case No. CV04541681, Court of Common Pleas, Ohio, Cuyahoga County (case filed 8/25/05). Two individuals suing.

### **Pennsylvania**

Buscemi v. Brown & Williamson, et al., Docket No. 9552-02, Court of Common Pleas, Pennsylvania, Delaware County (case filed 9/21/99). One individual suing.

### **West Virginia**

Brewer, et al. v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 01-C-82, Circuit Court, West Virginia, Ohio County (case filed 3/20/01). Two individuals suing.

Little v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 01-C-235, Circuit Court, West Virginia, Ohio County (case filed 6/4/01). One individual suing.

## **II. CLASS ACTION CASES**

Brown, et al. v. American Tobacco Co., Inc., et al., Case No. 711400, Superior Court of California, County of San Diego (case filed 10/1/97). In April 2001, under the California Unfair Competition Laws and the Consumer Legal Remedies Act, the court granted in part the plaintiffs' motion for certification of a class composed of residents of California who smoked at least one of the defendants' cigarettes from June 10, 1993 through April 23, 2001, and who were exposed to the defendants' marketing and advertising activities in California. The action was brought against the major U.S. cigarette manufacturers, including Liggett, seeking to recover restitution, disgorgement of profits and other equitable relief under California Business and Professions Code. Certification was granted as to the plaintiffs' claims that the defendants violated § 17200 of the California Business and Professions Code pertaining to unfair competition. The court, however, refused to certify the class under the California Legal Remedies Act or the plaintiffs' common law claims. Following the November 2004 passage of a proposition in California that changed the law regarding cases of this nature, the defendants moved to decertify the class. In

March 2005, the court granted the defendants' motion. In May 2005, the plaintiffs appealed. In September 2006, the California Court of Appeal affirmed the order decertifying the class. In October 2006, the plaintiffs filed a petition for review with the California Supreme Court. The petition for review was granted in November 2006. The parties are awaiting a date for oral argument on the petition.

Cleary, et al. v. Philip Morris, Inc., et al., Case No. 2000 L004952, Circuit Court of the State of Illinois, Cook County (case filed 6/3/98). The action was brought on behalf of persons who have allegedly been injured by (1) the defendants' purported conspiracy pursuant to which defendants allegedly concealed material facts regarding the addictive nature of nicotine; (2) the defendants' alleged acts of targeting their advertising and marketing to minors; and (3) the defendants' claimed breach of the public's right to defendants' compliance with laws prohibiting the distribution of cigarettes to minors. The plaintiffs request that the defendants be required to disgorge all profits unjustly received through their sale of cigarettes to plaintiffs, which in no event will be greater than \$75,000 each, inclusive of punitive damages, interest and costs. In April 2005, the plaintiffs filed a second amended complaint. In February 2006, a hearing on the defendants' motion to dismiss occurred. The court dismissed count V (public nuisance) and count VI (unjust enrichment). In April 2006, the plaintiffs filed a motion to reconsider certain of the findings in the court's ruling on defendants' motion to dismiss counts V and VI of the plaintiffs' second amended complaint. The plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration was granted in part and denied in part. The court merely reconsidered certain components of its analysis, but did not modify its original decision, stating that reconsideration would not revive the plaintiffs' public nuisance and unjust enrichment claims because the plaintiffs still cannot allege a special or separate harm. In July 2006, the plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification. A case management conference was held in August 2006, and the court entered a Case Management Order. The court ordered an extensive discovery schedule culminating in a supplemental briefing schedule (in June-August 2007) on class certification issues, and a class certification hearing was conducted in September 2007. The parties are awaiting a decision. Merits discovery was stayed pending a ruling by the court on class certification.

Engle, et al. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., et al., Case No. 94-08273 CA 22, Circuit Court of the 11<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit, Florida, Miami-Dade County (case filed 5/5/94). This personal injury class action was brought on behalf of certain named plaintiffs and all similarly situated allegedly injured smokers resident in Florida. The case was certified as a class action in October 1994. A judgment for compensatory and punitive damages was entered in November 2000. The judgment was reversed in its entirety by an intermediate appellate court in May 2003. In July 2006, the Florida Supreme Court affirmed the intermediate appellate court's decision vacating the punitive damages award, and held that the class should be decertified prospectively, but upheld certain trial court determinations and allowed plaintiffs to proceed to trial on individual liability issues and compensatory and punitive damage issues. As a result, thousands of individual cases have been filed in both state and federal courts in Florida. See I. Individual Smoker Cases — Engle Progeny Cases, above and Note 8. Contingencies, for a more detailed discussion.

In Re: Tobacco Litigation (Medical Monitoring) (Blankenship), Case No. 00-C-6000, Circuit Court, West Virginia, Ohio County (case filed 01/26/00). Class action seeking payments for costs of medical monitoring for current and former smokers. Liggett was severed from the trial of the other tobacco company defendants. Judgment upon jury verdict in favor of the other tobacco company defendants was affirmed by the West Virginia Supreme Court in May 2004, which denied plaintiff's petition for rehearing. Plaintiff did not seek further appellate review of this matter, and the case was concluded in favor of all defendants other than Liggett. The case is dormant.

In Re: Tobacco Litigation (Personal Injury Cases), Case No. 00-C-5000, Circuit Court, West Virginia, Ohio County (case filed 1/18/00). Although not technically a class action, the court consolidated approximately 750 individual smoker actions that were pending prior to 2001 for trial on some common related issues. The court recently issued an order staying all proceedings pending the outcome of the United States Supreme Court's review of *Good v. Altria Group Inc.* case. Liggett was severed from trial of the consolidated action.

Lowe, et al. v. Philip Morris Incorporated, et al., Case No. 0111-11895, Circuit Court, Oregon, Multnomah County (case filed 11/19/01). This personal injury class action involves medical monitoring claims brought on behalf of plaintiff and all Oregon residents who have smoked cigarettes. The alleged class seeks payments for costs of medical monitoring for current and former smokers. In September 2003, the court granted defendants' motion to dismiss the complaint, and plaintiffs appealed to the Oregon Court of Appeals. In September 2006, the Oregon Court of Appeals upheld the trial court's decision. In December 2006, plaintiffs petitioned the Oregon Supreme Court to review the decision, and in April 2008, the Oregon Supreme Court affirmed the appellate court's decision.

Parsons, et al. v. Liggett Group Inc., et al., Case No. 98-C-388, Circuit Court, State of West Virginia, Kanawha County (case filed 4/9/98). This personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff's decedent and all West Virginia residents having claims for personal injury arising from exposure to both cigarette smoke and asbestos fibers. The action is stayed as a result of bankruptcy petitions filed by three defendants.

Romero, et al. v. Philip Morris Companies, Inc. et al., Case No. D0117 CV-00000972, District Court, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico (case filed 4/10/00). In this class action, plaintiffs allege that defendants conspired to fix, raise, stabilize, or maintain prices for cigarettes in the State of New Mexico. Plaintiffs' motion for class certification was granted in April 2003. In February 2005, the New Mexico Supreme Court affirmed the trial court's certification order. In June 2006, the trial court granted defendants' motions for summary judgment. Plaintiffs appealed the decision. Briefing was completed in August 2007, and the parties are awaiting a decision.

Schwab, et al. v. Philip Morris USA, Inc., et al., Case No. 1:04-CV-01945-JBW-SMG, USDC, Eastern District of New York (case filed 5/11/04). This class action sought economic damages on behalf of plaintiffs and all others similarly situated under the RICO act challenging the practices of defendants in connection with the marketing, advertising, promotion, distribution and sale of "light" cigarettes. In September 2006, the court certified a nationwide class of "light" smokers. The defendants appealed the certification and, in April 2008, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit decertified the class. See Note 8. Contingencies, for a more detailed discussion of the case.

Smith, et al. v. Philip Morris Companies, Inc., et al., Case No. 00-CV-26, District Court, Kansas, Seward County (case filed 2/7/00). In this class action, plaintiffs allege that defendants conspired to fix, raise, stabilize, or maintain prices for cigarettes in the State of Kansas. The court granted class certification in November 2001. The case has been stayed until the Kansas Supreme Court decides a petition for mandamus brought by certain defendants concerning an order to produce allegedly privileged documents.

Young, et al. v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 2:97-CV-03851, Civil District Court, State of Louisiana, Orleans Parish (case filed 11/12/97). This purported personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated residents in Louisiana who,

though not themselves cigarette smokers, have been exposed to secondhand smoke from cigarettes which were manufactured by the defendants, and who suffered injury as a result of that exposure. The plaintiffs seek to recover an unspecified amount of compensatory and punitive damages. In October 2004, the trial court stayed this case pending the outcome of the appeal in *Scott v. American Tobacco Co., Inc.* (as described in Note 8. Contingencies).

### **III. GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS**

City of St. Louis, et al. v. American Tobacco Company, Inc., et al., Case No. CV-982-09652, Circuit Court, State of Missouri, City of St. Louis (case filed 12/4/98). City of St. Louis and approximately 50 hospitals seek to recover past and future costs expended to provide healthcare to Medicaid, medically indigent, and non-paying patients suffering from tobacco-related illnesses. In June 2005, the court granted defendants' motion for summary judgment as to claims for damages which accrued prior to November 16, 1993. The claims for damages which accrued after November 16, 1993 are pending. Discovery is ongoing. Oral argument has been scheduled for September 3, 2008 on defendants' motion for partial summary judgment and on plaintiffs' motion for partial summary judgment precluding defendants from relitigating issues based on collateral estoppel. Trial is scheduled to commence on January 11, 2010.

Crow Creek Sioux Tribe v. American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. CV 97-09-082, Tribal Court of the Crow Creek Sioux Tribe, State of South Dakota (case filed 9/26/97). The plaintiffs seek to recover actual and punitive damages, restitution, funding of a clinical cessation program, funding of a corrective public education program and disgorgement of unjust profits from sales to minors. The plaintiffs claim that the defendants are liable under the following theories: unlawful marketing and targeting of minors, contributing to the delinquency of minors, unfair and deceptive acts or practices, unreasonable restraint of trade and unfair methods of competition, negligence, negligence per se, conspiracy and restitution of unjust enrichment. The case is dormant.

### **IV. THIRD-PARTY PAYOR ACTIONS**

General Health Services (Kupat Holim Clalit) v. Philip Morris, Inc., et al., Case No. 1571/98, District Court, Israel, Jerusalem (case filed 9/28/98). General Health Services seeks monetary damages and declaratory and injunctive relief on behalf of itself and all of its members against the major United States tobacco manufacturers. Motions filed by the defendants are pending before the Israel Supreme Court, seeking appeal from a lower court's decision granting leave to plaintiff for foreign service of process. See Note 8. Contingencies, for a more detailed discussion of the case.