



---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

---

FORM 10-Q

---

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For The Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2008

---

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**

(State or other jurisdiction  
incorporation or organization)

**1-5759**

Commission File Number

**65-0949535**

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**100 S.E. Second Street  
Miami, Florida 33131  
305/579-8000**

(Address, including zip code and telephone number, including area code,  
of the principal executive offices)

---

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  
 Non-accelerated filer

Accelerated filer  
 Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.  Yes  No

At November 10, 2008, Vector Group Ltd. had 66,014,070 shares of common stock outstanding.

---

---

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

FORM 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
<b><u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
<u>Item 1. Vector Group Ltd. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited):</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007</u>	2
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and September 30, 2007</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2008</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and September 30, 2007</u>	5
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	42
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	62
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	62
<b><u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	63
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	63
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	63
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	64
<b><u>SIGNATURE</u></b>	65
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-32.1</u>	
<u>EX-32.2</u>	
<u>EX-99.1</u>	

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. VECTOR GROUP LTD. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED):

**VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
Unaudited

	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
<b>ASSETS:</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 206,509	\$ 238,117
Investment securities available for sale	46,151	45,875
Accounts receivable — trade	7,398	3,113
Inventories	92,044	86,825
Deferred income taxes	13,439	18,336
Other current assets	5,128	3,360
Total current assets	<u>370,669</u>	<u>395,626</u>
Property, plant and equipment, net	52,318	54,432
Mortgage receivable, net	17,704	—
Long-term investments accounted for at cost	70,019	72,971
Long-term investment accounted for under the equity method	—	10,495
Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses	57,858	35,731
Restricted assets	7,928	8,766
Deferred income taxes	30,958	26,637
Intangible asset	107,511	107,511
Prepaid pension costs	45,148	42,084
Other assets	29,582	31,036
Total assets	<u>\$ 789,695</u>	<u>\$ 785,289</u>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of notes payable and long-term debt	\$ 9,432	\$ 20,618
Accounts payable	4,459	6,980
Accrued promotional expenses	10,629	9,210
Income taxes payable, net	14,384	2,363
Accrued excise and payroll taxes payable, net	276	5,327
Settlement accruals	38,211	10,041
Deferred income taxes	95,593	24,019
Accrued interest	4,987	9,475
Other current liabilities	19,106	21,304
Total current liabilities	<u>197,077</u>	<u>109,337</u>
Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion	281,434	277,178
Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	93,745	101,582
Non-current employee benefits	44,743	40,933
Deferred income taxes	66,879	141,904
Other liabilities	16,785	13,503
Total liabilities	<u>700,663</u>	<u>684,437</u>
Commitments and contingencies	—	—
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized	—	—
Common stock, par value \$0.10 per share, 150,000,000 shares authorized, 69,107,320 and 63,307,020 shares issued and 66,014,070 and 60,361,068 shares outstanding	6,601	6,036
Additional paid-in capital	80,081	89,494
Retained earnings	—	—
Accumulated other comprehensive income	15,207	18,179
Less: 3,093,250 and 2,945,952 shares of common stock in treasury, at cost	<u>(12,857)</u>	<u>(12,857)</u>
Total stockholders' equity	<u>89,032</u>	<u>100,852</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$ 789,695</u>	<u>\$ 785,289</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Revenues*	\$145,601	\$136,053	\$420,766	\$410,296
Expenses:				
Cost of goods sold*	84,999	80,182	251,036	252,089
Operating, selling, administrative and general expenses	23,067	22,164	69,809	69,597
Operating income	37,535	33,707	99,921	88,610
Other income (expenses):				
Interest and dividend income	1,094	2,445	4,440	5,862
Interest expense	(15,515)	(12,113)	(46,025)	(30,767)
Change in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	522	(6,331)	7,837	(4,215)
Provision for loss on investments	(7,000)	(58)	(7,000)	(1,216)
Gain from exchange of LTS notes	—	—	—	8,121
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses	5,202	6,589	22,706	15,926
Income from lawsuit settlement	—	—	—	20,000
Other, net	(1)	(6)	(578)	(42)
Income before provision for income taxes	21,837	24,233	81,301	102,279
Income tax expense	7,010	9,169	33,042	42,707
Net income	<u>\$ 14,827</u>	<u>\$ 15,064</u>	<u>\$ 48,259</u>	<u>\$ 59,572</u>
Per basic common share:				
Net income applicable to common shares	<u>\$ 0.22</u>	<u>\$ 0.22</u>	<u>\$ 0.72</u>	<u>\$ 0.89</u>
Per diluted common share:				
Net income applicable to common shares	<u>\$ 0.21</u>	<u>\$ 0.22</u>	<u>\$ 0.70</u>	<u>\$ 0.87</u>
Cash distributions and dividends declared per share	<u>\$ 0.38</u>	<u>\$ 0.36</u>	<u>\$ 1.14</u>	<u>\$ 1.09</u>

\* Revenues and Cost of goods sold include excise taxes of \$43,327, \$43,025, \$127,050 and \$132,305, respectively.

The accompanying notes are an integral part  
of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Share Amounts)**

**Unaudited**

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Treasury Stock	Total
	Shares	Amount					
Balance, December 31, 2007	60,361,068	\$ 6,036	\$ 89,494	\$ —	\$ 18,179	\$(12,857)	\$100,852
Net income	—	—	—	48,259	—	—	48,259
Pension-related minimum liability adjustments, net of taxes	—	—	—	—	586	—	586
Forward contract adjustments, net of taxes	—	—	—	—	26	—	26
Unrealized loss on long-term investments accounted for under the equity method, net of taxes	—	—	—	—	(399)	—	(399)
Unrealized loss on investment securities, net of taxes	—	—	—	—	(3,185)	—	(3,185)
Total other comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	—	(2,972)
Total comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	—	45,287
Distributions and dividends on common stock	—	—	(30,148)	(47,945)	—	—	(78,093)
Effect of stock dividend	3,142,760	314	—	(314)	—	—	—
Exercise of options, net of 1,375,895 shares delivered to pay exercise price	2,510,242	251	(164)	—	—	—	87
Excess tax benefit of options exercised	—	—	18,304	—	—	—	18,304
Amortization of deferred compensation	—	—	2,595	—	—	—	2,595
Balance, September 30, 2008	<u>66,014,070</u>	<u>\$ 6,601</u>	<u>\$ 80,081</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 15,207</u>	<u>\$(12,857)</u>	<u>\$ 89,032</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part  
of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 70,435	\$ 91,199
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchase of investment securities	(5,682)	(6,048)
Proceeds from sale or liquidation of long-term investments	8,334	50
Purchase of long-term investments	(51)	(35,091)
Purchase of mortgage receivable	(21,704)	—
Distributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses	17,628	1,000
Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses	(22,000)	(750)
Increases in cash surrender value of life insurance policies	(766)	(690)
Decrease (increase) in non-current restricted assets	838	(310)
Proceeds from sale of fixed assets	403	789
Capital expenditures	(5,426)	(4,211)
Net cash used in investing activities	(28,426)	(45,261)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from debt issuance	2,830	174,576
Repayments of debt	(4,666)	(39,718)
Deferred financing charges	(137)	(9,888)
Borrowings under revolver	386,499	389,383
Repayments on revolver	(397,892)	(401,231)
Dividends and distributions on common stock	(78,581)	(75,258)
Excess tax benefit of options exercised	18,304	1,928
Proceeds from exercise of options	26	4,857
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(73,617)	44,649
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(31,608)	90,587
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	238,117	146,769
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	<u>\$ 206,509</u>	<u>\$ 237,356</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part  
of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

**1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

(a) Basis of Presentation:

The condensed consolidated financial statements of Vector Group Ltd. (the "Company" or "Vector") include the accounts of VGR Holding LLC ("VGR Holding"), Liggett Group LLC ("Liggett"), Vector Tobacco Inc. ("Vector Tobacco"), Liggett Vector Brands Inc. ("Liggett Vector Brands"), New Valley LLC ("New Valley") and other less significant subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Liggett is engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes in the United States. Vector Tobacco is engaged in the development and marketing of low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarette products and the development of reduced risk cigarette products. New Valley is engaged in the real estate business and is seeking to acquire additional operating companies and real estate properties.

The interim condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company are unaudited and, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments necessary (which are normal and recurring) to state fairly the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The consolidated results of operations for interim periods should not be regarded as necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire year.

(b) Distributions and Dividends on Common Stock:

The Company records distributions on its common stock as dividends in its condensed consolidated statement of stockholders' equity to the extent of retained earnings. Any amounts exceeding retained earnings are recorded as a reduction to additional paid-in capital.

(c) Earnings Per Share ("EPS"):

Information concerning the Company's common stock has been adjusted to give retroactive effect to the 5% stock dividend paid to Company stockholders on September 29, 2008 and September 28, 2007. The dividends were recorded at par value of \$314 and \$287 since the Company did not have retained earnings at September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. In connection with the 5% stock dividend, the Company increased the number of outstanding stock options by 5% and reduced the exercise prices accordingly. All per share amounts have been presented as if the stock dividends had occurred on January 1, 2007.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

The Company has stock option awards which provide for common stock dividends at the same rate as paid on the common stock with respect to the shares underlying the unexercised portion of the options. As a result, in its calculation of basic EPS for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, the Company has adjusted its net income for the effect of its participating securities as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Net income	\$14,827	\$15,064	\$48,259	\$59,572
Income attributable to participating securities	<u>(675)</u>	<u>(979)</u>	<u>(2,228)</u>	<u>(3,895)</u>
Net income available to common stockholders	<u>\$14,152</u>	<u>\$14,085</u>	<u>\$46,031</u>	<u>\$55,677</u>

Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding, which includes vested restricted stock.

Diluted EPS includes the dilutive effect of stock options, unvested restricted stock grants and convertible securities. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the diluted weighted-average number of shares outstanding, which includes dilutive non-vested restricted stock grants, stock options and convertible securities.

Basic and diluted EPS were calculated using the following shares for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Weighted-average shares for basic EPS	65,643,892	62,631,805	64,043,271	62,472,190
Plus incremental shares related to dilutive stock options and non-vested restricted stock	<u>1,246,278</u>	<u>1,923,574</u>	<u>1,396,407</u>	<u>1,619,616</u>
Weighted-average shares for fully diluted EPS	<u>66,890,170</u>	<u>64,555,379</u>	<u>65,439,678</u>	<u>64,091,806</u>

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

The following stock options, non-vested restricted stock and shares issuable upon the conversion of convertible debt were outstanding during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 but were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because the exercise prices of the options and the per share expense associated with the restricted stock were greater than the average market price of the common shares during the respective periods, and the impact of common shares issuable under the convertible debt were anti-dilutive to EPS.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Number of stock options	514,735	171,247	514,735	228,954
Weighted-average exercise price	\$ 19.22	\$ 26.41	\$ 19.22	\$ 24.26
Weighted-average shares of non-vested restricted stock	81,080	—	225,784	—
Weighted-average expense per share	\$ 17.62	N / A	\$ 17.15	N / A
Weighted-average number of shares issuable upon conversion of debt	12,932,556	12,932,556	12,932,556	12,932,556
Weighted-average conversion price	\$ 17.16	\$ 17.16	\$ 17.16	\$ 17.16

The \$18,304 excess tax benefit of options exercised was derived primarily from stock options exercised during the second quarter of 2008.

(d) Comprehensive Income:

Other comprehensive income is a component of stockholders' equity and includes such items as the unrealized gains and losses on investment securities available for sale, forward foreign contracts and minimum pension liability adjustments. The Company's comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 was \$19,843 and \$45,287, respectively. The Company's comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$13,119 and \$70,510, respectively.

(e) Fair Value of Derivatives Embedded within Convertible Debt:

The Company has estimated the fair market value of the embedded derivatives based principally on the results of a valuation model. The estimated fair value of the derivatives embedded within the convertible debt is based principally on the present value of future dividend payments expected to be received by the convertible debt holders over the term of the debt. The discount rate applied to the future cash flows is estimated based on a spread in the yield of the Company's debt when compared to risk-free securities with the same duration; thus, a readily determinable fair market value of the embedded derivatives is not available. The valuation model assumes future dividend payments by the Company and utilizes interest rates and credit spreads for secured to unsecured debt, unsecured to subordinated debt and subordinated debt to preferred stock to determine the fair value of the derivatives embedded within the convertible debt. The valuation also considers other items, including current and future dividends and the volatility of Vector's stock price. The range of estimated fair market values of the Company's embedded derivatives was between \$93,200 and \$94,900. The Company recorded the fair market value of its embedded derivatives at the midpoint of the inputs at \$93,745 as of September 30, 2008. The estimated fair market value of the Company's embedded derivatives could change significantly based on future market conditions. (See Note 6.)

(f) Capital and Credit Market Crisis

As the capital and credit market crisis has worsened, the Company has performed additional assessments to determine the impact, if any, of recent market developments, on the Company's consolidated condensed financial statements. The Company's additional assessments have included a review of access to liquidity in the capital and credit markets, counterparty creditworthiness, value of the Company's investments (including long-term investments, mortgage receivable and employee benefit plans) and macroeconomic conditions. The recent unprecedented volatility in capital and credit markets may create additional risks in the upcoming months and possibly years and the Company will continue to perform additional assessments to determine the impact, if any, on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements. Thus, future impairment charges may occur.

On a quarterly basis, the Company evaluates its investments to determine whether an impairment has occurred. If so, the Company also makes a determination of whether such impairment is considered temporary or other-than-temporary. The Company believes that the assessment of temporary or other-than-temporary impairment is facts and circumstances driven. However, among the matters that are considered in making such a determination are the period of time the investment has remained below its cost or carrying value, the likelihood of recovery given the reason for the decrease in market value and the Company's original expected holding period of the investment.

(g) Contingencies:

The Company records Liggett's product liability legal expenses and other litigation costs as operating, selling, general and administrative expenses as those costs are incurred. As discussed in Note 8, legal proceedings covering a wide range of matters are pending or threatened in various jurisdictions against Liggett.

Management is unable to make a reasonable estimate with respect to the amount or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of pending tobacco-related litigation or the costs of defending such cases, and the Company has not provided any amounts in its consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes, if any. Litigation is subject to many uncertainties, and it is possible that the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome in any such tobacco-related litigation.



**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

(h) New Accounting Pronouncements:

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS No. 157") for financial assets and financial liabilities. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements but provides a definition of fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. The Company will adopt SFAS No. 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities on January 1, 2009. The adoption of SFAS No. 157 on financial assets and financial liabilities did not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows. The Company is currently assessing the impact of SFAS No. 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities on its consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

In February 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities". SFAS No. 159 permits entities to elect to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company has not elected to use the fair value option.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), a revised version of SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations". The revision is intended to simplify existing guidance and converge rulemaking under U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") with international accounting rules. This statement applies prospectively to business combinations where the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. An entity may not apply it before that date. The new standard also converges financial reporting under U.S. GAAP with international accounting rules. The Company is currently assessing the impact, if any, of SFAS No. 141(R) on its consolidated financial statements, which will depend on future transactions.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities-an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133". SFAS No. 161 seeks qualitative disclosures about the objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative data about the fair value of and gains and losses on derivative contracts, and details of credit-risk-related contingent features in hedged positions. SFAS No. 161 also seeks enhanced disclosure around derivative instruments in financial statements, accounting under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities", and how hedges affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 is effective for the Company as of January 1, 2009 and the Company does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 161 to have a material impact on its consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

On May 9, 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1, "Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)" ("FSP No. APB 14-1"). The Company is currently assessing the impact of FSP No. APB 14-1 on its consolidated financial statements.

On June 16, 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. EITF 03-6-1, "Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions are Participating Securities," which states that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share under the two-class method. The guidance is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those years. The Company is currently assessing the impact of FSP No. EITF 03-6-1 on its consolidated financial statements.

In October 2008, the FASB issued FSP SFAS 157-3, "Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active," which addresses the application of SFAS 157 for illiquid financial instruments. FSP SFAS 157-3 clarifies that approaches to determining fair value other than the market approach may be appropriate when the market for a financial asset is not active.

**2. RESTRUCTURING**

The only remaining component of the 2006 Vector Research restructuring at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 was employee severance and benefits of \$14 and \$70, respectively. Approximately \$0 and \$56 was utilized during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, respectively.

The only remaining component of the 2004 Liggett Vector Brands restructuring at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 was contract termination and exit costs and the balance was \$520 and \$598 at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively. Approximately \$44 and \$78 was utilized during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, respectively.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  
Unaudited

**3. INVESTMENT SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE**

Investment securities classified as available for sale are carried at fair value, with net unrealized gains or losses included as a component of stockholders' equity, net of income taxes. The components of investment securities available for sale at September 30, 2008 are as follows:

	<u>Cost</u>	<u>Gross Unrealized Gain</u>	<u>Gross Unrealized Loss</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
Marketable equity securities	<u>\$27,229</u>	<u>\$19,836</u>	<u>\$ (914)</u>	<u>\$46,151</u>

Investment securities available for sale as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 include the Company's 13,888,889 shares of Ladenburg Thalmann Financial Services Inc. ("LTS") common stock, which were carried at \$25,000 and \$29,444, respectively. Investment securities available for sale as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 also include 5,057,110 and 2,257,110 shares, respectively, of Opko Health Inc. ("Opko") common stock, which were carried at \$8,850 and \$6,433, respectively. In March 2008, the Company acquired 2,800,000 shares of Opko in a private placement. These shares have not been registered for resale but are expected to be freely tradable within one year. In 2008, the Company acquired 2,259,796 shares of Cardo Medical, Inc. for \$500. The shares were carried at \$3,842 as of September 30, 2008. These shares have not been registered for resale but are expected to be freely tradable within one year.

**4. INVENTORIES**

Inventories consist of:

	<u>September 30, 2008</u>	<u>December 31, 2007</u>
Leaf tobacco	\$ 47,959	\$ 41,502
Other raw materials	4,615	4,847
Work-in-process	268	710
Finished goods	<u>47,794</u>	<u>45,331</u>
Inventories at current cost	100,636	92,390
LIFO adjustments	<u>(8,592)</u>	<u>(5,565)</u>
	<u>\$ 92,044</u>	<u>\$ 86,825</u>

The Company has a leaf inventory management program whereby, among other things, it is committed to purchase certain quantities of leaf tobacco. The purchase commitments are for quantities not in excess of anticipated requirements and are at prices, including carrying costs, established at the commitment date. At September 30, 2008, Liggett had leaf tobacco purchase commitments of approximately \$9,901. There were no leaf tobacco purchase commitments at Vector Tobacco at that date. During 2007, the Company entered into a single source supply agreement for fire safe cigarette paper through 2012.

The Company capitalizes the incremental prepaid cost of the Master Settlement Agreement in ending inventory. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, the Company's MSA expense was reduced by approximately \$1,300 as a result of a change in estimate to the MSA assessment for 2007.

LIFO inventories represent approximately 95% of total inventories at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

**5. LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS**

Long-term investments consist of investments in the following:

	September 30, 2008		December 31, 2007	
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
Investment partnerships accounted for at cost	\$70,019	\$72,489	\$72,971	\$89,007
Investments accounted for on the equity method	\$ —	\$ —	\$10,495	\$10,495

The principal business of these investment partnerships is investing in investment securities and real estate. The estimated fair value of the investment partnerships was provided by the partnerships based on the indicated market values of the underlying assets or investment portfolio. The investments in these investment partnerships are illiquid and the ultimate realization of these investments is subject to the performance of the underlying partnership and its management by the general partners.

In April 2008, the Company elected to withdraw its investment in Jefferies Buckeye Fund, LLC ("Buckeye Fund"), a privately managed investment partnership, of which Jefferies Asset Management, LLC is the portfolio manager. The Company recorded a loss of \$567 during the first quarter of 2008 associated with the Buckeye Fund's performance, which has been included as "Other expense" on the Company's condensed consolidated statement of operations. The Company received proceeds of \$8,328 in May 2008 and anticipates receiving an additional \$925 of proceeds in the fourth quarter of 2008, which has been included in "Other current assets" on the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet.

The Company recorded a loss of \$3,000 during the third quarter of 2008 due to the performance of two of its long-term investments. The Company determined that an other-than-temporary impairment had occurred during the third quarter of 2008 as a result of its quarterly evaluation of long-term investments. If it is determined that an other-than-temporary decline in fair value exists in long-term investments, the Company records an impairment charge with respect to such investment in its consolidated statements of operations. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, one of the Company's long-term investments was impaired due to a portion of its underlying assets being held in an account with the European subsidiary of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. while another of the Company's long-term investments was impaired as a result of the fund's performance in 2008.

The long-term investments are carried on the condensed consolidated balance sheet at cost. The fair value determination disclosed above would be classified as Level 3 under the SFAS 157 hierarchy disclosed in Note 11 if such assets were recorded on the condensed consolidated balance sheet at fair value. The fair values were determined based on unobservable inputs and were based on company assumptions, and information obtained from the partnerships based on the indicated market values of the underlying assets of the investment portfolio.

The changes in the fair value of these investments as of September 30, 2008 were as follows:

	Investment Partnerships Accounted for at Cost	Investment Partnerships Accounted for on the Equity Method
Balance as of January 1, 2008	\$ 89,007	\$ 10,495
Unrealized loss on long-term investments	(2,034)	(675)
Realized loss on long-term investments	—	(567)
Balance as of March 31, 2008	86,973	9,253
Contributions (distributions)	47	(8,328)
Unrealized loss on long-term investments	(3,767)	—
Realized gain on long-term investments	14	—
Receivable classified as "Other currents assets"	—	(925)
Balance as of June 30, 2008	83,267	—
Contributions (distributions)	—	—
Unrealized loss on long-term investments	(7,778)	—
Realized loss on long-term investments	(3,000)	—
Balance as of September 30, 2008	\$ 72,489	\$ —

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

Because the capital and credit market crisis has continued to worsen, the Company will continue to perform additional assessments to determine the impact, if any, on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements. Thus, future impairment charges may occur.

In the future, the Company may invest in other investments, including limited partnerships, real estate investments, equity securities, debt securities, derivatives and certificates of deposit, depending on risk factors and potential rates of return.

**6. NOTES PAYABLE, LONG-TERM DEBT AND OTHER OBLIGATIONS**

Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations consist of:

	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
<b>Vector:</b>		
11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015	\$ 165,000	\$ 165,000
3.875% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures due 2026, net of unamortized discount of \$84,066 and \$84,299*	25,934	25,701
5% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Notes due 2011, net of unamortized net discount of \$41,961 and \$48,027*	69,903	63,837
<b>Liggett:</b>		
Revolving credit facility	3,389	14,782
Term loan under credit facility	7,422	7,822
Equipment loans	9,416	9,660
<b>V.T. Aviation:</b>		
Note payable	5,583	6,470
<b>VGR Aviation:</b>		
Note payable	4,130	4,370
Other	89	154
Total notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations	290,866	297,796
<b>Less:</b>		
Current maturities	(9,432)	(20,618)
Amount due after one year	<u>\$ 281,434</u>	<u>\$ 277,178</u>

\* The fair value of the derivatives embedded within the 3.875% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures (\$64,986 at September 30, 2008 and \$67,911 at December 31, 2007) and the 5% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Notes (\$28,759 at September 30, 2008 and \$33,671 at December 31, 2007) is separately classified as a derivative liability in the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 — Vector:

In August 2007, the Company sold \$165,000 of its 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 (the “Senior Secured Notes”) in a private offering to qualified institutional investors in accordance with Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. On May 28, 2008, the Company completed an offer to exchange the Senior Secured Notes for an equal amount of newly issued 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015. The new Senior Secured Notes have substantially the same terms as the original notes, except that the new Senior Secured Notes have been registered under the Securities Act.

Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debt — Vector:

Vector has issued two series of variable interest senior convertible debt. Both series of debt pay interest on a quarterly basis at a stated rate plus an additional amount of interest on each payment date. The additional amount is based on the amount of cash dividends paid during the prior three-month period ending on the record date for such interest payment multiplied by the total number of shares of its common stock into which the debt would be convertible on such record date.

A summary of non-cash interest expense associated with the amortization of the debt discount created by the embedded derivative liability associated with the Company’s variable interest senior convertible debt for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 is as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
3.875% convertible debentures	\$ 89	\$ 70	\$ 269	\$ (98)
5% convertible notes	1,423	1,033	3,904	2,681
Interest expense associated with embedded derivatives	<u>\$ 1,512</u>	<u>\$ 1,103</u>	<u>\$ 4,173</u>	<u>\$ 2,583</u>

A summary of non-cash changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt is as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
3.875% convertible debentures	\$ 43	\$(5,313)	\$ 2,925	\$(5,419)
5% convertible notes	479	(1,018)	4,912	1,204
Loss (gain) on changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	<u>\$ 522</u>	<u>\$(6,331)</u>	<u>\$ 7,837</u>	<u>\$(4,215)</u>

The following table reconciles the fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt at September 30, 2008.

	3.875% Convertible Debentures	5% Convertible Notes	Total
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 67,911	\$ 33,671	\$ 101,582
Loss (gain) from changes in fair value of embedded derivatives	3,250	(806)	2,444
Balance at March 31, 2008	71,161	32,865	104,026
Gain from changes in fair value of embedded derivatives	(6,132)	(3,627)	(9,759)
Balance at June 30, 2008	65,029	29,238	94,267
Gain from changes in fair value of embedded derivatives	(43)	(479)	(522)
Balance at September 30, 2008	<u>\$ 64,986</u>	<u>\$ 28,759</u>	<u>\$ 93,745</u>

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  
**Unaudited**

*Beneficial Conversion Feature on Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debt:*

A summary of non-cash interest expense associated with the amortization of the debt discount created by the beneficial conversion feature on the Company's variable interest senior convertible debt for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 is as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
<b>Amortization of beneficial conversion feature:</b>				
3.875% convertible debentures	\$ (17)	\$ (17)	\$ (36)	\$ (197)
5% convertible notes	789	572	2,162	1,465
Interest expense associated with beneficial conversion feature	<u>\$ 772</u>	<u>\$ 555</u>	<u>\$ 2,126</u>	<u>\$ 1,268</u>

*Unamortized Debt Discount:*

The following table reconciles unamortized debt discount at September 30, 2008:

	3.875% Convertible Debentures	5% Convertible Notes	Total
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 84,299	\$ 48,027	\$132,326
Amortization of embedded derivatives	(269)	(3,904)	(4,173)
Amortization of beneficial conversion feature	36	(2,162)	(2,126)
Balance at September 30, 2008	<u>\$ 84,066</u>	<u>\$ 41,961</u>	<u>\$126,027</u>

Revolving Credit Facility — Liggett:

Liggett has a \$50,000 credit facility with Wachovia Bank, N.A. under which \$3,389 was outstanding at September 30, 2008. Availability as determined under the facility was approximately \$23,112 based on eligible collateral at September 30, 2008.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

**7. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS**Defined Benefit and Postretirement Plans:

Net periodic benefit cost for the Company's pension and other postretirement benefit plans for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 consists of the following:

	Pension Benefits			
	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2008	September 30, 2007	September 30, 2008	September 30, 2007
Service cost — benefits earned during the period	\$ 1,035	\$ 1,062	\$ 3,105	\$ 3,186
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	2,381	2,281	7,143	6,843
Expected return on plan assets	(3,036)	(3,183)	(9,108)	(9,549)
Amortization of prior service cost	350	351	1,050	1,053
Amortization of net loss	25	176	75	528
Net expense	<u>\$ 755</u>	<u>\$ 687</u>	<u>\$ 2,265</u>	<u>\$ 2,061</u>

	Other Postretirement Benefits			
	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2008	September 30, 2007	September 30, 2008	September 30, 2007
Service cost — benefits earned during the period	\$ 4	\$ 4	\$ 12	\$ 12
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	148	148	444	444
Amortization of net loss	(45)	(26)	(135)	(78)
Net expense	<u>\$ 107</u>	<u>\$ 126</u>	<u>\$ 321</u>	<u>\$ 378</u>

The Company did not make contributions to its pension benefits plans for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and does not anticipate making any contributions to such plans in 2008. The Company anticipates paying approximately \$750 in other postretirement benefits in 2008.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

**8. CONTINGENCIES****Tobacco-Related Litigation:***Overview*

Since 1954, Liggett and other United States cigarette manufacturers have been named as defendants in numerous direct, third-party and purported class actions predicated on the theory that cigarette manufacturers should be liable for damages alleged to have been caused by cigarette smoking or by exposure to secondary smoke from cigarettes. New cases continue to be commenced against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers. The cases generally fall into the following categories: (i) smoking and health cases alleging personal injury brought on behalf of individual plaintiffs ("Individual Actions"); (ii) smoking and health cases primarily alleging personal injury or seeking court-supervised programs for ongoing medical monitoring and purporting to be brought on behalf of a class of individual plaintiffs ("Class Actions"); (iii) health care cost recovery actions brought by various foreign and domestic governmental entities ("Governmental Actions"); and (iv) health care cost recovery actions brought by third-party payors including insurance companies, union health and welfare trust funds, asbestos manufacturers and others ("Third-Party Payor Actions"). As new cases are commenced, the costs associated with defending these cases and the risks relating to the inherent unpredictability of litigation continue to increase. The future financial impact of the risks and expenses of litigation and the effects of the tobacco litigation settlements discussed below are not quantifiable at this time. Liggett incurred legal expenses and other litigation related costs totaling approximately \$1,737 and \$1,648, for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and \$4,806 and \$5,886 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

*Individual Actions*

As of September 30, 2008, there were 36 individual cases pending against Liggett and/or the Company, where one or more individual plaintiffs allege injury resulting from cigarette smoking, addiction to cigarette smoking or exposure to secondary smoke and seek compensatory and, in some cases, punitive damages. In addition, there were approximately 2,240 *Engle* progeny cases (defined below) pending, in state and federal courts in Florida, and approximately 100 individual cases pending in West Virginia state court as part of a consolidated action. The following table lists the number of individual cases by state that are pending against Liggett (excluding *Engle* progeny cases and the cases consolidated in West Virginia) or its affiliates as of September 30, 2008:

<u>State</u>	<u>Number of Cases</u>
Florida	11
New York	11
Louisiana	5
Mississippi	2
West Virginia	2
District of Columbia	1
Maryland	1
Missouri	1
Ohio	1
Pennsylvania	1

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

*Liggett Only Cases.* There are currently five cases pending where Liggett is the only tobacco company defendant. In April 2004, in *Davis v. Liggett Group*, a Florida state court jury awarded compensatory damages of \$540 against Liggett. In addition, plaintiff's counsel was awarded legal fees of \$752. Liggett appealed both the verdict and the legal fee award. In October 2007, the compensatory award was affirmed by the Fourth District Court of Appeal, but the court certified certain issues to the Florida Supreme Court. Oral argument was held on November 6, 2008. The parties await a decision. In March 2008, the Fourth District Court of Appeal reversed and remanded the legal fee award for further proceedings in the trial court. No amounts have been expensed for this matter. In *Ferlanti v. Liggett Group*, an *Engle* progeny case, a trial is scheduled for February 2009. This case was originally filed by plaintiff in 2003 as an individual case. Trial commenced in 2008 and, after three days, the court declared a mistrial. Since that time, plaintiff amended her complaint to assert her status as a decertified *Engle* class member. The *Engle* progeny cases are discussed below. The other three individual actions, where Liggett is the only tobacco company defendant, are dormant.

The plaintiffs' allegations of liability in those cases in which individuals seek recovery for injuries allegedly caused by cigarette smoking are based on various theories of recovery, including negligence, gross negligence, breach of special duty, strict liability, fraud, concealment, misrepresentation, design defect, failure to warn, breach of express and implied warranties, conspiracy, aiding and abetting, concert of action, unjust enrichment, common law public nuisance, property damage, invasion of privacy, mental anguish, emotional distress, disability, shock, indemnity and violations of deceptive trade practice laws, the federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act ("RICO"), state RICO statutes and antitrust statutes. In many of these cases, in addition to compensatory damages, plaintiffs also seek other forms of relief including treble/multiple damages, medical monitoring, disgorgement of profits and punitive damages. Although alleged damages often are not determinable from a complaint, and the law governing the pleading and calculation of damages varies from state to state and jurisdiction to jurisdiction, compensatory and punitive damages have been specifically pleaded in a number of cases, sometimes in amounts ranging into the hundreds of millions and even billions of dollars.

Defenses raised by defendants in individual cases include lack of proximate cause, assumption of the risk, comparative fault and/or contributory negligence, lack of design defect, statute of limitations, equitable defenses such as "unclean hands" and lack of benefit, failure to state a claim and federal preemption.

In addition to the award against Liggett in *Davis* (discussed above), jury awards have been returned against other cigarette manufacturers in recent years. The awards in these individual actions, often in excess of millions of dollars, are for both compensatory and punitive damages. There are several significant jury awards against other cigarette manufacturers which are currently on appeal.

*Engle Progeny Cases.* In 2000, a jury in *Engle v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.* rendered a \$145,000,000 punitive damages verdict in favor of a "Florida Class" against certain cigarette manufacturers, including Liggett. Pursuant to the Florida Supreme Court's July 2006 ruling in *Engle*, which decertified the class on a prospective basis, and affirmed the appellate court's reversal of the punitive damages award, former class members had one year from January 11, 2007 in which to file individual lawsuits. In addition, some individuals who filed suit prior to January 11, 2007, and who claim they meet the conditions in *Engle*, are attempting to avail themselves of the *Engle* ruling. Lawsuits by individuals requesting the benefit of the *Engle* ruling, whether filed before or after the January 11, 2007 mandate, are referred to as the "*Engle* progeny cases." Liggett and/or the Company have been named in approximately 2,240 *Engle* progeny cases in both state and federal courts in Florida. Other cigarette manufacturers have also been named as defendants in most of these cases. These cases include approximately 9,570 plaintiffs, although duplicate cases were filed in federal and state court on behalf of approximately 660 plaintiffs. Although the total number of *Engle* plaintiffs will not increase, the number of cases will likely increase as the court may require multi-plaintiff cases to be severed into individual cases. For further information on the *Engle* case, see "Class Actions — *Engle* Case," below.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

*Class Actions*

As of September 30, 2008, there were eight actions pending for which either a class has been certified or plaintiffs are seeking class certification, where Liggett is a named defendant, including two alleged price fixing cases. Other cigarette manufacturers are also named in these actions. Many of these actions purport to constitute statewide class actions and were filed after May 1996 when the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, in *Castano v. American Tobacco Co., Inc.*, reversed a federal district court's certification of a purported nationwide class action on behalf of persons who were allegedly "addicted" to tobacco products.

*Engle Case.* In May 1994, *Engle* was filed against Liggett and others in Miami-Dade County, Florida. The class consisted of all Florida residents who, by November 21, 1996, "have suffered, presently suffer or have died from diseases and medical conditions caused by their addiction to cigarette smoking." In July 1999, after the conclusion of Phase I of the trial, the jury returned a verdict against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers on certain issues determined by the trial court to be "common" to the causes of action of the plaintiff class. The jury made several findings adverse to the defendants including that defendants' conduct "rose to a level that would permit a potential award or entitlement to punitive damages." Phase II of the trial was a causation and damages trial for three of the class plaintiffs and a punitive damages trial on a class-wide basis, before the same jury that returned the verdict in Phase I. In April 2000, the jury awarded compensatory damages of \$12,704 to the three class plaintiffs, to be reduced in proportion to the respective plaintiff's fault. In July 2000, the jury awarded approximately \$145,000,000 in punitive damages, including \$790,000 against Liggett.

In May 2003, Florida's Third District Court of Appeal reversed the trial court's final judgment and remanded the case with instructions to decertify the class. The judgment in favor of one of the three class plaintiffs, in the amount of \$5,831, was overturned as time barred and the court found that Liggett was not liable to the other two class plaintiffs.

In July 2006, the Florida Supreme Court affirmed the decision vacating the punitive damages award and held that the class should be decertified prospectively, but preserved several of the trial court's Phase I findings (including that: (i) smoking causes lung cancer, among other diseases; (ii) nicotine in cigarettes is addictive; (iii) defendants placed cigarettes on the market that were defective and unreasonably dangerous; (iv) the defendants concealed material information; (v) all defendants sold or supplied cigarettes that were defective; and (vi) all defendants were negligent) and allowed former class members to proceed to trial on individual liability issues (using the above findings) and compensatory and punitive damage issues, provided they commence their individual lawsuits within one year from January 11, 2007, the date of the court's mandate. In December 2006, the Florida Supreme Court added the finding that defendants sold or supplied cigarettes that, at the time of sale or supply, did not conform to the representations made by defendants. As a result of the decision, approximately 9,570 former *Engle* class members have commenced suit against Liggett and/or the Company as well as other cigarette manufacturers.

In June 2002, the jury in a Florida state court action entitled *Lukacs v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.*, awarded \$37,500 in compensatory damages in a case involving Liggett and two other cigarette manufacturers, which amount was subsequently reduced by the court. The jury found Liggett 50% responsible for the damages incurred by the plaintiff. The *Lukacs* case was the first case to be tried as an individual *Engle* progeny suit following entry of final judgment by the *Engle* trial court. In August 2008, the court entered judgment in the amount of \$24,835 (for which Liggett is 50% responsible), plus interest from June 2002 which, as of September 30, 2008, was approximately \$13,200. Liggett intends to appeal the award and may be required to post a bond. In addition, plaintiff filed a motion seeking an award of attorneys' fees from Liggett based on plaintiff's prior proposal for settlement. In October 2008, plaintiff withdrew her request for punitive damages.

*Other Class Actions.* Classes remain certified against Liggett in Kansas (*Smith*) and New Mexico (*Romero*). *Smith v. Philip Morris* and *Romero v. Philip Morris* are actions in which plaintiffs allege that cigarette manufacturers conspired to fix cigarette prices in violation of antitrust laws. Class certification was granted in *Smith* in November 2001. Discovery is ongoing. Class certification was granted in *Romero* in April 2003 and was affirmed by the New Mexico Supreme Court in February 2005. In June 2006, the trial court granted defendants' motion for summary judgment. Plaintiffs appealed to the New Mexico Court of Appeals. The parties completed briefing in August 2007 and await a decision. In West Virginia, a jury verdict in a purported medical monitoring class action was entered in favor of all tobacco company defendants other than Liggett (Liggett was previously severed from the trial). There has been no further activity in this case.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

Class action suits have been filed in a number of states against cigarette manufacturers, alleging, among other things, that the use of the terms "light" and "ultra light" constitutes unfair and deceptive trade practices, among other things. One such suit, *Schwab v. Philip Morris*, pending in federal court in New York since 2004, sought to create a nationwide class of "light" cigarette smokers. In September 2006, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York certified the class. In April 2008, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit decertified the class. The case was returned to the trial court for further proceedings. There has been no further activity.

In November 1997, in *Young v. American Tobacco Co.*, a purported personal injury class action was commenced on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated residents in Louisiana who, though not themselves cigarette smokers, alleged to have been exposed to secondhand smoke from cigarettes which were manufactured by the defendants, and who suffered injury as a result of that exposure. The plaintiffs seek to recover an unspecified amount of compensatory and punitive damages. In October 2004, the trial court stayed this case pending the outcome of the appeal in *Scott v. American Tobacco Co.*, (See description below).

In June 1998, in *Cleary v. Philip Morris*, a putative class action was brought in Illinois state court on behalf of persons who were allegedly injured by (i) the defendants' purported conspiracy pursuant to which defendants allegedly concealed material facts regarding the addictive nature of nicotine; (ii) the defendants' alleged acts of targeting their advertising and marketing to minors; and (iii) the defendants' claimed breach of the public's right to defendants' compliance with laws prohibiting the distribution of cigarettes to minors. The plaintiffs request that the defendants be required to disgorge all profits unjustly received through their sale of cigarettes to plaintiffs and the class, which in no event will be greater than \$75 per class member, inclusive of punitive damages, interest and costs. In July 2006, the plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification. A class certification hearing occurred in September 2007 and the parties are awaiting a decision. Merits discovery is stayed pending a ruling by the court. A status conference is scheduled in October 2009.

In April 2001, in *Brown v. American Tobacco Co.*, a California state court granted in part plaintiffs' motion for class certification and certified a class comprised of adult residents of California who smoked at least one of defendants' cigarettes "during the applicable time period" and who were exposed to defendants' marketing and advertising activities in California. In March 2005, the court granted defendants' motion to decertify the class based on a recent change in California law. In October 2006, the plaintiffs filed a petition for review with the California Supreme Court, which was granted in November 2006. Oral argument has not yet been scheduled.

Although not technically a class action, in *In Re: Tobacco Litigation (Personal Injury Cases)*, a West Virginia State court consolidated approximately 750 individual smoker actions that were pending prior to 2001 for trial of certain common issues. In January 2002, the court severed Liggett from the trial of the consolidated action. The consolidation was affirmed on appeal by the West Virginia Supreme Court. In February 2008, the United States Supreme Court denied the defendants' petition for writ of certiorari asking the Court to review the trial plan. It is estimated that Liggett could be a defendant in approximately 100 of the cases. In February 2008, the court granted defendants' motion to stay all proceedings pending United States Supreme Court review in *Altria Group Inc. v. Good*, where the Court will determine whether certain state law claims are preempted by federal law. Oral argument was held in that case in October 2008 and the parties await a decision.

Class certification motions are pending in a number of other cases and a number of orders denying class certification are on appeal. In addition to the cases described above, numerous class actions remain certified against other cigarette manufacturers, including *Scott*. In that case, a Louisiana jury returned a \$591,000 verdict (subsequently reduced by the court to \$263,500 plus interest from June 2004) against other cigarette manufacturers to fund medical monitoring or smoking cessation programs for members of the class. The verdict is on appeal.

#### *Governmental Actions*

As of September 30, 2008, there were two Governmental Actions pending against Liggett, only one of which is active. The claims asserted in health care cost recovery actions vary. In these cases, the governmental entities typically assert equitable claims that the tobacco industry was "unjustly enriched" by their payment of health care costs allegedly attributable to smoking and seek reimbursement of those costs. Other claims made by some but not all plaintiffs include the equitable claim of indemnity, common law claims of negligence, strict liability, breach of express and implied warranty, breach of special duty, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy, public nuisance, claims under state and federal statutes governing consumer fraud, antitrust, deceptive trade practices and false advertising, and claims under RICO.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

In December 1998, in *City of St. Louis v. American Tobacco Company*, a case pending in Missouri state court, the City of St. Louis and approximately 50 hospitals brought suit against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers seeking recovery of costs expended by the hospitals on behalf of patients who suffer, or have suffered, from illnesses allegedly resulting from the use of cigarettes. In June 2005, the court granted defendants' motion for summary judgment as to claims for damages which accrued prior to November 16, 1993. The claims for damages which accrued after November 16, 1993 are pending. Discovery is ongoing. On September 3, 2008, the court heard argument on motions for summary judgment filed by the parties. A decision is pending. Recently, several plaintiffs dismissed their cases, with prejudice. Trial is scheduled to commence in January 2010.

*DOJ Case.* In September 1999, the United States government commenced litigation against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. The action sought to recover an unspecified amount of health care costs paid for and furnished, and to be paid for and furnished, by the federal government for lung cancer, heart disease, emphysema and other smoking-related illnesses allegedly caused by the fraudulent and tortious conduct of defendants, to restrain defendants and co-conspirators from engaging in alleged fraud and other allegedly unlawful conduct in the future, and to compel defendants to disgorge the proceeds of their unlawful conduct. The action asserted claims under three federal statutes, the Medical Care Recovery Act ("MCRA"), the Medicare Secondary Payer provisions of the Social Security Act ("MSP") and RICO. In September 2000, the court dismissed the government's claims based on MCRA and MSP.

In August 2006, the trial court entered a Final Judgment and Remedial Order against each of the cigarette manufacturing defendants, except Liggett. The Final Judgment, among other things, ordered that the non-Liggett defendants are enjoined from: (i) committing any act of racketeering concerning the manufacturing, marketing, promotion, health consequences or sale of cigarettes in the United States; (ii) making any material false, misleading, or deceptive statement or representation concerning cigarettes that persuades people to purchase cigarettes; and (iii) utilizing "lights", "low tar", "ultra lights", "mild", or "natural" descriptors, or conveying any other express or implied health messages in connection with the marketing or sale of cigarettes, domestically and internationally.

Although this case has been concluded as to Liggett, it is unclear what impact, if any, the Final Judgment will have on the cigarette industry as a whole. All parties, other than Liggett, appealed the decision and, on October 14, 2008, oral argument was heard by the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia. A decision is pending. To the extent that the Final Judgment leads to a decline in industry-wide shipments of cigarettes in the United States or otherwise imposes regulations which adversely affect the industry, Liggett's sales volume, operating income and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

*Third-Party Payor Actions*

As of September 30, 2008, there were two Third-Party Payor Actions pending against Liggett. Other cigarette manufacturers are also named. The Third-Party Payor Actions typically have been commenced by insurance companies, union health and welfare trust funds, asbestos manufacturers and others. In Third-Party Payor Actions, plaintiffs seek damages for: funding of corrective public education campaigns relating to issues of smoking and health; funding for clinical smoking cessation programs; disgorgement of profits from sales of cigarettes; restitution; treble damages; and attorneys' fees. Although no specific amounts are provided, it is understood that requested damages against cigarette manufacturers in these cases might be in the billions of dollars.

Several federal circuit courts of appeals and state appellate courts have ruled that Third-Party Payors did not have standing to bring lawsuits against cigarette manufacturers, relying primarily on grounds that plaintiffs' claims were too remote. The United States Supreme Court has refused to consider plaintiffs' appeals from the cases decided by five federal circuit courts of appeals.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

In June 2005, the Jerusalem District Court in Israel added Liggett as a defendant in an action commenced in 1998 by the largest private insurer in that country, General Health Services, against the major United States cigarette manufacturers. The plaintiff seeks to recover the past and future value of the total expenditures for health care services provided to residents of Israel resulting from tobacco related diseases, court ordered interest for past expenditures from the date of filing the statement of claim, increased and/or punitive and/or exemplary damages and costs. The court ruled that, although Liggett had not sold product in Israel since at least 1978, it might still have liability for cigarettes sold prior to that time. Motions filed by the defendants are pending before the Israel Supreme Court seeking appeal from a lower court's decision granting leave to plaintiff for foreign service of process.

In May 2008, in *National Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare v. Philip Morris USA*, a case pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York, plaintiffs commenced an action to recover twice the amount paid by Medicare for the health care services provided to Medicare beneficiaries to treat diseases allegedly attributable to smoking the defendants' cigarettes from May 21, 2002 to the present, for which treatment the defendants' allegedly were required to make payment under MSP. Defendants' motion to dismiss and Plaintiffs' motion for partial summary judgment were filed in July 2008. A decision is pending.

*Upcoming Trials*

There are currently 41 individual actions in Florida, that may be set for trial in 2009, where Liggett and/or Vector, and other cigarette manufacturers are named as defendants. These cases are all *Engle* progeny cases. There is one *Engle* progeny case scheduled for trial in February 2009 where Liggett is the only defendant. In addition, a trial has been scheduled for January 19, 2009 in a non-*Engle* individual action in Florida and for October 19, 2009 in an individual action in Missouri where Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers are named as defendants. Trial dates are subject to change.

*MSA and Other State Settlement Agreements*

In March 1996, March 1997 and March 1998, Liggett entered into settlements of smoking-related litigation with 45 states and territories. The settlements released Liggett from all smoking-related claims within those states and territories, including claims for health care cost reimbursement and claims concerning sales of cigarettes to minors.

In November 1998, Philip Morris, Brown & Williamson, R.J. Reynolds and Lorillard (the "Original Participating Manufacturers" or "OPMs") and Liggett (together with any other tobacco product manufacturer that becomes a signatory, the "Subsequent Participating Manufacturers" or "SPMs") (the OPMs and SPMs are hereinafter referred to jointly as the "Participating Manufacturers") entered into the Master Settlement Agreement (the "MSA") with 46 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, the United States Virgin Islands, American Samoa and the Northern Mariana Islands (collectively, the "Settling States") to settle the asserted and unasserted health care cost recovery and certain other claims of those Settling States. The MSA received final judicial approval in each Settling State.

In the Settling States, the MSA released Liggett from:

- all claims of the Settling States and their respective political subdivisions and other recipients of state health care funds, relating to: (i) past conduct arising out of the use, sale, distribution, manufacture, development, advertising and marketing of tobacco products; (ii) the health effects of, the exposure to, or research, statements or warnings about, tobacco products; and
- all monetary claims of the Settling States and their respective subdivisions and other recipients of state health care funds relating to future conduct arising out of the use of, or exposure to, tobacco products that have been manufactured in the ordinary course of business.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

The MSA restricts tobacco product advertising and marketing within the Settling States and otherwise restricts the activities of Participating Manufacturers. Among other things, the MSA prohibits the targeting of youth in the advertising, promotion or marketing of tobacco products; bans the use of cartoon characters in all tobacco advertising and promotion; limits each Participating Manufacturer to one tobacco brand name sponsorship during any 12-month period; bans all outdoor advertising, with certain limited exceptions; prohibits payments for tobacco product placement in various media; bans gift offers based on the purchase of tobacco products without sufficient proof that the intended recipient is an adult; prohibits Participating Manufacturers from licensing third parties to advertise tobacco brand names in any manner prohibited under the MSA; and prohibits Participating Manufacturers from using as a tobacco product brand name any nationally recognized non-tobacco brand or trade name or the names of sports teams, entertainment groups or individual celebrities.

The MSA also requires Participating Manufacturers to affirm corporate principles to comply with the MSA and to reduce underage usage of tobacco products and imposes restrictions on lobbying activities conducted on behalf of Participating Manufacturers. In addition, the MSA provides for the appointment of an independent auditor to calculate and determine the amount of payments owed pursuant to the MSA.

Liggett has no payment obligations under the MSA except to the extent its market share exceeds a market share exemption of approximately 1.65% of total cigarettes sold in the United States. Vector Tobacco has no payment obligations under the MSA, except to the extent its market share exceeds a market share exemption of approximately 0.28% of total cigarettes sold in the United States. According to data from Management Science Associates, Inc., domestic shipments by Liggett and Vector Tobacco accounted for approximately 2.2%, 2.4% and 2.5% of the total cigarettes shipped in the United States in 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively. If Liggett's or Vector Tobacco's market share exceeds their respective market share exemption in a given year, then on April 15 of the following year, Liggett and/or Vector Tobacco, as the case may be, would pay on each excess unit an amount equal (on a per-unit basis) to that due by the OPMs for that year. In April 2006 and 2007, Liggett and Vector Tobacco paid \$10,637 and \$38,743 for their 2005 and 2006 MSA obligations, respectively and, in April 2008, paid \$35,995 for their 2007 MSA obligations, having prepaid \$34,500 in 2007.

Under the payment provisions of the MSA, the Participating Manufacturers are required to pay a base annual amount of \$9,000,000 in 2008 and each year thereafter (subject to applicable adjustments, offsets and reductions). These annual payments are allocated based on unit volume of domestic cigarette shipments. The payment obligations under the MSA are the several, and not joint, obligations of each Participating Manufacturer and are not the responsibility of any parent or affiliate of a Participating Manufacturer.

*Certain MSA Disputes*

In 2005, the independent auditor under the MSA calculated that Liggett owed \$28,668 for its 2004 sales. In April 2005, Liggett paid \$11,678 and disputed the balance, as permitted by the MSA. Liggett subsequently paid \$9,304 of the disputed amount, although Liggett continues to dispute that this amount is owed. This \$9,304 relates to an adjustment to its 2003 payment obligation claimed by Liggett for the market share loss to non-participating manufacturers, which is known as the "NPM Adjustment." At September 30, 2008, included in "Other assets" on the Company's consolidated balance sheet, was a noncurrent receivable of \$6,513 relating to such amount. The remaining balance in dispute of \$7,686 is comprised of \$5,318 claimed for a 2004 NPM Adjustment and \$2,368 relating to the independent auditor's retroactive change from "gross" to "net" units in calculating MSA payments, which Liggett contends is improper, as discussed below. From their April 2006 payment, Liggett and Vector Tobacco withheld approximately \$1,600 claimed for the 2005 NPM Adjustment and \$2,612 relating to the retroactive change from "gross" to "net" units. Liggett and Vector Tobacco withheld approximately \$4,200 from their April 2007 payments related to the 2006 NPM Adjustment and approximately \$3,000 relating to the retroactive change from "gross" to "net" units. From its April 2008 payment, Liggett withheld approximately \$4,000 for the 2007 NPM Adjustment and approximately \$3,300 relating to the retroactive change from "gross" to "net" units. Vector Tobacco paid approximately \$200 into the disputed payments account for the 2007 NPM Adjustment.

The following amounts have not been expensed in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements as they relate to Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's claim for an NPM adjustment: \$6,513 for 2003, \$3,789 for 2004 and \$800 for 2005.

*NPM Adjustment.* In March 2006, an economic consulting firm selected pursuant to the MSA rendered its final and non-appealable decision that the MSA was a "significant factor contributing to" the loss of market share of Participating Manufacturers for 2003. The economic consulting firm rendered the same decision with respect to 2004 and 2005. As a result, the manufacturers are entitled to potential NPM Adjustments to their 2003, 2004 and 2005 MSA payments. A Settling State that has diligently enforced its qualifying escrow statute in the year in question may be able to avoid application of the NPM Adjustment to the payments made by the manufacturers for the benefit of that state or territory.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

Since April 2006, notwithstanding provisions in the MSA requiring arbitration, litigation has been commenced in 49 Settling States over the issue of whether the application of the NPM Adjustment for 2003 is to be determined through litigation or arbitration. These actions relate to the potential NPM Adjustment for 2003, which the independent auditor under the MSA previously determined to be as much as \$1,200,000 for all Participating Manufacturers. To date, all 48 courts that have decided the issue have ruled that the 2003 NPM Adjustment dispute is arbitrable and 42 of those decisions are final. It is not possible to estimate a date by which arbitration of the dispute will commence or how many states will ultimately participate. There can be no assurance that Liggett or Vector Tobacco will receive any adjustment as a result of these proceedings.

*Gross v. Net Calculations.* In October 2004, the independent auditor notified Liggett and all other Participating Manufacturers that their payment obligations under the MSA, dating from the agreement's execution in late 1998, had been recalculated using "net" unit amounts, rather than "gross" unit amounts (which had been used since 1999). The change in the method of calculation could, among other things, require additional MSA payments by Liggett of approximately \$18,300 for 2001 through 2007, require an additional payment of approximately \$3,300 for 2008 and require additional amounts in future periods because the proposed change from "gross" to "net" units would serve to lower Liggett's market share exemption under the MSA.

Liggett has objected to this retroactive change and has disputed the change in methodology. Liggett contends that the retroactive change from using "gross" unit amounts to "net" unit amounts is impermissible for several reasons, including:

- use of "net" unit amounts is not required by the MSA (as reflected by, among other things, the use of "gross" unit amounts through 2005);
- such a change is not authorized without the consent of affected parties to the MSA;
- the MSA provides for four-year time limitation periods for revisiting calculations and determinations, which precludes recalculating Liggett's 1997 Market Share (and thus, Liggett's market share exemption); and
- Liggett and others have relied upon the calculations based on "gross" unit amounts since 1998.

No amounts have been expensed or accrued in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for any potential liability relating to the "gross" versus "net" dispute.

*QUEST 3.* Vector Tobacco does not make MSA payments on sales of its QUEST 3 product as Vector Tobacco believes that QUEST 3 does not fall within the definition of a cigarette under the MSA. There can be no assurance that Vector Tobacco's assessment is correct and that additional payments under the MSA for QUEST 3 will not be owed.

*Litigation Challenging the MSA.* In litigation pending in federal court in New York, certain importers of cigarettes allege that the MSA and certain related New York statutes violate federal antitrust and constitutional law. The district court granted defendants' motion to dismiss the complaint for failure to state a claim. On appeal, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit held that if all of the allegations of the complaint were assumed to be true, plaintiffs had stated a claim for relief on antitrust grounds. On remand to the district court, in September 2004, the court denied plaintiffs' motion to preliminarily enjoin the MSA and certain related New York statutes, but the court issued a preliminary injunction against an amendment to the "allocable share" provision of the New York escrow statute. The parties' cross-motions for summary judgment are pending. Additionally, in another proceeding pending in New York federal court, plaintiffs seek to enjoin the statutes enacted by New York and other states in connection with the MSA on the grounds that the statutes violate the Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution and federal antitrust laws. In September 2005, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit held that if all of the allegations of the complaint were assumed to be true, plaintiffs had stated a claim for relief and that the New York federal court had jurisdiction over the other defendant states. In October 2006, the United States Supreme Court denied the petition of the attorneys general for writ of certiorari. Similar challenges to the MSA and MSA-related state statutes are pending in Kentucky, Arkansas, Kansas, Louisiana, Tennessee and Oklahoma. Liggett and the other cigarette manufacturers are not defendants in these cases.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

On October 28, 2008, Vibo Corporation, Inc., d/b/a General Tobacco commenced litigation in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky against each of the Settling States and certain Participating Manufacturers. The plaintiff alleges, among other things, that the market share exemptions (i.e.: Grandfathered Share) provided to certain SPMs under the MSA, including Liggett and Vector Tobacco, violate federal antitrust and constitutional law. The plaintiff seeks, among other things, treble damages against each Participating Manufacturer.

Litigation challenging the validity of the MSA, including claims that the MSA violates antitrust laws, to date, has not been successful.

*Other State Settlements.* The MSA replaces Liggett's prior settlements with all states and territories except for Florida, Mississippi, Texas and Minnesota. Each of these four states, prior to the effective date of the MSA, negotiated and executed settlement agreements with each of the other major tobacco companies, separate from those settlements reached previously with Liggett. Liggett's agreements with these states remain in full force and effect, and Liggett made various payments to these states during 1996, 1997 and 1998 under the agreements. These states' settlement agreements with Liggett contained most favored nation provisions which could reduce Liggett's payment obligations based on subsequent settlements or resolutions by those states with certain other tobacco companies. Beginning in 1999, Liggett determined that, based on each of these four states' settlements with United States Tobacco Company, Liggett's payment obligations to those states had been eliminated. With respect to all non-economic obligations under the previous settlements, Liggett believes it is entitled to the most favorable provisions as between the MSA and each state's respective settlement with the other major tobacco companies. Therefore, Liggett's non-economic obligations to all states and territories are now defined by the MSA. In 2003, in order to resolve any potential issues with Minnesota as to Liggett's ongoing economic settlement obligations, Liggett negotiated a \$100 a year payment to Minnesota, to be paid any year cigarettes manufactured by Liggett are sold in that state.

In 2004, the Attorneys General for Florida, Mississippi and Texas advised Liggett that they believed that Liggett had failed to make all required payments under the respective settlement agreements with these states for the period 1998 through 2003 and that additional payments may be due for 2004 and subsequent years. In 2004, Florida and Mississippi proposed settlements to Liggett in the total amount of approximately \$20,000 for the period 1998 through 2003. Subsequent discussions did not result in a resolution of the issues. Liggett believes the states' allegations are without merit, based, among other things, on the language of the most favored nation provisions of the settlement agreements.

Except for \$2,500 accrued at September 30, 2008, in connection with the foregoing matters, no other amounts have been accrued in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for any additional amounts that may be payable by Liggett under the settlement agreements with Florida, Mississippi and Texas. There can be no assurance that Liggett will resolve these matters or that Liggett will not be required to make additional material payments, which payments could adversely affect the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Management is not able to predict the outcome of the litigation pending or threatened against Liggett. Litigation is subject to many uncertainties. For example, in June 2002, the jury in the *Lukacs* case, an individual case brought under the third phase of the *Engle* case, awarded \$37,500 (subsequently reduced by the court to \$24,835) of compensatory damages against Liggett and two other defendants and found Liggett 50% responsible for the damages. In August 2008, the court entered judgment in favor of the plaintiff, including interest from June 2002 which, as of September 30, 2008, was approximately \$13,200. In April 2004, a jury in an individual action in a Florida state court awarded compensatory damages of \$540 against Liggett and legal fees of \$752. The legal fee award was reversed on appeal and remanded to the trial court for further proceedings. It is possible that additional cases could be decided unfavorably against Liggett. As a result of the *Engle* decision, approximately 9,570 former *Engle* class members commenced suit against Liggett and/or the Company and other cigarette manufacturers. Liggett may enter into discussions in an attempt to settle particular cases if it believes it is appropriate to do so.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

Management cannot predict the cash requirements related to any future defense costs, settlements or judgments, including cash required to bond any appeals, and there is a risk that those requirements will not be able to be met. An unfavorable outcome of a pending smoking and health case could encourage the commencement of additional similar litigation, or could lead to multiple adverse decisions in the *Engle* progeny cases. Management is unable to make a reasonable estimate with respect to the amount or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of the cases pending against Liggett or the costs of defending such cases and as a result has not provided any amounts in its condensed consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes. The complaints filed in these cases rarely detail alleged damages. Typically, the claims set forth in an individual's complaint against the tobacco industry seek money damages in an amount to be determined by a jury, plus punitive damages and costs.

The tobacco industry is subject to a wide range of laws and regulations regarding the marketing, sale, taxation and use of tobacco products imposed by local, state and federal governments. There have been a number of restrictive regulatory actions, adverse legislative and political decisions and other unfavorable developments concerning cigarette smoking and the tobacco industry. These developments may negatively affect the perception of potential triers of fact with respect to the tobacco industry, possibly to the detriment of certain pending litigation, and may prompt the commencement of additional similar litigation or legislation.

It is possible that the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome in any of the smoking-related litigation.

Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's management are unaware of any material environmental conditions affecting their existing facilities. Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's management believe that current operations are conducted in material compliance with all environmental laws and regulations and other laws and regulations governing cigarette manufacturers. Compliance with federal, state and local provisions regulating the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment, has not had a material effect on the capital expenditures, results of operations or competitive position of Liggett or Vector Tobacco.

**Other Matters:**

In February 2004, Liggett Vector Brands and another cigarette manufacturer entered into a five year agreement with a subsidiary of the American Wholesale Marketers Association to support a program to permit certain tobacco distributors to secure, on reasonable terms, tax stamp bonds required by state and local governments for the distribution of cigarettes. This agreement was recently extended through 2014. Under the agreement, Liggett Vector Brands has agreed to pay a portion of losses, if any, incurred by the surety under the bond program, with a maximum loss exposure of \$500 for Liggett Vector Brands. To secure its potential obligations under the agreement, Liggett Vector Brands has delivered to the subsidiary of the association a \$100 letter of credit and agreed to fund up to an additional \$400. Liggett Vector Brands has incurred no losses to date under this agreement, and the Company believes the fair value of Liggett Vector Brands' obligation under the agreement was immaterial at September 30, 2008.

There may be several other proceedings, lawsuits and claims pending against the Company and certain of its consolidated subsidiaries unrelated to tobacco or tobacco product liability. Management is of the opinion that the liabilities, if any, ultimately resulting from such other proceedings, lawsuits and claims should not materially affect the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

**9. INCOME TAXES**

Vector's income tax rates for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 do not bear a customary relationship to statutory income tax rates as a result of the impact of nondeductible expenses, state income taxes and interest and penalties accrued on unrecognized tax benefits offset by the impact of the domestic production activities deduction.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

The Company's provision for income taxes in interim periods is based on an estimated annual effective income tax rate derived, in part, from estimated annual pre-tax results from ordinary operations in accordance with FIN 18, "Accounting for Income Taxes in Interim Periods—an interpretation of APB Opinion No. 28." In 2008, the Company did not include the gain on the income of the Company's investment in the St. Regis Hotel, a \$2,600 reversal of previously unrecognized tax benefits due to the expiration of the Statute of Limitations, and the provision for losses on long-term investments and mortgage receivable in the computation of the effective annual income tax rate from estimated pre-tax results from ordinary operations. In 2007, the Company did not include the benefit from the settlement of a state income tax assessment, the income from the lawsuit settlement with the United States government, the gain from the exchange of the LTS notes and the \$2,200 reversal of previously unrecognized deferred tax benefits in the computation of the effective annual income tax rate for 2007 from estimated pre-tax results from ordinary operations. These items reduced the Company's income tax provision by approximately \$2,700 and \$3,800 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and the Company's provision for income taxes by approximately \$2,750 and \$2,200 for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively due to differences in the Company's marginal tax rate of approximately 41% and its anticipated effective annual income tax rate from ordinary operations of approximately 44%.

The Company's current deferred income tax liabilities increased by approximately \$75,500 in 2008 as a result of the reclassification of a deferred tax liability from non-current to current liabilities. This reclassification resulted from the Company's settlement with the Internal Revenue Service in July 2006, which required the Company to recognize taxable income of approximately \$192,000 from the Philip Morris brand transaction by March 1, 2009.

**10. NEW VALLEY**

*Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses.* The components of "Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses" were as follows as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007:

	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
Douglas Elliman Realty LLC	\$ 35,383	\$ 31,893
16th and K Holdings LLC	—	3,838
Koa Investors LLC	—	—
Aberdeen Townhomes LLC	10,475	—
New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven LLC	12,000	—
<b>Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses</b>	<b>\$ 57,858</b>	<b>\$ 35,731</b>

*Residential Brokerage Business.* New Valley recorded income of \$4,727 and \$6,621 for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and income of \$10,249 and \$17,763 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, associated with Douglas Elliman Realty. New Valley's income includes 50% of Douglas Elliman's net income, as well as interest income earned by New Valley on a subordinated loan to Douglas Elliman Realty, increases to income resulting from amortization of negative goodwill which resulted from purchase accounting, and management fees. New Valley received cash distributions from Douglas Elliman Realty LLC of \$3,200 and \$322 for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and \$5,757 and \$5,170 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  
**Unaudited**

Summarized financial information for Douglas Elliman Realty for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 and as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 is presented below.

	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
Cash	\$ 24,954	\$ 26,916
Other current assets	9,124	9,462
Property, plant and equipment, net	16,734	18,394
Trademarks	21,663	21,663
Goodwill	38,321	38,294
Other intangible assets, net	1,387	1,928
Other non-current assets	922	850
Notes payable — current	592	581
Current portion of notes payable to member — Prudential Real Estate Financial Services Of America, Inc.	4,729	4,373
Current portion of notes payable to member — New Valley	4,729	625
Other current liabilities	24,518	26,579
Notes payable — long term	803	2,402
Notes payable to member — Prudential Real Estate Financial Services of America, Inc.	3,043	15,115
Notes payable to member — New Valley	3,043	8,583
Other long-term liabilities	7,479	6,599
Members' equity	64,169	52,650

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Revenues	\$99,259	\$110,139	\$281,515	\$313,434
Costs and expenses	88,564	95,249	256,803	272,314
Depreciation expense	1,373	1,476	4,080	4,528
Amortization expense	74	111	223	285
Interest expense, net	800	966	2,465	3,424
Income tax expense	76	57	422	247
Net income	<u>\$ 8,372</u>	<u>\$ 12,280</u>	<u>\$ 17,522</u>	<u>\$ 32,636</u>

*16th and K Holdings LLC.* In 2007, 16th and K Holdings LLC entered into certain agreements to sell 90% of the St. Regis Hotel. The sale closed in March 2008. In addition to retaining a 3% interest, net of incentives, in the St. Regis Hotel, New Valley received \$15,822 in March 2008 and received an additional \$584 associated with the sale of the hotel in October 2008. The Company anticipates receiving an additional \$3,400 in various installments between 2009 and 2012. The Company recorded the \$15,822 as an investing activity in the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows. New Valley recorded equity losses of \$0 and \$32 for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and \$3,796 and \$134 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, associated with 16th and K Holdings LLC. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, New Valley also recorded equity income of \$15,779 in connection with the gain from the sale of the St. Regis because the amount received from 16th and K Holdings exceeded the Company's basis in the investment and the Company has no legal obligation to make additional investments to 16th and K Holdings.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

*Hawaiian Hotel.* KOA Investors LLC owns the Sheraton Keauhou Bay Resort & Spa in Kailua-Kona, Hawaii. New Valley and certain members in KOA Investors have chosen not to fund discretionary capital calls in 2008 and KOA Investors was not able to meet its financial obligations in the third quarter of 2008. KOA has been informed by its Lender that it is in default on its \$82,000 loan. The Company has carried its investment in KOA at \$0 throughout 2008.

*Aberdeen Townhomes LLC.* In June 2008, a subsidiary of New Valley purchased a preferred equity interest in Aberdeen Townhomes LLC ("Aberdeen") for \$10,000. Aberdeen acquired five town home residences located in Manhattan, New York which it is in the process of rehabilitating and selling. In the event that Aberdeen makes distributions of cash, New Valley is entitled to a priority preferred return of 15% per annum until it has recovered its invested capital. New Valley is entitled to 25% of subsequent cash distributions of profits until it has achieved an annual 18% internal rate of return ("IRR"). New Valley is then entitled to 20% of subsequent cash distributions of profits until it has achieved an annual 23% IRR. After New Valley has achieved an annual 23% IRR, it is then entitled to 10% of any remaining cash distributions of profits. Aberdeen is a variable interest entity; however, the Company is not the primary beneficiary. The Company's maximum exposure to loss as a result of its investment in Aberdeen is \$10,000. This investment is being accounted for under the cost method. New Valley recorded income of \$475 related to the priority return of 15% per annum for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008

*New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven, LLC.* In September 2008, a subsidiary of New Valley LLC purchased a 40% interest in New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven, LLC ("New Valley Oaktree"). New Valley Oaktree lent \$29,000 and contributed \$1,000 in capital to Chelsea Eleven LLC ("Chelsea"), which is developing a condominium project in Manhattan, New York. The development consists of 72 luxury residential units and one commercial unit. Approximately 75% of the units have been pre-sold and approximately \$35,000 in deposits held in escrow. The loan from New Valley Oaktree loan is subordinate to a \$110,000 construction loan and a \$24,000 mezzanine loan plus accrued interest. The loan from New Valley Oaktree to Chelsea bears interest at 60.25% per annum, compounded monthly, with \$3,750 being held in an interest reserve.

New Valley Chelsea is a variable interest entity; however, the Company is not the primary beneficiary. The Company's maximum exposure to loss as a result of its investment in Chelsea is \$12,000. This investment is being accounted for under the equity method.

*Mortgage receivable.* In March 2008, a subsidiary of New Valley purchased a loan secured by a substantial portion of a 450-acre approved master planned community in Palm Springs, California known as "Escena." The loan, which is currently in foreclosure, was purchased for its \$20,000 face value plus accrued interest and other costs of \$1,445. The loan is being accounted for under the cost recovery method and the cost includes the purchase price and additional capitalized acquisition costs of \$259.

The borrowers are Escena-PSC, LLC and Palm Springs Classic, LLC, a joint venture of Lennar Homes of California, Inc. and Empire Land, LLC. Empire Land recently filed a Chapter 11 bankruptcy petition. Lennar Homes is an affiliate of Lennar Corporation. The loan collateral consists of 867 residential lots with site and public infrastructure, an 18-hole Nicklaus Design golf course, a substantially completed clubhouse, and a seven-acre site approved for a 450-room hotel.

In October 2007, the "as is" value of the land was appraised in excess of the outstanding value of the loan. The Company recently obtained an updated appraisal that valued the property at substantially less than the outstanding loan balance. The reduction in value was attributed to the overall real estate market conditions in California. Among other things, Lennar Corporation has a payment guaranty of up to 50% of the outstanding loan and accrued interest as well as a guaranty to complete the development of the property. In order to calculate the fair market value of the investment, the Company utilized the most recent "as is" appraised value of the collateral and estimated the value of Lennar Corporation's completion and payment guaranties, less estimated costs to enforce the guaranties and dispose of the property. The Company applied a discount rate of 25% and a default rate of approximately 5.4% to the Guarantor's debt in valuing the guaranties. The Company believes that both rates approximated market conditions at September 30, 2008. Based on these estimates, the Company has determined that the fair market value was less than the carrying amount of the mortgage receivable at September 30, 2008 by approximately \$4,000. This determination was made because real estate values in Palm Springs declined significantly in the third quarter. Accordingly, a reserve was established for the decline in value and a charge of \$4,000 was recorded for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 as a component of "Provision for loss on investments" in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. The Company carried the loan on its condensed consolidated balance sheet at its net basis of \$17,704 as of September 30, 2008. Litigation is ongoing to enforce the Company's rights under the loan documents.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

*Real Estate Market Conditions.* Because the real estate, capital and credit markets have continued to worsen, the Company will continue to perform additional assessments to determine the impact of the markets, if any, on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements. Thus, future impairment charges may occur.

*NASA Settlement.* In 1994, New Valley commenced an action against the United States government seeking damages for breach of a launch services agreement covering the launch of one of the Westar satellites owned by New Valley's former Western Union satellite business. In March 2007, the parties entered into a Stipulation for Entry of Judgment to settle New Valley's claims and, pursuant to the settlement, \$20,000 was paid in May 2007. In the first quarter of 2007, the Company recognized a pre-tax gain of \$19,590, which consisted of other non-operating income of \$20,000 and \$410 of selling, general and administrative expenses, in connection with the settlement.

**11. INVESTMENTS AND FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS**

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements", for financial assets and financial liabilities. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements but rather introduces a framework for measuring fair value and expands required disclosure about fair value measurements of assets and liabilities.

SFAS No. 157 discusses valuation techniques, such as the market approach (comparable market prices), the income approach (present value of future income or cash flow), and the cost approach (cost to replace the service capacity of an asset or replacement cost). The statement clarifies that fair value is an exit price, representing amounts that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants.

SFAS No. 157 utilizes a three-tier fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. The following is a brief description of those three levels:

- Level 1      Observable inputs such as quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2      Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liability, either directory or indirectly. These include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets and quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active.
- Level 3      Unobservable inputs in which there is little market data, which requires the reporting entity to develop their own assumptions

This hierarchy requires the use of observable market data, when available, and to minimize the use of unobservable inputs when determining fair value.

The Company's population of recurring financial assets and liabilities subject to fair value measurements and the necessary disclosures are as follows:

Description	Fair Value Measurements as of September 30, 2008			
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<b>Assets:</b>				
Money market funds	\$197,629	\$ 197,629	\$ —	\$ —
Investment securities available for sale	46,151	37,331	8,820	—
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$243,780</b>	<b>\$ 234,960</b>	<b>\$ 8,820</b>	<b>\$ —</b>
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	\$ 93,745	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 93,745

VECTOR GROUP LTD.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  
Unaudited

The fair value of investment securities available for sale included in Level 1 are based on quoted market prices from various stock exchanges. The \$8,820 of investment securities available for sale in Level 2 are not registered and therefore do not have direct market quotes.

The fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt were derived using a valuation model and have been classified as Level 3. The valuation model assumes future dividend payments by the Company and utilizes interest rates and credit spreads for secured to unsecured debt, unsecured to subordinated debt and subordinated debt to preferred stock to determine the fair value of the derivatives embedded within the convertible debt. The changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt as of September 30, 2008 are disclosed. (See Note 6.)

## 12. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company's significant business segments for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 were Liggett, Vector Tobacco and New Valley. The Liggett segment consists of the manufacture and sale of conventional cigarettes and, for segment reporting purposes, includes the operations of Medallion (which operations are held for legal purposes as part of Vector Tobacco). The Vector Tobacco segment includes the development and marketing of the low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarette products as well as the development of reduced risk cigarette products and, for segment reporting purposes, excludes the operations of Medallion. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies. The New Valley segment includes the Company's equity income and investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses and mortgage receivable.

Financial information for the Company's operations before taxes for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 follows:

	Liggett	Vector Tobacco	New Valley	Corporate and Other	Total
<u>Three months ended September 30, 2008</u>					
Revenues	\$ 144,841	\$ 760	—	—	\$ 145,601
Operating income (loss)	45,924	(2,439)	—	(5,950)	37,535
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	5,202	—	5,202
Depreciation and amortization	1,910	30	—	588	2,528
<u>Three months ended September 30, 2007</u>					
Revenues	\$ 135,186	\$ 867	—	—	\$ 136,053
Operating income (loss)	40,448	(2,841)	—	(3,900)	33,707
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	6,589	—	6,589
Depreciation and amortization	1,856	26	—	587	2,469
<u>Nine months ended September 30, 2008</u>					
Revenues	\$ 418,816	\$ 1,950	—	—	\$ 420,766
Operating income (loss)	126,960	(6,775)	—	(20,264)	99,921
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	22,706	—	22,706
Identifiable assets	312,188	2,317	57,383	417,807	789,695
Depreciation and amortization	5,682	89	—	1,757	7,528
Capital expenditures	5,341	85	—	—	5,426
<u>Nine months ended September 30, 2007</u>					
Revenues	\$ 407,304	\$ 2,992	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 410,296
Operating income (loss)	113,371	(7,247)	—	(17,514)	88,610
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	15,926	—	15,926
Identifiable assets	283,797	10,568	39,122	429,704	763,191
Depreciation and amortization	5,711	84	—	1,759	7,554
Capital expenditures	4,104	107	—	—	4,211

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

**13. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

The accompanying condensed consolidating financial information has been prepared and presented pursuant to Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation S-X, Rule 3-10, "Financial Statements of Guarantors and Issuers of Guaranteed Securities Registered or Being Registered". Each of the subsidiary guarantors are 100% owned, directly or indirectly, by the Company, and all guaranties are full and unconditional and joint and several. The Company's investments in its consolidated subsidiaries are presented under the equity method of accounting.

The 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015, issued on August 16, 2007 by Vector, are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several basis by all of the 100%-owned domestic subsidiaries of the Company that are engaged in the conduct of its cigarette businesses. (See Note 6.) The notes are not guaranteed by any of the Company's subsidiaries engaged in the real estate businesses conducted through its subsidiary New Valley. Presented herein are unaudited condensed consolidating balance sheets as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the related unaudited condensed consolidating statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 and the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 of the Company (Parent/Issuer), the guarantor subsidiaries (Subsidiary Guarantors) and the subsidiaries that are not guarantors (Subsidiary Non-Guarantors).

The indenture contains covenants that restrict the payment of dividends by the Company if the Company's consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("Consolidated EBITDA"), as defined in the indenture, for the most recently ended four full quarters is less than \$50,000. The indenture also restricts the incurrence of debt if the Company's Leverage Ratio and its Secured Leverage Ratio, as defined in the indenture, exceed 3.0 and 1.5, respectively. The Company's Leverage Ratio is defined in the indenture as the ratio of the Company's and the guarantying subsidiaries' total debt less the fair market value of the Company's and the guarantying subsidiaries' cash and cash equivalents, investments in securities and long-term investments to Consolidated EBITDA, as defined in the indenture. The Company's Secured Leverage Ratio is defined in the indenture in the same manner as the Leverage Ratio, except that secured indebtedness is substituted for indebtedness.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  
**Unaudited**

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS**

	September 30, 2008				
	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Subsidiary Non- Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated Vector Group Ltd.
<b>ASSETS:</b>					
<b>Current assets:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$199,028	\$ 7,481	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 206,509
Investment securities available for sale	46,073	—	78	—	46,151
Accounts receivable — trade	—	7,398	—	—	7,398
Intercompany receivables	87	—	—	(87)	—
Inventories	—	92,044	—	—	92,044
Deferred income taxes	13,074	365	—	—	13,439
Income taxes receivable	27,106	262	—	(27,368)	—
Other current assets	1,100	4,028	—	—	5,128
Total current assets	<u>286,468</u>	<u>111,578</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>(27,455)</u>	<u>370,669</u>
Property, plant and equipment, net	767	51,551	—	—	52,318
Mortgage receivable	—	—	17,704	—	17,704
Long-term investments accounted for at cost	69,234	—	785	—	70,019
Long-term investments accounted under the equity method	—	—	—	—	—
Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	57,858	—	57,858
Investments in consolidated subsidiaries	213,029	—	—	(213,029)	—
Restricted assets	3,705	4,223	—	—	7,928
Deferred income taxes	24,170	915	5,873	—	30,958
Intangible asset	—	107,511	—	—	107,511
Prepaid pension costs	—	45,148	—	—	45,148
Other assets	16,074	13,508	—	—	29,582
Total assets	<u>\$613,447</u>	<u>\$334,434</u>	<u>\$ 82,298</u>	<u>\$ (240,484)</u>	<u>\$ 789,695</u>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:</b>					
<b>Current liabilities:</b>					
Current portion of notes payable and long-term debt	\$ —	\$ 9,432	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 9,432
Accounts payable	398	4,059	2	—	4,459
Intercompany payables	—	87	—	(87)	—
Accrued promotional expenses	—	10,629	—	—	10,629
Income taxes payable, net	—	16,024	25,728	(27,368)	14,384
Accrued excise and payroll taxes payable, net	—	276	—	—	276
Settlement accruals	—	38,211	—	—	38,211
Deferred income taxes	84,089	11,504	—	—	95,593
Accrued interest	4,987	—	—	—	4,987
Other current liabilities	5,217	13,139	750	—	19,106
Total current liabilities	<u>94,691</u>	<u>103,361</u>	<u>26,480</u>	<u>(27,455)</u>	<u>197,077</u>
Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion	260,837	20,597	—	—	281,434
Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	93,745	—	—	—	93,745
Non-current employee benefits	29,569	15,174	—	—	44,743
Deferred income taxes	45,120	21,649	110	—	66,879
Other liabilities	453	15,222	1,110	—	16,785
Total liabilities	<u>524,415</u>	<u>176,003</u>	<u>27,700</u>	<u>(27,455)</u>	<u>700,663</u>
Commitments and contingencies	—	—	—	—	—
Stockholders' equity	89,032	158,431	54,598	(213,029)	89,032
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$613,447</u>	<u>\$334,434</u>	<u>\$ 82,298</u>	<u>\$ (240,484)</u>	<u>\$ 789,695</u>

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  
**Unaudited**

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS**

	December 31, 2007				
	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Subsidiary Non- Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated Vector Group Ltd.
<b>ASSETS:</b>					
<b>Current assets:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$228,901	\$ 9,216	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 238,117
Investment securities available for sale	45,841	—	34	—	45,875
Accounts receivable — trade	—	3,113	—	—	3,113
Intercompany receivables	19	—	—	(19)	—
Inventories	—	86,825	—	—	86,825
Deferred income taxes	18,003	333	—	—	18,336
Income taxes receivable	27,364	—	—	(27,364)	—
Other current assets	103	3,257	—	—	3,360
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>320,231</b>	<b>102,744</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>(27,383)</b>	<b>395,626</b>
Property, plant and equipment, net	867	53,565	—	—	54,432
Long-term investments accounted for at cost	72,233	—	738	—	72,971
Long-term investments accounted under the equity method	10,495	—	—	—	10,495
Investments in non- consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	35,731	—	35,731
Investments in consolidated subsidiaries	190,354	—	—	(190,354)	—
Restricted assets	3,859	4,907	—	—	8,766
Deferred income taxes	21,288	883	4,466	—	26,637
Intangible asset	—	107,511	—	—	107,511
Prepaid pension costs	—	42,084	—	—	42,084
Other assets	18,066	12,970	—	—	31,036
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$637,393</b>	<b>\$324,664</b>	<b>\$ 40,969</b>	<b>\$ (217,737)</b>	<b>\$ 785,289</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:</b>					
<b>Current liabilities:</b>					
Current portion of notes payable and long-term debt	\$ —	\$ 20,618	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 20,618
Accounts payable	2,194	4,786	—	—	6,980
Intercompany payables	—	19	—	(19)	—
Accrued promotional expenses	—	9,210	—	—	9,210
Income taxes payable, net	—	13,245	16,482	(27,364)	2,363
Accrued excise and payroll taxes payable, net	—	5,327	—	—	5,327
Settlement accruals	—	10,041	—	—	10,041
Deferred income taxes	20,218	3,801	—	—	24,019
Accrued interest	9,475	—	—	—	9,475
Other current liabilities	6,486	14,118	700	—	21,304
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>38,373</b>	<b>81,165</b>	<b>17,182</b>	<b>(27,383)</b>	<b>109,337</b>
Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion	254,538	22,640	—	—	277,178
Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	101,582	—	—	—	101,582
Non-current employee benefits	25,983	14,950	—	—	40,933
Deferred income taxes	115,571	26,223	110	—	141,904
Other liabilities	494	10,571	2,438	—	13,503
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>536,541</b>	<b>155,549</b>	<b>19,730</b>	<b>(27,383)</b>	<b>684,437</b>
Commitments and contingencies	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Stockholders' equity</b>	<b>100,852</b>	<b>169,115</b>	<b>21,239</b>	<b>(190,354)</b>	<b>100,852</b>
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>	<b>\$637,393</b>	<b>\$324,664</b>	<b>\$ 40,969</b>	<b>\$ (217,737)</b>	<b>\$ 785,289</b>

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  
**Unaudited**

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2008				Consolidated Vector Group Ltd.
	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Subsidiary Non- Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	
Revenues	\$ —	\$145,601	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 145,601
Expenses:					
Cost of goods sold	—	84,999	—	—	84,999
Operating, selling, administrative and general expenses	7,554	16,738	(1,225)	—	23,067
Management fee expense	—	1,984	—	(1,984)	—
Operating (loss) income	(7,554)	41,880	1,225	1,984	37,535
Other income (expenses):					
Interest and dividend income	977	117	—	—	1,094
Interest expense	(15,149)	(366)	—	—	(15,515)
Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	522	—	—	—	522
Provision for loss on investments	(3,000)	—	(4,000)	—	(7,000)
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	5,202	—	5,202
Equity income in consolidated subsidiaries	26,819	—	—	(26,819)	—
Management fee income	1,984	—	—	(1,984)	—
Other, net	(1)	—	—	—	(1)
Income before provision for income taxes	4,598	41,631	2,427	(26,819)	21,837
Income tax benefit (expense)	10,229	(16,224)	(1,015)	—	(7,010)
Net income	<u>\$ 14,827</u>	<u>\$ 25,407</u>	<u>\$ 1,412</u>	<u>\$ (26,819)</u>	<u>\$ 14,827</u>

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  
**Unaudited**

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2007				Consolidated Vector Group Ltd.
	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Subsidiary Non- Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	
Revenues	\$ —	\$136,053	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 136,053
Expenses:					
Cost of goods sold	—	80,182	—	—	80,182
Operating, selling, administrative and general expenses	4,033	17,895	236	—	22,164
Management fee expense	—	1,917	—	(1,917)	—
Operating (loss) income	(4,033)	36,059	(236)	1,917	33,707
Other income (expenses):					
Interest and dividend income	2,223	222	—	—	2,445
Interest expense	(11,633)	(480)	—	—	(12,113)
Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	(6,331)	—	—	—	(6,331)
Provision for loss on investment	—	—	(58)	—	(58)
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	6,589	—	6,589
Equity income in consolidated subsidiaries	29,984	—	—	(29,984)	—
Management fee income	1,917	—	—	(1,917)	—
Other, net	(6)	—	—	—	(6)
Income before provision for income taxes	12,121	35,801	6,295	(29,984)	24,233
Income tax benefit (expense)	2,943	(9,537)	(2,575)	—	(9,169)
Net income	<u>\$ 15,064</u>	<u>\$ 26,264</u>	<u>\$ 3,720</u>	<u>\$ (29,984)</u>	<u>\$ 15,064</u>

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  
Unaudited

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008				Consolidated Vector Group Ltd.
	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Subsidiary Non- Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	
Revenues	\$ —	\$420,766	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 420,766
Expenses:					
Cost of goods sold	—	251,036	—	—	251,036
Operating, selling, administrative and general expenses	22,725	47,610	(526)	—	69,809
Management fee expense	—	5,954	—	(5,954)	—
Operating income (loss)	(22,725)	116,166	526	5,954	99,921
Other income (expenses):					
Interest and dividend income	4,017	423	—	—	4,440
Interest expense	(44,699)	(1,326)	—	—	(46,025)
Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	7,837	—	—	—	7,837
Provision for loss on investments	(3,000)	—	(4,000)	—	(7,000)
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	22,706	—	22,706
Equity income in consolidated subsidiaries	82,036	—	—	(82,036)	—
Management fee income	5,954	—	—	(5,954)	—
Other, net	(574)	—	(4)	—	(578)
Income before provision for income taxes	28,846	115,263	19,228	(82,036)	81,301
Income tax benefit (expense)	19,413	(44,568)	(7,887)	—	(33,042)
Net income	<u>\$ 48,259</u>	<u>\$ 70,695</u>	<u>\$ 11,341</u>	<u>\$ (82,036)</u>	<u>\$ 48,259</u>

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  
**Unaudited**

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007				Consolidated Vector Group Ltd.
	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Subsidiary Non- Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	
Revenues	\$ —	\$ 410,296	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 410,296
Expenses:					
Cost of goods sold	—	252,089	—	—	252,089
Operating, selling, administrative and general expenses	18,660	49,913	1,024	—	69,597
Management fee expense	—	5,752	—	(5,752)	—
Operating income (loss)	(18,660)	102,542	(1,024)	5,752	88,610
Other income (expenses):					
Interest and dividend income	10,467	522	—	(5,127)	5,862
Interest expense	(28,732)	(7,162)	—	5,127	(30,767)
Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	(4,215)	—	—	—	(4,215)
Provision for loss on Investments, net	2	—	(1,218)	—	(1,216)
Gain from conversion of LTS notes	—	—	8,121	—	8,121
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	15,926	—	15,926
Income from lawsuit settlement	—	—	20,000	—	20,000
Equity income in consolidated subsidiaries	86,019	—	—	(86,019)	—
Management fee income	5,752	—	—	(5,752)	—
Other, net	(67)	1	24	—	(42)
Income before provision for income taxes	50,566	95,903	41,829	(86,019)	102,279
Income tax benefit (expense)	9,006	(35,073)	(16,640)	—	(42,707)
Net income	<u>\$ 59,572</u>	<u>\$ 60,830</u>	<u>\$ 25,189</u>	<u>\$ (86,019)</u>	<u>\$ 59,572</u>

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008				
	<u>Parent/ Issuer</u>	<u>Subsidiary Guarantors</u>	<u>Subsidiary Non- Guarantors</u>	<u>Consolidating Adjustments</u>	<u>Consolidated Vector Group Ltd.</u>
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 54,155	\$ 97,863	\$ 4,167	\$ (85,750)	\$ 70,435
Cash flows from investing activities:					
Purchase of investment securities	(5,682)	—	—	—	(5,682)
Proceeds from sale or liquidation of long-term investments	8,334	—	—	—	8,334
Purchase of long-term investments	—	—	(51)	—	(51)
Purchase of mortgage receivable	—	—	(21,704)	—	(21,704)
Distributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	17,628	—	17,628
Investment in non- consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	(22,000)	—	(22,000)
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance policies	(386)	(380)	—	—	(766)
Decrease in non-current restricted assets	154	684	—	—	838
Investments in subsidiaries	(26,060)	—	—	26,060	—
Proceeds from the sale of fixed assets	—	403	—	—	403
Capital expenditures	—	(5,426)	—	—	(5,426)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(23,640)</u>	<u>(4,719)</u>	<u>(26,127)</u>	<u>26,060</u>	<u>(28,426)</u>

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008				
	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Subsidiary Non- Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated Vector Group Ltd.
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>					
Proceeds from debt	—	2,830	—	—	2,830
Repayments of debt	—	(4,666)	—	—	(4,666)
Deferred financing charges	(137)	—	—	—	(137)
Borrowings under revolver	—	386,499	—	—	386,499
Repayments on revolver	—	(397,892)	—	—	(397,892)
Capital contributions received	—	4,100	21,960	(26,060)	—
Intercompany dividends paid	—	(85,750)	—	85,750	—
Dividends and distributions on common stock	(78,581)	—	—	—	(78,581)
Proceeds from exercise of Vector options and warrants	26	—	—	—	26
Excess tax benefit of options exercised	18,304	—	—	—	18,304
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	<u>(60,388)</u>	<u>(94,879)</u>	<u>21,960</u>	<u>59,690</u>	<u>(73,617)</u>
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(29,873)	(1,735)	—	—	(31,608)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	228,901	9,216	—	—	238,117
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	<u>\$ 199,028</u>	<u>\$ 7,481</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 206,509</u>

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  
Unaudited

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007				
	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Subsidiary Non- Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated Vector Group Ltd.
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 77,894	\$ 66,868	\$ 25,929	\$ (79,492)	\$ 91,199
Cash flows from investing activities:					
Purchase of investment securities	(6,048)	—	—	—	(6,048)
Proceeds from sale or liquidation of long-term investments	—	—	50	—	50
Purchase of long-term investments	(35,000)	—	(91)	—	(35,091)
Distributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	1,000	—	1,000
Investment in non- consolidated real estate businesses	—	—	(750)	—	(750)
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance policies	(353)	(337)	—	—	(690)
Receipt of repayment of notes receivable	4,000	—	—	(4,000)	—
(Increase) decrease in non-current restricted assets	(369)	59	—	—	(310)
Investments in subsidiaries	(38,500)	—	—	38,500	—
Capital expenditures	—	(4,211)	—	—	(4,211)
Investments in subsidiaries	—	789	—	—	789
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(76,270)	(3,700)	209	34,500	(45,261)

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)**  
**(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)**  
**Unaudited**

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007				
	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Subsidiary Non- Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated Vector Group Ltd.
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>					
Proceeds from debt issuance	165,000	9,576	—	—	174,576
Repayments of debt	—	(43,718)	—	4,000	(39,718)
Deferred financing charges	(9,863)	(25)	—	—	(9,888)
Borrowings under revolver	—	389,383	—	—	389,383
Repayments on revolver	—	(401,231)	—	—	(401,231)
Capital contributions received	—	38,500	—	(38,500)	—
Intercompany dividends paid	—	(53,350)	(26,142)	79,492	—
Dividends and distributions on common stock	(75,258)	—	—	—	(75,258)
Tax benefit of options exercised	1,928	—	—	—	1,928
Proceeds from exercise of Vector options and warrants	4,857	—	—	—	4,857
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	<u>86,664</u>	<u>(60,865)</u>	<u>(26,142)</u>	<u>44,992</u>	<u>44,649</u>
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	88,288	2,303	(4)	—	90,587
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	<u>132,942</u>	<u>13,797</u>	<u>30</u>	—	<u>146,769</u>
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	<u>\$ 221,230</u>	<u>\$ 16,100</u>	<u>\$ 26</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 237,356</u>

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

### Overview

We are a holding company and are engaged principally in:

- the manufacture and sale of cigarettes in the United States through our subsidiary Liggett Group LLC,
- the development and marketing of the low nicotine and nicotine-free QUEST cigarette products and the development of reduced risk cigarette products through our subsidiary Vector Tobacco Inc., and
- the real estate business through our subsidiary, New Valley LLC, which is seeking to acquire additional operating companies and real estate properties. New Valley owns 50% of Douglas Elliman Realty, LLC, which operates the largest residential brokerage company in the New York metropolitan area.

All of Liggett's unit sales volume in 2007 and the first nine months of 2008 was in the discount segment, which Liggett's management believes has been the primary growth segment in the industry for over a decade. The significant discounting of premium cigarettes in recent years has led to brands, such as EVE, that were traditionally considered premium brands to become more appropriately categorized as discount, following list price reductions.

Liggett's cigarettes are produced in approximately 245 combinations of length, style and packaging. Liggett's current brand portfolio includes:

- LIGGETT SELECT — the third largest brand in the deep discount category,
- GRAND PRIX — a growing brand in the deep discount segment,
- EVE — a leading brand of 120 millimeter cigarettes in the branded discount category,
- PYRAMID — the industry's first deep discount product with a brand identity, and
- USA and various Partner Brands and private label brands.

In 1999, Liggett introduced LIGGETT SELECT, one of the leading brands in the deep discount category. LIGGETT SELECT was the largest seller in Liggett's family of brands in 2007 and comprised 32.9% of Liggett's unit volume in 2007 and 30.7% of Liggett's volume for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. In September 2005, Liggett repositioned GRAND PRIX to distributors and retailers nationwide. GRAND PRIX is marketed as the "lowest price fighter" to specifically compete with brands which are priced at the lowest level of the deep discount segment. GRAND PRIX, which represented 30.3% of Liggett's volume in 2007, is now the largest seller in Liggett's family of brands with 32.7% of Liggett's unit volume for the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

Under the Master Settlement Agreement reached in November 1998 with 46 states and various territories, the three largest cigarette manufacturers must make settlement payments to the states and territories based on how many cigarettes they sell annually. Liggett, however, is not required to make any payments unless its market share exceeds approximately 1.65% of the U.S. cigarette market. Additionally, Vector Tobacco has no payment obligation unless its market share exceeds approximately 0.28% of the U.S. market. Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's payments under the Master Settlement Agreement are based on each company's incremental market share above the minimum threshold applicable to such company. We believe that Liggett has gained a sustainable cost advantage over its competitors as a result of the settlement.

## [Table of Contents](#)

The discount segment is a challenging marketplace, with consumers having less brand loyalty and placing greater emphasis on price. Liggett's competition is now divided into two segments. The first segment is made up of the four largest manufacturers of cigarettes in the United States, Philip Morris USA Inc., Reynolds America Inc. (following the combination of RJR Tobacco and Brown & Williamson's United States tobacco business in July 2004), Lorillard Tobacco Company and Commonwealth Brands, Inc. (which Imperial Tobacco PLC acquired in 2007). The three largest manufacturers, while primarily premium cigarette based companies, also produce and sell discount cigarettes. The second segment of competition is comprised of a group of smaller manufacturers and importers, most of which sell lower quality, deep discount cigarettes.

### **Recent Developments**

*SNUS.* In May 2008 Liggett introduced SNUS, a premium quality pouched tobacco product. SNUS is manufactured in Sweden and is available in three varieties.

*NASA Settlement.* In 1994, New Valley commenced an action against the United States government seeking damages for breach of a launch services agreement covering the launch of one of the Westar satellites owned by New Valley's former Western Union satellite business. In March 2007, the parties entered into a Stipulation for Entry of Judgment to settle New Valley's claims and, pursuant to the settlement, \$20,000 was paid in May 2007. In the first quarter of 2007, we recognized a pre-tax gain of \$19,590, which consisted of other non-operating income of \$20,000 and \$410 of selling, general and administrative expenses, in connection with the settlement.

*Issuance of 11% Senior Secured Notes.* In August 2007, we sold \$165,000 principal amount of our 11% Senior Secured Notes due August 15, 2015 in a private offering to qualified institutional investors in accordance with Rule 144A under the Securities Act. We intend to use the net proceeds of the issuance for general corporate purposes which may include working capital requirements, the financing of capital expenditures, future acquisitions, the repayment or refinancing of outstanding indebtedness, payment of dividends and distributions and the repurchase of all or any part of our outstanding convertible notes.

*Proposed and enacted excise tax increases.* Congress is considering proposals to increase the federal excise tax by as much as \$0.61 per pack. Eleven states enacted increases to state excise taxes in 2007. Eight states have enacted increases to state excise taxes in 2008 and further increases in states' excise taxes are expected in 2008.

*Tobacco Settlement Agreements.* In October 2004, the independent auditor under the Master Settlement Agreement notified Liggett and all other Participating Manufacturers that their payment obligations under the Master Settlement Agreement, dating from the agreement's execution in late 1998, had been recalculated using "net" unit amounts, rather than "gross" unit amounts (which had been used since 1999 to calculate market share and the allocation of the base amount of payments under the Master Settlement Agreement). The change in the method of calculation could, among other things, require additional Master Settlement Agreement payments by Liggett of approximately \$18,300, plus interest, for 2001 through 2007, require an additional payment of approximately \$3,300 for 2008 and require additional amounts in future periods because the proposed change from "gross" to "net" units would serve to lower Liggett's market share exemption under the Master Settlement Agreement. Liggett has objected to this retroactive change and has disputed the change in methodology. No amounts have been accrued or expensed in our consolidated financial statements for any potential liability relating to the "gross" versus "net" dispute.

## Table of Contents

In 2005, the independent auditor under the Master Settlement Agreement calculated that Liggett owed \$28,668 for its 2004 sales. Liggett paid \$11,678 and disputed the balance, as permitted by the Master Settlement Agreement. Liggett subsequently paid \$9,304 of the disputed amount, although Liggett continues to dispute that this amount is owed. This \$9,304 relates to an adjustment to its 2003 payment obligation claimed by Liggett for the market share loss to non-participating manufacturers, which is known as the "NPM Adjustment." At September 30, 2008, included in "Other assets" on our consolidated balance sheet was a receivable of \$6,513 relating to such amount. The remaining balance in dispute of \$7,686 is comprised of \$5,318 claimed for a 2004 NPM Adjustment and \$2,368 relating to the independent auditor's retroactive change from "gross" to "net" units in calculating Master Settlement Agreement payments, which Liggett contends is improper, as discussed above. From its April 2006 payment, Liggett and Vector Tobacco withheld approximately \$1,600 claimed for the 2005 NPM Adjustment and \$2,612 relating to the retroactive change from "gross" to "net" units. Liggett and Vector Tobacco withheld approximately \$4,200 from their April 2007 payments related to the 2006 NPM Adjustment and approximately \$3,000 relating to the retroactive change from "gross" to "net" units. From its April 2008 payment, Liggett withheld approximately \$4,000 for the 2007 NPM Adjustment and approximately \$3,300 related to the retroactive change from "gross" to "net" units. Vector Tobacco paid approximately \$200 into the disputed payments account for the 2007 NPM Adjustment.

The following amounts have not been expensed in our consolidated financial statements as they relate to Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's claim for an NPM Adjustment: \$6,513 for 2003, \$3,789 for 2004 and \$800 for 2005.

In March 2006, an economic consulting firm selected pursuant to the Master Settlement Agreement rendered its final and non-appealable decision that the Master Settlement Agreement was a "significant factor contributing to" the loss of market share of Participating Manufacturers for 2003. The economic consulting firm rendered the same decision with respect to 2004 and 2005. As a result, the manufacturers are entitled to potential NPM Adjustments to their 2003, 2004 and 2005 Master Settlement Agreement payments. A Settling State that has diligently enforced its qualifying escrow statute in the year in question may be able to avoid application of the NPM Adjustment to the payments made by the manufacturers for the benefit of that state or territory.

Since April 2006, notwithstanding provisions in the Master Settlement Agreement requiring arbitration, litigation has been commenced in 49 Settling States and territories over the issue of whether the application of the NPM Adjustment for 2003 is to be determined through litigation or arbitration. These actions relate to the potential NPM Adjustment for 2003, which the independent auditor under the Master Settlement Agreement previously determined to be as much as \$1,200,000 for all Participating Manufacturers. To date, all 48 courts that have decided the issue have ruled that the 2003 NPM Adjustment dispute is arbitrable and 39 of these decisions are final. There can be no assurance that Liggett or Vector Tobacco will receive any adjustment as a result of these proceedings.

Vector Tobacco does not make MSA payments on sales of its QUEST 3 product as Vector Tobacco believes that QUEST 3 does not fall within the definition of a cigarette under the MSA. There can be no assurance that Vector Tobacco's assessment is correct and that additional payments under the MSA for QUEST 3 will not be owed.

In 2003, in order to resolve any potential issues with Minnesota as to Liggett's ongoing economic settlement obligations, Liggett negotiated a \$100 a year payment to Minnesota, to be paid any year cigarettes manufactured by Liggett are sold in that state. In 2004, the Attorneys General for each of Florida, Mississippi and Texas advised Liggett that they believed that Liggett has failed to make all required payments under the respective settlement agreements with these states for the period 1998 through 2003 and that additional payments may be due for 2004 and subsequent years. In 2004, Florida and Mississippi proposed settlements to Liggett in the amount of \$20,000 for the period 1998 through 2003. Further discussions among the parties have not resulted in any resolutions of the disputes. Liggett believes these allegations are without merit, based, among other things, on the language of the most favored nation provisions of the settlement agreements.

## Table of Contents

Except for \$2,500 accrued as of September 30, 2008, in connection with the foregoing matters, no other amounts have been accrued in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for any additional amounts that may be payable by Liggett under the settlement agreements with Florida, Mississippi and Texas. There can be no assurance that Liggett will resolve these matters and that Liggett will not be required to make additional material accruals or payments, which could adversely affect our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

*Long-term Investments.* In April 2008, we elected to withdraw our investment in Jefferies Buckeye Fund, LLC ("Buckeye Fund"), a privately managed investment partnership, of which Jefferies Asset Management, LLC is the portfolio manager. We recorded a loss of \$567 during the first quarter of 2008 associated with the Buckeye Fund's performance, which has been included as "Other expense" on our condensed consolidated statement of operations. We received proceeds of \$8,328 in May 2008 and anticipate receiving an additional \$925 of proceeds in the fourth quarter of 2008, which has been included in "Other current assets" on our condensed consolidated balance sheet.

We recorded a loss of \$3,000 during the third quarter of 2008 due to the performance of two of our long-term investments in 2008. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, one of our long-term investments was impaired due to a portion of its underlying assets being held in an account with the European subsidiary of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. while another of our long-term investments was impaired as a result of the fund's performance in the past three quarters. We record impairment charges when it is determined an other-than-temporary decline in fair value exists in any of our long-term investments. Thus, future impairment charges may occur.

*Sale of St. Regis Hotel.* In March 2008, 16th and K Holdings LLC closed on the sale of 90% of the St. Regis Hotel. In addition to retaining a 3% interest, net of incentives, in the St. Regis Hotel, New Valley received \$15,822 in March 2008 and an additional \$584 in October 2008 and anticipates receiving an additional \$3,400 in various installments between 2009 and 2012. New Valley recorded equity losses of \$0 and \$32 for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and \$3,796 and \$134 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, associated with 16th and K Holdings LLC. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, New Valley also recorded income of \$15,779 in connection with the distributions received in excess of the carrying amount of the investment in St. Regis.

*Escena.* In March 2008, a subsidiary of New Valley LLC purchased a loan secured by a substantial portion of a 450-acre approved master planned community in Palm Springs, California known as "Escena." The loan, which is currently in foreclosure, was purchased for its \$20,000 face value plus accrued interest and other costs of \$1,445. The loan is being accounted for under the cost recovery method and the costs include the purchase price and additional capitalized costs of \$259. The borrowers are Escena-PSC, LLC and Palm Springs Classic, LLC, a joint venture of Lennar Homes of California, Inc and Empire Land, LLC. Empire Land recently filed a Chapter 11 bankruptcy petition. Lennar Homes is an affiliate of Lennar Corporation. The project consists of 867 residential lots with site and public infrastructure, an 18-hole Nicklaus Design golf course, a substantially completed clubhouse, and a seven-acre site approved for a 450-room hotel.

In October 2007, the "as is" value of the land was appraised in excess of the outstanding value of the loan. We recently obtained an appraisal that valued the property at substantially less than the outstanding loan balance. The reduction in value was attributed to the overall real estate market conditions in California. Among other things, Lennar Corporation has a payment guarantee of up to 50% of the outstanding loan and accrued interest as well as a guarantee to complete the development of the property. In order to calculate the fair market value of the investment, we utilized the most recent "as is" appraised value of the collateral and estimated the value of Lennar's completion and payment guaranties, less estimated costs to enforce the guaranties and dispose the property. Based on these estimates, we determined that the fair market value was less than the carrying amount of the mortgage receivable at September 30, 2008 by approximately \$4,000. Accordingly, a reserve was established for the decline in value and a charge of \$4,000 was recorded for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008. We carried the loan on our condensed consolidated balance sheet at its net basis of \$17,704 as of September 30, 2008. Litigation is ongoing to enforce our rights under the loan documents.

## [Table of Contents](#)

*Aberdeen Townhomes LLC.* In June 2008, a subsidiary of New Valley LLC purchased a preferred equity interest in Aberdeen Townhomes LLC for \$10,000. Aberdeen acquired five town home residences located in Manhattan, New York, which it is in the process of rehabilitating and selling. In the event that Aberdeen makes distributions of cash, New Valley is entitled to a priority preferred return of 15% per annum until it has recovered its invested capital. New Valley is entitled to 25% of subsequent cash distributions of profits until it has achieved an annual 18% internal rate of return. New Valley is then entitled to 20% of subsequent cash distributions of profits until it has achieved an annual 23% IRR. After New Valley has achieved an annual 23% IRR, it is then entitled to 10% of any remaining cash distributions of profits. Aberdeen is a variable interest entity; however, we are not the primary beneficiary. Our maximum exposure to loss as a result of our investment in Aberdeen is \$10,000. This investment is being accounted for under the cost method. We recorded income of \$475 related to the priority return of 15% per annum for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008

*New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven, LLC.* In September 2008, a subsidiary of New Valley LLC purchased a 40% interest in New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven, LLC, which lent \$29,000 and contributed \$1,000 in capital to Chelsea Eleven LLC, which is developing a condominium project in Manhattan, New York. The development consists of 72 luxury residential units and one commercial unit. Approximately 75% of the units have been pre-sold and approximately \$35,000 in deposits held in escrow. The loan from New Valley Oaktree is subordinate to a \$110,000 construction loan and a \$24,000 mezzanine loan plus accrued interest. The loan from New Valley Oaktree to Chelsea Eleven bears interest at 60.25% per annum, compounded monthly, with \$3,750 being held in an interest reserve.

New Valley Chelsea is a variable interest entity; however, we are not the primary beneficiary. Our maximum exposure to loss as a result of our investment in Chelsea is \$12,000. This investment is being accounted for under the equity method.

### **Recent Developments in Tobacco-Related Litigation**

The cigarette industry continues to be challenged on numerous fronts. New cases continue to be commenced against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers. As of September 30, 2008, there were approximately 2,185 individual suits (excluding approximately 100 individual cases pending in West Virginia state court as part of a consolidated action; Liggett has been severed from the trial of the consolidated action), eight purported class actions and four governmental and other third-party payor health care reimbursement actions pending in the United States in which Liggett or us, or both, were named as a defendant.

In 2000, a jury, in *Engle v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.*, rendered a \$145,000,000 punitive damages verdict in favor of a "Florida class" against certain cigarette manufacturers, including Liggett. Pursuant to the Florida Supreme Court's July 2006 ruling in *Engle*, which decertified the class on a prospective basis, and affirmed the appellate court's reversal of the punitive damages award, former class members had one year from January 11, 2007 in which to file individual lawsuits. In addition, some individuals who filed suit prior to January 11, 2007, and who claim they meet the conditions in *Engle*, are attempting to avail themselves of the *Engle* ruling. Lawsuits by individuals requesting the benefit of the *Engle* ruling, whether filed before or after the January 11, 2007 mandate, are referred to as the "*Engle* progeny cases." As of September 30, 2008, Liggett and/or us have been named in approximately 2,240 *Engle* progeny cases in both state and federal courts in Florida. Other cigarette manufacturers have also been named as defendants in most of these cases. These cases include approximately 9,570 plaintiffs. Duplicate cases were filed in federal and state courts on behalf of approximately 660 of these plaintiffs. Although the total number of *Engle* plaintiffs will not increase, the number of cases will likely increase as the court may require multi-plaintiff cases to be severed into individual cases. At present, trials have been scheduled or are likely to be scheduled for 42 *Engle* progeny cases during 2009. In one of these cases, Liggett is the only defendant.

## [Table of Contents](#)

In June 2002, the jury in a Florida state court action entitled *Lukacs v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company*, awarded \$37,500 in compensatory damages in a case involving Liggett and two other cigarette manufacturers. The jury found Liggett 50% responsible for the damages incurred by the plaintiff. The *Lukacs* case was the first case to be tried as an individual *Engle* class member suit following entry of final judgment by the *Engle* trial court. In August 2008, the court entered judgment in the amount of \$24,835 (for which Liggett is 50% responsible), plus interest from June 2002 which, as of September 30, 2008, was approximately \$13,200. Liggett intends to appeal the award and may be required to post a bond. In addition, the plaintiff filed a motion seeking an award of attorneys' fees from Liggett based on plaintiff's prior proposal for settlement. In October 2008, plaintiff withdrew her request for punitive damages. It is possible that additional cases could be decided unfavorably and that there could be further adverse developments in the *Engle* case. Liggett may enter into discussions in an attempt to settle particular cases if it believes it is appropriate to do so. We cannot predict the cash requirements related to any future settlements and judgments, including cash required to bond any appeals, and there is a risk that those requirements will not be able to be met.

In recent years, there have been a number of proposed restrictive regulatory actions from various federal administrative bodies, including the United States Environmental Protection Agency and the FDA. There have also been adverse political decisions and other unfavorable developments concerning cigarette smoking and the tobacco industry, including the commencement and certification of class actions and the commencement of third-party payor actions. In October 2004, the Senate passed a bill, which did not become law, providing for FDA regulation of tobacco products. A substantially similar bill was reintroduced in Congress in February 2007. This legislation was approved in August 2007 by the Senate Committee on Health, Education, Labor and Pensions, and is awaiting consideration by the full Senate. Companion legislation was approved by the House Committee on Energy and Commerce in April 2008 and was passed by the full House of Representatives in July 2008. The House legislation includes a provision granting certain phase in exemptions for small manufacturers that would not be applicable to Liggett. At this time, we do not know whether FDA regulation over tobacco products will be approved by this Congress, and if so, whether it will be signed into law by the President.

These developments generally receive widespread media attention. We are not able to evaluate the effect of these developing matters on pending litigation or the possible commencement of additional litigation, but our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome in any tobacco-related litigation.

### **Critical Accounting Policies**

There are no material changes from the critical accounting policies set forth in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, for the year ended December 31, 2007, except for the changes set forth below. Please refer to that section and the information below for disclosures regarding the critical accounting policies related to our business.

*Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements.* Effective January 1, 2008, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements," ("SFAS No. 157") for financial assets and financial liabilities. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements but provides a definition of fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. We will adopt SFAS No. 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities on January 1, 2009. The adoption of SFAS No. 157 on financial assets and financial liabilities did not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows. We are currently assessing the impact of SFAS No. 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

**Results of Operations**

The following discussion provides an assessment of our results of operations, capital resources and liquidity and should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report. The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of VGR Holding, Liggett, Vector Tobacco, Liggett Vector Brands, New Valley and other less significant subsidiaries.

For purposes of this discussion and other consolidated financial reporting, our significant business segments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 were Liggett and Vector Tobacco. The Liggett segment consists of the manufacture and sale of conventional cigarettes and, for segment reporting purposes, includes the operations of the Medallion Company, Inc. (which operations are held for legal purposes as part of Vector Tobacco). The Vector Tobacco segment includes the development and marketing of the low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarette products as well as the development of reduced risk cigarette products and, for segment reporting purposes, excludes the operations of Medallion.

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2008	September 30, 2007	September 30, 2008	September 30, 2007
<b>Revenues:</b>				
Liggett	\$ 144,841	\$ 135,186	\$ 418,816	\$ 407,304
Vector Tobacco	760	867	1,950	2,992
Total revenues	<u>\$ 145,601</u>	<u>\$ 136,053</u>	<u>\$ 420,766</u>	<u>\$ 410,296</u>
<b>Operating income (loss):</b>				
Liggett	\$ 45,924	\$ 40,448	\$ 126,960	\$ 113,371
Vector Tobacco	(2,439)	(2,841)	(6,775)	(7,247)
Total tobacco	43,485	37,607	120,185	106,124
Corporate and other	(5,950)	(3,900)	(20,264)	(17,514)
Total operating income	<u>\$ 37,535</u>	<u>\$ 33,707</u>	<u>\$ 99,921</u>	<u>\$ 88,610</u>

**Three Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to Three Months ended September 30, 2007**

**Revenues.** Total revenues were \$145,601 for the three months ended September 30, 2008 compared to \$136,053 for the three months ended September 30, 2007. This \$9,548 (7%) increase in revenues was due to a \$9,655 (7.1%) increase in revenues at Liggett and a \$107 (12.3%) decrease in revenues at Vector Tobacco.

**Tobacco Revenues.** In April 2007, Liggett increased the list price of GRAND PRIX by an additional \$1.00 per carton. In September 2007, Liggett increased the list price of LIGGETT SELECT, EVE and GRAND PRIX by an additional \$0.70 per carton. In April 2008, Liggett increased the list price of GRAND PRIX by an additional \$0.40 per carton. In addition, in April 2008, Liggett decreased the early payment terms on its cigarettes from 2.75% to 2.25% of invoice amount. In August 2008, Liggett increased the list price of LIGGETT SELECT, EVE and GRAND PRIX by an additional \$1.00 per carton.

## Table of Contents

All of Liggett's sales for the third quarter of 2008 and 2007 were in the discount category. For the three months ended September 30, 2008, net sales at Liggett totaled \$144,841, compared to \$135,186 for the three months ended September 30, 2007. Revenues increased by 7.1% (\$9,655) due to a favorable price variance of \$8,289 primarily related to LIGGETT SELECT and GRAND PRIX, a favorable volume variance of \$1,107 (approximately 18 million units) and new sales of \$106 from the introduction of SNUS. Net revenues of the LIGGETT SELECT brand decreased \$643 for the third quarter of 2008 compared to 2007, and its unit volume decreased 8.6% in the 2008 period compared to 2007. Net revenues of the GRAND PRIX brand increased \$4,520 for the third quarter of 2008 compared to the 2007 period due to a favorable variance from pricing of \$4,422 and an increase in volume of 0.2% (1.7 million units).

Revenues at Vector Tobacco for the three months ended September 30, 2008 were \$760 compared to \$867 in the 2007 period due to decreased sales volume. Vector Tobacco's revenues in both periods related to sales of QUEST.

*Tobacco Gross Profit.* Tobacco gross profit was \$60,602 for the three months ended September 30, 2008 compared to \$55,871 for the three months ended September 30, 2007. This represented an increase of \$4,731 (8.5%) when compared to the same period last year, due primarily to decreased promotional spending expense. Liggett's brands contributed 99.6% to our gross profit and Vector Tobacco contributed 0.4% for the three months ended September 30, 2008. Over the same period in 2007, Liggett's brands contributed 99.8% to tobacco gross profit and Vector Tobacco contributed 0.2%.

Liggett's gross profit of \$60,343 for the three months ended September 30, 2008 increased \$4,600 from gross profit of \$55,743 for the three months ended September 30, 2007. This increase in Liggett's gross profit in the 2008 period was attributable primarily to increased pricing. As a percent of revenues (excluding federal excise taxes), gross profit at Liggett decreased to 59.4% for the three months ended September 30, 2008 compared to gross profit of 60.4% for the three months ended September 30, 2007.

Vector Tobacco's gross profit was \$259 for the three months ended September 30, 2008 compared to gross profit of \$128 for the same period in 2007. The increase was primarily due to increased pricing.

*Expenses.* Operating, selling, general and administrative expenses were \$23,067 for the three months ended September 30, 2008 compared to \$22,164 for the same period last year, an increase of \$903 (4.1%). Expenses at Liggett were \$14,419 for the three months ended September 30, 2008 compared to \$15,295 for the same period in the prior year, a decrease of \$876 or 5.7%, which was the result of lower compensation expenses in the 2008 period. Liggett's product liability legal expenses and other litigation related expenses were \$1,737 and \$1,648 for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Expenses at Vector Tobacco for the three months ended September 30, 2008 were \$2,697 compared to expenses of \$2,969 for the three months ended September 30, 2007. Expenses at the corporate level increased from \$3,900 to \$5,951.

For the three months ended September 30, 2008, Liggett's operating income increased \$5,476 to \$45,924 compared to \$40,448 for the same period in 2007 primarily due to increased gross profit. For the three months ended September 30, 2008, Vector Tobacco's operating loss was \$2,439 compared to a loss of \$2,841 for the three months ended September 30, 2007.

## Table of Contents

*Other expenses.* For the three months ended September 30, 2008, other expenses were \$15,698 compared to \$9,474 for the three months ended September 30, 2007. For the three months ended September 30, 2008, other expenses consisted of interest expense of \$15,515 and losses of \$7,000 associated with the performance of two investment partnerships (\$1,500 each) and a decline in value in the mortgage receivable (\$4,000) and was offset by other income of equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses of \$5,202, interest and dividend income of \$1,094, and \$522 for changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt. The equity income of \$5,202 for the 2008 period resulted from New Valley's investment in Douglas Elliman Realty which contributed \$4,727 and Aberdeen, which contributed \$475. For the three months ended September 30, 2007, other expenses consisted primarily of interest expense of \$12,113, changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt of \$6,331 and was offset by equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses of \$6,589 interest and interest and dividend income of \$2,445.

The value of the embedded derivatives is contingent on changes in interest rates of debt instruments maturing over the duration of the convertible debt, our stock price as well as projections of future cash and stock dividends over the term of the debt. The gains from the embedded derivatives in the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, was primarily the result of interest payments during the period and increasing spreads between corporate convertible debt and risk free investments.

*Income before income taxes.* Income before income taxes for the three months ended September 30, 2008 was \$21,837 compared to income before income taxes of \$24,233 for the three months ended September 30, 2007.

*Income tax provision.* The income tax provision was \$7,010 and \$9,169 for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Our income tax rates for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 do not bear a customary relationship to statutory income tax rates as a result of the impact of nondeductible expenses, state income taxes and interest and penalties accrued on unrecognized tax benefits offset by the impact of the domestic production activities deduction and the reversal of previously unrecognized tax benefits.

Our provision for income taxes in interim periods is based on an estimated annual effective income tax rate derived, in part, from estimated annual pre-tax results from ordinary operations in accordance with FIN 18, "Accounting for Income Taxes in Interim Periods—an interpretation of APB Opinion No. 28." We did not include the loss from long-term investments, the loss on the mortgage receivable and the recognition of unrecognized tax benefits in the computation of the effective annual income tax rate for 2008 or 2007 from estimated pre-tax results from ordinary operations. These items reduced income tax expense by approximately \$2,750 and \$2,200 due to differences in our marginal tax rate of approximately 41% and our anticipated effective annual income tax rate from ordinary operations of approximately 44%.

### Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to Nine Months ended September 30, 2007

*Revenues.* Total revenues were \$420,766 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to \$410,296 for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. This \$10,470 (2.6%) increase in revenues was due to a \$11,512 (2.8%) increase in revenues at Liggett and a \$1,042 (34.8%) decrease in revenues at Vector Tobacco.

*Tobacco Revenues.* In April 2007, Liggett increased the list price of GRAND PRIX by an additional \$1.00 per carton. In September 2007, Liggett increased the list price of LIGGETT SELECT, EVE and GRAND PRIX by an additional \$0.70 per carton. In April 2008, Liggett increased the list price of GRAND PRIX by an additional \$0.40 per carton. In addition, in April 2008, Liggett decreased the early payment terms on its cigarettes from 2.75% to 2.25% of invoice amount. In August 2008, Liggett increased the list price of LIGGETT SELECT, EVE and GRAND PRIX by an additional \$1.00 per carton.

## Table of Contents

All of Liggett's sales for the first nine months of 2008 and 2007 were in the discount category. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, net sales at Liggett totaled \$418,816, compared to \$407,304 for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. Revenues increased by 2.8% (\$11,512) due to a favorable price variance of \$27,665 primarily related to LIGGETT SELECT and GRAND PRIX and new sales of \$470 from the introduction of SNUS offset by an unfavorable volume variance of \$15,397 (approximately 255.3 million units) and sales mix of \$1,225. Net revenues of the LIGGETT SELECT brand decreased \$5,895 for the first nine months of 2008 compared to 2007, and its unit volume decreased 10.4% in 2008 period compared to 2007. Net revenues of the GRAND PRIX brand increased \$16,997 for the first nine months of 2008 compared to 2007 as a favorable variance from pricing of \$14,319 and an increase in volume of 2.4% (49.6 million units).

Revenues at Vector Tobacco for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 were \$1,950 compared to \$2,992 in the 2007 period due to decreased sales volume. Vector Tobacco's revenues in both periods related to sales of QUEST.

*Tobacco Gross Profit.* Tobacco gross profit was \$169,730 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to \$158,207 for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. This represented an increase of \$11,523 (7.3%) when compared to the same period last year. Liggett's brands contributed 99.6% to our gross profit and Vector Tobacco contributed 0.4% for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. Over the same period in 2007, Liggett's brands contributed 99.5% to tobacco gross profit and Vector Tobacco contributed 0.5%.

Liggett's gross profit of \$169,120 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 increased \$11,686 from gross profit of \$157,434 for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. As a percent of revenues (excluding federal excise taxes), gross profit at Liggett increased to 57.9% for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to gross profit of 57.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. This increase in Liggett's gross profit in the 2008 period was attributable primarily to a favorable price variance and a \$1,300 of a one-time decrease in MSA expense as a result of the MSA assessment for 2007 being less than anticipated.

Vector Tobacco's gross profit was \$610 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to gross profit of \$773 for the same period in 2007. The decrease was due primarily to the reduced sales volume.

*Expenses.* Operating, selling, general and administrative expenses were \$69,809 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to \$69,597 for the same period last year, an increase of \$212 (0.3%). Expenses at Liggett were \$42,160 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to \$44,063 for the same period in the prior year, a decrease of \$1,903 or 4.3%. The decrease related to product liability legal expenses in the 2008 period compared to the 2007 period. Liggett's product liability legal and other litigation related expenses of \$4,806 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to \$5,886 for the same period in the prior year. Expenses at Vector Tobacco for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 were \$7,384 compared to expenses of \$8,020 for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. Expenses at the corporate level increased from \$17,514 in the 2007 period to \$20,265.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, Liggett's operating income increased \$13,589 to \$126,960 compared to \$113,371 for the same period in 2007 primarily due to increased gross profit. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, Vector Tobacco's operating loss was \$6,775 compared to a loss of \$7,247 for the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

## Table of Contents

*Other income (expenses).* For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, other expenses were \$18,620 compared to income of \$13,669 for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, other expenses consisted of interest expense of \$46,025 and losses of \$7,000 associated with the performance of two investment partnerships (\$1,500 each) and a decline in value in the mortgage receivable (\$4,000) which was offset by equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses of \$22,706, changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt of \$7,837, and interest and dividend income of \$4,440. The equity income of \$22,706 for the 2008 period resulted from New Valley's investment in Douglas Elliman Realty which contributed \$10,249, \$11,982 from 16<sup>th</sup> and K, which consisted of equity losses from the operations of the St. Regis Hotel of \$3,796 and income of \$15,779 in connection with the gain on the disposal of 16<sup>th</sup> and K's interest in 90% of the St. Regis Hotel in Washington, D.C., and \$475 from Aberdeen. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, other income consisted of \$20,000 for the NASA lawsuit settlement, equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses of \$15,926, gain from the exchange of the LTS notes of \$8,121, interest and dividend income of \$5,862 and change in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt of \$4,215 and was offset by interest expense of \$30,767 and a loss on investments of \$1,216. The equity income of \$15,926 for the 2007 period resulted primarily from income of \$17,763 related to New Valley's investment in Douglas Elliman Realty offset by losses of \$953 in Ceebraid, \$750 in Koa Investors, and \$134 in 16<sup>th</sup> and K. As of March 31, 2007, New Valley suspended its recognition of equity losses in Koa Investors as such losses exceed its basis plus any commitment to make additional investments.

The value of the embedded derivatives is contingent on changes in interest rates of debt instruments maturing over the duration of the convertible debt, our stock price as well as projections of future cash and stock dividends over the term of the debt. The gains from the embedded derivatives in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 were primarily the result of interest payments during the period and increasing spreads between corporate convertible debt. The losses from the embedded derivatives in the nine months ended September 30, 2007 were primarily the result of decreasing long-term interest rates and increases in our stock price on September 30, 2007 as compared to December 31, 2006 offset by the payment of interest during the period.

*Income before income taxes.* Income before income taxes for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 was \$81,301 compared to income before income taxes of \$102,279 for the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

*Income tax provision.* The income tax provision was \$33,042 and \$42,707 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Our income tax rate for nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 does not bear a customary relationship to statutory income tax rates as a result of the impact of nondeductible expenses, state income taxes and interest and penalties accrued on unrecognized tax benefits offset by the impact of the domestic production activities deduction and the reversal of unrecognized tax benefits. Our provision for income taxes in interim periods is based on an estimated annual effective income tax rate derived, in part, from estimated annual pre-tax results from ordinary operations in accordance with FIN 18, "Accounting for Income Taxes in Interim Periods—an interpretation of APB Opinion No. 28". We did not include the discrete items discussed below in the 2008 or 2007 computation of our effective annual income tax rate from estimated pre-tax results from ordinary operations. Accordingly, our provision for income taxes for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, has been computed by applying the discrete method in accordance with FIN 18. In addition to recognizing previously unrecognized tax benefits of \$2,600 in 2008 and \$2,200 in 2007, items impacting the discrete method included the gain on the disposal of the St. Regis and the impairment charges associated with long-term investments and mortgage receivable in 2008 and the impact of the settlement of a state income tax assessment in March 2007, the income from the lawsuit settlement with the United States government and the gain from the exchange of the LTS notes in 2007. We recorded the tax effect of discrete items using the marginal income tax rate of 41% as opposed to our anticipated effective annual income tax rate from ordinary operations of approximately 44%.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Net cash and cash equivalents decreased \$31,608 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and increased \$90,587 for the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

Net cash provided from operations was \$70,435 and \$91,199 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The difference between the two periods relates primarily to the receipt of \$20,000 in connection with the NASA settlement in 2007.

Cash used in investing activities was \$28,426 and \$45,261 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. In the first nine months of 2008, cash was used for the purchase of the mortgage receivable of \$21,704, the investment in Aberdeen for \$10,000 and Chelsea for \$12,000, the purchase of investment securities of \$5,682, capital expenditures of \$5,426, increase in the cash surrender value of corporate-owned life insurance policies of \$766, and the purchase of long-term investments of \$51 offset by the distributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses of \$17,628 and from the proceeds from the liquidation of long-term investments of \$8,334, a decrease in restricted assets of \$838, and the proceeds from the sale of fixed assets of \$403. In the first nine months of 2007, cash was used for the net purchase of \$35,091 of long-term investments, capital expenditures of \$4,211, the purchase of investment securities of \$6,048, investment in non-consolidated real estate businesses of \$750, increase in the cash surrender value of corporate-owned life insurance policies of \$690, an increase in restricted assets of \$310 partially offset by the return of capital contributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses of \$1,000, the proceeds from the sale of fixed assets of \$789, and from the proceeds from the liquidation of long-term investments of \$50.

Cash used in financing activities was \$73,617 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to cash provided of \$44,649 for the 2007 period. In the first nine months of 2008, cash was primarily used for distributions on common stock of \$78,581, repayments on debt of \$4,666, net payments of debt under the revolver of \$11,393 and deferred financing charges of \$137, offset by the excess tax benefit of options exercised of \$18,304, debt issuance of \$2,830, and the proceeds from the exercise of options of \$26. In the first nine months of 2007, cash was provided from the August 2007 issuance of \$165,000 of our 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015, \$8,000 of debt collateralized by Liggett's Mebane facility discussed below, \$1,576 of other equipment financing at Liggett, \$4,857 of proceeds from the exercise of options, \$1,928 representing the tax benefit of options exercised offset by distributions on common stock of \$75,258, the repayment of \$35,000 of debt associated with the Medallion purchase and \$4,718 of other equipment debt, deferred financing costs of \$9,888 and net repayments under the revolver of \$11,848.

*Liggett.* Liggett has a \$50,000 credit facility with Wachovia Bank, N.A. under which \$3,389 was outstanding at September 30, 2008. Availability as determined under the facility was approximately \$23,112 based on eligible collateral at September 30, 2008. The facility contains covenants that provide that Liggett's earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as defined under the facility, on a trailing twelve-month basis, shall not be less than \$100,000 if Liggett's excess availability, as defined, under the facility is less than \$20,000. The covenants also require that annual capital expenditures, as defined under the facility, (before a maximum carryover amount of \$2,500) shall not exceed \$10,000 during any fiscal year. At September 30, 2008, management believed that Liggett was in compliance with all covenants under the credit facility; Liggett's EBITDA, as defined, were approximately \$155,957 for the twelve months ended September 30, 2008.

## Table of Contents

Liggett and other United States cigarette manufacturers have been named as defendants in a number of direct and third-party actions (and purported class actions) predicated on the theory that they should be liable for damages from cancer and other adverse health effects alleged to have been caused by cigarette smoking or by exposure to so-called secondary smoke from cigarettes. We believe, and have been so advised by counsel handling the respective cases, that Liggett has a number of valid defenses to claims asserted against it. Litigation is subject to many uncertainties. In June 2002, the jury in an individual case brought under the third phase of the *Engle* case awarded \$37,500 (subsequently reduced by the court to \$24,835) of compensatory damages against Liggett and two other defendants and found Liggett 50% responsible for the damages plus accrued interest from June 2002. Liggett may be required to bond the amount of the judgment to perfect its appeal. It is possible that additional cases could be decided unfavorably and that there could be further adverse developments in the *Engle* case. Liggett may enter into discussions in an attempt to settle particular cases if it believes it is appropriate to do so. Management cannot predict the cash requirements related to any future settlements and judgments, including cash required to bond any appeals, and there is a risk that those requirements will not be able to be met. An unfavorable outcome of a pending smoking and health case could encourage the commencement of additional similar litigation. In recent years, there have been a number of adverse regulatory, political and other developments concerning cigarette smoking and the tobacco industry. These developments generally receive widespread media attention. Neither we nor Liggett are able to evaluate the effect of these developing matters on pending litigation or the possible commencement of additional litigation or regulation. See Note 8 to our condensed consolidated financial statements and "Legislation and Regulation" below for a description of legislation, regulation and litigation.

Management is unable to make a reasonable estimate of the amount or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of the cases pending against Liggett or the costs of defending such cases. It is possible that our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome in any such tobacco-related litigation.

*Vector.* We believe that we will continue to meet our liquidity requirements through September 30, 2009. We also believe we have sufficient liquidity despite the disruption of the capital and credit markets. We anticipate funding our expenditures for current operations and required principal payments with available cash resources, proceeds from public and/or private debt and equity financing, management fees and other payments from subsidiaries. While not significant to us to date, the disruptions in capital and credit markets may result in increased borrowing costs associated with our debt. Corporate expenditures (exclusive of Liggett, Vector Research, Vector Tobacco and New Valley) over the next twelve months for current operations include cash interest expense of approximately \$48,750, dividends on our outstanding shares (currently at an annual rate of approximately \$114,000) and corporate expenses and taxes. New Valley may acquire or seek to acquire additional operating businesses through merger, purchase of assets, stock acquisition or other means, or to make other investments, which may limit its ability to make such distributions.

On a quarterly basis, we evaluate our investments to determine whether an impairment has occurred. If so, we also make a determination if such impairment is considered temporary or other-than-temporary. We believe that the assessment of temporary or other-than-temporary impairment is facts and circumstances driven. However, among the matters that are considered in making such a determination are the period of time the investment has remained below its cost or carrying value, the likelihood of recovery given the reason for the decrease in market value and our original expected holding period of the investment.

We or our subsidiaries file U.S. federal income tax returns and returns with various state and local jurisdictions. Our condensed consolidated balance sheets include deferred income tax assets and liabilities, which represent temporary differences in the application of accounting rules established by generally accepted accounting principles and income tax laws. As of September 30, 2008, our deferred income tax liabilities exceeded our deferred income tax assets by \$118,075. Our current deferred income tax liabilities increased by approximately \$71,600 during the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as a result of the reclassification of a deferred tax liability from non-current to current liabilities. This reclassification resulted from our settlement with the Internal Revenue Service in July 2006, which required us to recognize taxable income of approximately \$192,000 from the Philip Morris brand transaction by March 1, 2009. The largest component of our deferred tax liabilities exists because of differences that resulted from the Philip Morris brand transaction discussed above.

## Market Risk

We are exposed to market risks principally from fluctuations in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and equity prices. We seek to minimize these risks through our regular operating and financing activities and our long-term investment strategy. Our market risk management procedures cover all market risk sensitive financial instruments.

As of September 30, 2008, approximately \$20,524 of our outstanding debt at face value had variable interest rates determined by various interest rate indices, which increases the risk of fluctuating interest rates. Our exposure to market risk includes interest rate fluctuations in connection with our variable rate borrowings, which could adversely affect our cash flows. As of September 30, 2008, we had no interest rate caps or swaps. Based on a hypothetical 100 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates (1%), our annual interest expense could increase or decrease by approximately \$202.

In addition, as of September 30, 2008, approximately \$95,837 (\$221,864 principal amount) of outstanding debt had a variable interest rate determined by the amount of the dividends on our common stock. The difference between the stated value of the debt and its carrying value is due principally to certain embedded derivatives, which were separately valued and recorded upon issuance.

We have estimated the fair market value of the embedded derivatives based principally on the results of a valuation model. The estimated fair value of the derivatives embedded within the convertible debt is based principally on the present value of future dividend payments expected to be received by the convertible debt holders over the term of the debt. The discount rate applied to the future cash flows is estimated based on a spread in yield of our debt when compared to risk-free securities with the same duration; thus, a readily determinable fair market value of the embedded derivatives is not available. The valuation model assumes our future dividend payments and utilizes interest rates and credit spreads for secured to unsecured debt, unsecured to subordinated debt and subordinated debt to preferred stock to determine the fair value of the derivatives embedded within the convertible debt. The valuation also considers items, including current and future dividends and the volatility of Vector's stock price. The range of estimated fair market values of our embedded derivatives was between \$93,200 and \$94,900. We recorded the fair market value of our embedded derivatives at the midpoint of the inputs at \$93,745 as of September 30, 2008. The estimated fair market value of our embedded derivatives could change significantly based on future market conditions.

Changes to the estimated fair value of these embedded derivatives are reflected quarterly within our statements of operations as "Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt." The value of the embedded derivative is contingent on changes in interest rates of debt instruments maturing over the duration of the convertible debt as well as projections of future cash and stock dividends over the term of the debt and changes in the closing stock price at the end of each quarterly period. Based on a hypothetical 100 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates (1%), our annual "Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt" could increase or decrease by approximately \$3,926 with approximately \$396 resulting from the embedded derivative associated with our 5% variable interest senior convertible notes due 2011 and the remaining \$3,530 resulting from the embedded derivative associated with our 3.875% variable interest senior convertible debentures due 2026. An increase in our quarterly dividend rate by \$0.10 per share would increase interest expense by approximately \$4,950 per year.

We held investment securities available for sale totaling \$46,151 at September 30, 2008, which includes 13,888,889 shares of Ladenburg Thalmann Financial Services Inc., which were carried at \$25,000, and 5,057,110 shares of Opko Health, Inc., which were carried at \$8,850. In March 2008, we acquired 2,800,000 shares of Opko in a private placement. These shares have not been registered for resale but are expected to be freely tradable within one year. In 2008, we acquired 2,259,796 shares of Cardo Medical, Inc. for \$500. The shares were carried at \$3,842 as of September 30, 2008. These shares have not been registered for resale but are expected to be freely tradable within one year. See Note 3 to our condensed consolidated financial statements. Adverse market conditions could have a significant effect on the value of these investments.

## [Table of Contents](#)

New Valley also holds long-term investments in various investment partnerships. These investments are illiquid, and their ultimate realization is subject to the performance of the underlying entities. We recorded a loss of \$3,000 during the third quarter of 2008 due to the performance of two of its long-term investments after we determined that an other than temporary impairment had occurred during the third quarter of 2008.

### **New Accounting Pronouncements**

In February 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities." SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with early adoption permitted provided the entity also elects to apply the provisions of SFAS No. 157. We have not elected to use the fair value option.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), a revised version of SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations." The revision is intended to simplify existing guidance and converge rulemaking under U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") with international accounting rules. This statement applies prospectively to business combinations where the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. An entity may not apply it before that date. The new standard also converges financial reporting under U.S. GAAP with international accounting rules. We are currently assessing the impact, if any, of SFAS No. 141(R) on its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities-an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133." SFAS No. 161 seeks qualitative disclosures about the objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative data about the fair value of and gains and losses on derivative contracts, and details of credit-risk-related contingent features in hedged positions. SFAS No. 161 also seeks enhanced disclosure around derivative instruments in financial statements, accounting under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," and how hedges affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 is effective for us as of January 1, 2009 and we do not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 161 to have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

On May 9, 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1, "Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)" ("FSP No. APB 14-1"). We are currently assessing the impact of FSP No. APB 14-1 on our consolidated financial statements.

On June 16, 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. EITF 03-6-1, "Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions are Participating Securities," which states that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share under the two-class method. The guidance is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those years. We are currently assessing the impact of FSP No. EITF 03-6-1 on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2008, the FASB issued FSP SFAS 157-3, "Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active," which addresses the application of SFAS 157 for illiquid financial instruments. FSP SFAS 157-3 clarifies that approaches to determining fair value other than the market approach may be appropriate when the market for a financial asset is not active.

## Legislation and Regulation

Reports with respect to the alleged harmful physical effects of cigarette smoking have been publicized for many years and, in the opinion of Liggett's management, have had and may continue to have an adverse effect on cigarette sales. Since 1964, the Surgeon General of the United States and the Secretary of Health and Human Services have released a number of reports which state that cigarette smoking is a causative factor with respect to a variety of health hazards, including cancer, heart disease and lung disease, and have recommended various government actions to reduce the incidence of smoking. In 1997, Liggett publicly acknowledged that, as the Surgeon General and respected medical researchers have found, smoking causes health problems, including lung cancer, heart and vascular disease, and emphysema.

Since 1966, federal law has required that cigarettes manufactured, packaged or imported for sale or distribution in the United States include specific health warnings on their packaging. Since 1972, Liggett and the other cigarette manufacturers have included the federally required warning statements in print advertising and on certain categories of point-of-sale display materials relating to cigarettes. The Federal Cigarette Labeling and Advertising Act ("FCLA Act") requires that packages of cigarettes distributed in the United States and cigarette advertisements in the United States bear one of the following four warning statements: "SURGEON GENERAL'S WARNING: Smoking Causes Lung Cancer, Heart Disease, Emphysema, And May Complicate Pregnancy"; "SURGEON GENERAL'S WARNING: Quitting Smoking Now Greatly Reduces Serious Risks to Your Health"; "SURGEON GENERAL'S WARNING: Smoking By Pregnant Women May Result in Fetal Injury, Premature Birth, And Low Birth Weight"; and "SURGEON GENERAL'S WARNING: Cigarette Smoke Contains Carbon Monoxide". The law also requires that each person who manufactures, packages or imports cigarettes annually provide to the Secretary of Health and Human Services a list of ingredients added to tobacco in the manufacture of cigarettes. Annual reports to the United States Congress are also required from the Secretary of Health and Human Services as to current information on the health consequences of smoking and from the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") on the effectiveness of cigarette labeling and current practices and methods of cigarette advertising and promotion. Both federal agencies are also required annually to make such recommendations as they deem appropriate with regard to further legislation. It is possible that proposed legislation providing for regulation of cigarettes by the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA"), if enacted, could significantly change the warning requirements currently mandated by the FCLA Act. In addition, since 1997, Liggett has included the warning "Smoking is Addictive" on its cigarette packages and point-of-sale materials.

In January 1993, the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") released a report on the respiratory effect of secondary smoke which concludes that secondary smoke is a known human lung carcinogen in adults and in children, causes increased respiratory tract disease and middle ear disorders and increases the severity and frequency of asthma. In June 1993, the two largest of the major domestic cigarette manufacturers, together with other segments of the tobacco and distribution industries, commenced a lawsuit against the EPA seeking a determination that the EPA did not have the statutory authority to regulate secondary smoke, and that given the scientific evidence and the EPA's failure to follow its own guidelines in making the determination, the EPA's classification of secondary smoke was arbitrary and capricious. In July 1998, a federal district court vacated those sections of the report relating to lung cancer, finding that the EPA may have reached different conclusions had it complied with relevant statutory requirements. The federal government appealed the court's ruling. In December 2002, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit rejected the industry challenge to the EPA report ruling that it was not subject to court review. Issuance of the report may encourage efforts to limit smoking in public areas.

## Table of Contents

In August 1996, the FDA filed in the Federal Register a Final Rule classifying tobacco as a “drug” or “medical device”, asserting jurisdiction over the manufacture and marketing of tobacco products and imposing restrictions on the sale, advertising and promotion of tobacco products. Litigation was commenced challenging the legal authority of the FDA to assert such jurisdiction, as well as challenging the constitutionality of the rule. In March 2000, the United States Supreme Court ruled that the FDA does not have the power to regulate tobacco. Liggett supported the FDA Rule and began to phase in compliance with certain of the proposed FDA regulations. Since the Supreme Court decision, various proposals and recommendations have been made for additional federal and state legislation to regulate cigarette manufacturers. Congressional advocates of FDA regulations have introduced legislation that would give the FDA authority to regulate the manufacture, sale, distribution and labeling of tobacco products to protect public health, thereby allowing the FDA to reinstate its prior regulations or adopt new or additional regulations. In October 2004, the Senate passed a bill, which did not become law, providing for FDA regulation of tobacco products. A substantially similar bill was reintroduced in Congress in February 2007. This legislation was approved in August 2007, by the Senate Committee on Health, Education, Labor and Pensions, and is awaiting consideration by the full Senate. Companion legislation was approved by the House Committee on Energy and Commerce in April 2008 was passed by the full House of Representatives July 2008. The House legislation includes a provision granting certain phase in exemptions for small manufacturers that would not be applicable to the Company. At this time, the Company does not know whether FDA regulation over tobacco products will be approved by this Congress, and if so, whether it will be signed into law by the President. FDA regulation of tobacco products could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

In August 1996, Massachusetts enacted legislation requiring tobacco companies to publish information regarding the ingredients in cigarettes and other tobacco products sold in that state. In December 2002, the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit ruled that the ingredients disclosure provisions violated the constitutional prohibition against unlawful seizure of property by forcing firms to reveal trade secrets. Liggett began voluntarily complying with this legislation in December 1997 by providing ingredient information to the Massachusetts Department of Public Health and, notwithstanding the appellate court’s ruling, has continued to provide ingredient disclosure. Liggett and Vector Tobacco also provide ingredient information annually, as required by law, to the states of Texas and Minnesota. Several other states are considering ingredient disclosure legislation, and the proposed legislation under consideration by Congress providing for FDA regulation also calls for, among other things, ingredient disclosure.

In October 2004, the Fair and Equitable Tobacco Reform Act of 2004 (“FETRA”) was signed into law. FETRA provides for the elimination of the federal tobacco quota and price support program through an industry funded buyout of tobacco growers and quota holders. Pursuant to the legislation, manufacturers of tobacco products will be assessed \$10,140,000 over a ten year period to compensate tobacco growers and quota holders for the elimination of their quota rights. Cigarette manufacturers will initially be responsible for 96.3% of the assessment (subject to adjustment in the future), which will be allocated based on relative unit volume of domestic cigarette shipments. The relative cost of the legislation to the three largest cigarette manufacturers will likely be less than the cost to smaller manufacturers, including Liggett and Vector Tobacco, because one effect of the legislation is that the three largest manufacturers will no longer be obligated to make certain contractual payments, commonly known as Phase II payments, that they agreed in 1999 to make to tobacco-producing states. The ultimate impact of this legislation cannot be determined, but there is a risk that smaller manufacturers, such as Liggett and Vector Tobacco, will be disproportionately affected by the legislation, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

## Table of Contents

Cigarettes are subject to substantial and increasing federal, state and local excise taxes. The federal excise tax on cigarettes is currently \$0.39 per pack, although proposals are pending in Congress to increase the federal excise tax by as much as \$0.61 per pack. Such a proposal was included in legislation to reauthorize the State Children's Health Insurance Program which was passed by Congress, but, ultimately vetoed by the President. This legislation is likely to be reconsidered by Congress in the future. State and local sales and excise taxes vary considerably and, when combined with sales taxes, local taxes and the current federal excise tax, may currently exceed \$4.00 per pack. In 2006, eight states enacted increases in excise taxes and 11 states have enacted increases in excise taxes in 2007. Further increases from other states are expected. Congress is currently considering significant increases in the federal excise tax or other payments from tobacco manufacturers, and various states and other jurisdictions are considering, or have pending, legislation proposing further state excise tax increases. Management believes increases in excise and similar taxes have had, and will continue to have, an adverse effect on sales of cigarettes.

In June 2000, the New York State legislature passed legislation charging the state's Office of Fire Prevention and Control with developing standards for "self-extinguishing" or reduced ignition propensity cigarettes. All cigarettes manufactured for sale in New York State must be manufactured to specific reduced ignition propensity standards set forth in the regulations. Since the passage of the New York law, approximately 20 states have passed similar laws utilizing substantially similar technical standards. Similar legislation is being considered by other state governments and at the federal level. Compliance with such legislation could be burdensome and costly and could harm the business of Liggett and Vector Tobacco, particularly if there were to be varying standards from state to state.

Federal or state regulators may object to Vector Tobacco's low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarette products and reduced risk cigarette products it may develop as unlawful or allege they bear deceptive or unsubstantiated product claims, and seek the removal of the products from the marketplace or significant changes to advertising. Various concerns regarding Vector Tobacco's advertising practices have been expressed to Vector Tobacco by certain state attorneys general. Vector Tobacco has previously engaged in discussions in an effort to resolve these concerns and Vector Tobacco has, in the interim, suspended all print advertising for its *QUEST* brand. Failure to advertise the *QUEST* brand could have a material adverse effect on sales of *QUEST*. Allegations by federal or state regulators, public health organizations and other tobacco manufacturers that Vector Tobacco's products are unlawful, or that its public statements or advertising contain misleading or unsubstantiated health claims or product comparisons, may result in litigation or governmental proceedings. Vector Tobacco's business may become subject to extensive domestic and international governmental regulation. Various proposals have been made for federal, state and international legislation to regulate cigarette manufacturers generally, and reduced constituent cigarettes specifically. It is possible that laws and regulations may be adopted covering issues like the manufacture, sale, distribution, advertising and labeling of tobacco products as well as any express or implied health claims associated with reduced risk, low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarette products and the use of genetically modified tobacco. A system of regulation by agencies such as the FDA, the FTC or the United States Department of Agriculture may be established. The FTC has expressed interest in the regulation of tobacco products which bear reduced carcinogen claims, and has also recently proposed rescinding FTC guidance issued in 1966 indicating that factual statements of tar and nicotine yields based on the Cambridge Filter Method generally will not violate the FTC Act. The FTC also announced that if it rescinds the guidance, advertisers should not thereafter use terms such as "per FTC Method" or other phrases that state or imply FTC endorsement or approval of the Cambridge Method or other machine-based methods. The ultimate outcome of any of the foregoing cannot be predicted, but any of the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

A wide variety of federal, state and local laws limit the advertising, sale and use of cigarettes, and these laws have proliferated in recent years. For example, many local laws prohibit smoking in restaurants and other public places, and many employers have initiated programs restricting or eliminating smoking in the workplace. There are various other legislative efforts pending on the federal and state level which seek to, among other things, eliminate smoking in public places, further restrict displays and advertising of cigarettes, require additional warnings, including graphic warnings, on cigarette packaging and advertising, ban vending machine sales and curtail affirmative defenses of tobacco companies in product liability litigation. This trend has had, and is likely to continue to have, an adverse effect on the Company.

In addition to the foregoing, there have been a number of other restrictive regulatory actions, adverse legislative and political decisions and other unfavorable developments concerning cigarette smoking and the tobacco industry. These developments may negatively affect the perception of potential triers of fact with respect to the tobacco industry, possibly to the detriment of certain pending litigation, and may prompt the commencement of additional similar litigation or legislation.

### **SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

In addition to historical information, this report contains “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the federal securities law. Forward-looking statements include information relating to our intent, belief or current expectations, primarily with respect to, but not limited to:

- economic outlook,
- capital expenditures,
- cost reduction,
- new legislation,
- cash flows,
- operating performance,
- litigation,
- impairment charges and cost savings associated with restructurings of our tobacco operations, and
- related industry developments (including trends affecting our business, financial condition and results of operations).

We identify forward-looking statements in this report by using words or phrases such as “anticipate”, “believe”, “estimate”, “expect”, “intend”, “may be”, “objective”, “plan”, “seek”, “predict”, “project” and “will be” and similar words or phrases or their negatives.

The forward-looking information involves important risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from our anticipated results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements include, without limitation, the following:

- general economic and market conditions and any changes therein, due to acts of war and terrorism or otherwise,
- governmental regulations and policies,
- effects of industry competition,
- impact of business combinations, including acquisitions and divestitures, both internally for us and externally in the tobacco industry,
- impact of restructurings on our tobacco business and our ability to achieve any increases in profitability estimated to occur as a result of these restructurings,
- impact of new legislation on our competitors’ payment obligations, results of operations and product costs, i.e. the impact of recent federal legislation eliminating the federal tobacco quota system,

## Table of Contents

- uncertainty related to litigation and potential additional payment obligations for us under the Master Settlement Agreement and other settlement agreements with the states, and
- risks inherent in our new product development initiatives.

Further information on risks and uncertainties specific to our business include the risk factors discussed above in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and under Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, for the year ended December 31, 2007 and Form 10Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Although we believe the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, there is a risk that these expectations will not be attained and that any deviations will be material. The forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made.

**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

The information under the caption “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Market Risk” is incorporated herein by reference.

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report, and, based on their evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that these controls and procedures are effective.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Reference is made to Note 8, incorporated herein by reference, to our condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report which contains a general description of certain legal proceedings to which our company, VGR Holding, Liggett, Vector Tobacco, New Valley or their subsidiaries are a party and certain related matters. Reference is also made to Exhibit 99.1 for additional information regarding the pending smoking-related material legal proceedings to which Liggett or us is a party. A copy of Exhibit 99.1 will be furnished without charge upon written request to us at our principal executive offices, 100 S.E. Second St., Miami, Florida 33131, Attn. Investor Relations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Except as set forth below, there are no material changes from the risk factors set forth in Item 1A, "Risk Factors," of our Annual Report on 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. Please refer to that section for disclosures regarding the risks and uncertainties related to our business. The risk factors in the Annual Report on Form 10-K entitled "Litigation will continue to harm the tobacco industry", "Individual tobacco-related cases have increased as a result of the Florida Supreme Court's ruling in *Engle*" and "Liggett may have additional payment obligations under the Master Settlement Agreement and its other settlement agreements with the states" are revised to reflect the updated information concerning the number and status of cases and other matters discussed under Note 8 to our condensed consolidated financial statements and in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition — Recent Developments — Tobacco Settlement Agreements", "— Recent Developments in Legislation, Regulation and Tobacco-Related Litigation", and "— Legislation and Regulation."

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

No securities of ours which were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933 have been issued or sold by us during the three months ended September 30, 2008.

No securities of ours were repurchased by us or our affiliated purchasers during the three months ended September 30, 2008.

[Table of Contents](#)

Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	
	4.1	First Supplemental Indenture dated as of July 15, 2008 to the Indenture dated August 16, 2007 between Vector Group Ltd., the subsidiary guarantors named therein and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Vector's Form 8-K dated July 15, 2008).
	31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer, Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
	31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer, Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
	32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer, Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
	32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer, Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
	99.1	Material Legal Proceedings

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.  
(Registrant)**

By: /s/ J. Bryant Kirkland III  
J. Bryant Kirkland III  
Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial  
Officer

Date: November 10, 2008

**RULE 13a-14(a) CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

I, Howard M. Lorber, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Vector Group Ltd.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 10, 2008

/s/ Howard M. Lorber

Howard M. Lorber  
President and Chief Executive Officer

**RULE 13a-14(a) CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER**

I, J. Bryant Kirkland III, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Vector Group Ltd.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 10, 2008

/s/ J. Bryant Kirkland III

J. Bryant Kirkland III  
Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial  
Officer

**SECTION 1350 CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Vector Group Ltd. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2008 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Howard M. Lorber, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to my knowledge:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

November 10, 2008

/s/ Howard M. Lorber

Howard M. Lorber  
President and Chief Executive Officer

**SECTION 1350 CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Vector Group Ltd. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2008 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, J. Bryant Kirkland III, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to my knowledge:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

November 10, 2008

/s/ J. Bryant Kirkland III

J. Bryant Kirkland III  
Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial  
Officer

## I. INDIVIDUAL SMOKER CASES

### District of Columbia

Sims, et al. v. Philip Morris, Inc., et al., Case No. 1:01-CV-01107-GK, USDC, District of Columbia (case filed 5/23/01). Three individuals suing. In February 2003, the court denied plaintiffs' motion for class certification. Plaintiffs subsequently filed motions seeking reconsideration and reversal of the order denying class certification, which motions were denied by the court in December 2006. No appeals were taken and there has been no further activity in this case.

### Florida

#### a) Engle Progeny Cases.

Pursuant to the Florida Supreme Court's July 2006 ruling in *Engle v. Liggett Group Inc.*, which decertified the *Engle* class on a prospective basis, former class members had one year from January 11, 2007 to file individual lawsuits. In addition, some individuals who filed suit prior to January 11, 2007, and who claim they meet the conditions in *Engle*, are attempting to avail themselves of the *Engle* ruling. Lawsuits by individuals requesting the benefit of the *Engle* ruling, whether filed before or after the January 11, 2007 mandate, are referred to as the *Engle* progeny cases. Certain of these cases were previously listed in this Exhibit 99.1, but are now generally referred to in this paragraph. As of March 31, 2008, Liggett and/or the Company were served in approximately 2,240 *Engle* progeny cases in both state and federal courts in Florida. These cases include approximately 9,570 plaintiffs. Duplicate cases were filed in federal and state court on behalf of approximately 660 of these plaintiffs. Although the total number of *Engle* plaintiffs will not increase, the total number of cases will likely increase as the court may require multi-plaintiff cases to be severed into individual cases. At present, trials have been scheduled or are likely to be scheduled for 42 *Engle* progeny cases during 2009. For more information on the *Engle* case, see Note 8. Contingencies. Certain *Engle* progeny cases are described below:

Ferlanti v. Liggett Group Inc., et al., Case No. 03-21697, Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit, Florida, Broward County (case filed 12/11/03). One individual suing as Personal Representative of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. Liggett is the sole defendant in this action. Trial commenced on February 19, 2008, and the court declared a mistrial on February 22, 2008. Since that time, plaintiff amended her action to assert her status as a decertified *Engle* class member so that the case may proceed as an individual *Engle* progeny action. The case is set for trial in the first quarter of 2009.

Lukacs v. R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 01-38-22 CA23, Circuit Court of the 11th Judicial Circuit, Florida, Miami-Dade County (case filed 12/15/01). One individual suing as Personal Representative of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker, as a decertified *Engle* class member. In June 2002, the jury awarded \$37,500,000 in compensatory damages, which was subsequently reduced by the court. The jury found Liggett 50% responsible. In August 2008, the court entered judgment in the amount of \$24,835,000, plus interest from June 2002. The order is not yet final. In October 2008, plaintiff withdrew her claim for punitive damages. A hearing on defendants' motion for reconsideration was held on October 21, 2008. For more information on the *Lukacs* case, see Note 8. Contingencies.

---

b) Other Individual Cases.

Bryant v. Philip Morris Incorporated, et al., 50-2008-CA-25429 (AJ), Circuit Court of the 15th Judicial Circuit, Florida, Palm Beach County (case filed 8/25/08). One individual suing as personal representative of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker.

Cowart v. Liggett Group Inc., Case No. 98-01483CA, Circuit Court of the 4th Judicial Circuit, Florida, Duval County (case filed 3/16/98). One individual suing. Liggett is the only tobacco company defendant. The case is dormant.

Davis, et al. v. Liggett Group Inc., et al., Case No. 02-48914, Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit, Florida, Broward County (case filed 10/4/02). Liggett is the only defendant in this action. In April 2004, a jury awarded compensatory damages of \$540,000 against Liggett. In addition, plaintiff's counsel was awarded legal fees of \$752,000. In October 2007, the compensatory award was affirmed by the Fourth District Court of Appeal, but the court certified certain issues to the Florida Supreme Court. In April 2008, the Florida Supreme Court accepted jurisdiction of the certified issues for appeal and oral argument was held on November 6, 2008. A decision is pending. In March 2008, the Fourth District Court of Appeal reversed and remanded the legal fee award for further proceedings in the trial court.

Diamond v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., et al., Case No. 08-24533 (19), Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit, Florida, Broward County (case filed 5/30/08). One individual suing.

Grose v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., et al., Case No. 08-38276 (19), Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit, Florida, Broward County (case filed 8/15/08). One individual suing as personal representative of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker.

Laschke, et al. v. R.J. Reynolds, et al., Case No. 96-8131-CI-008, Circuit Court of the 6th Judicial Circuit, Florida, Pinellas County (case filed 12/20/96). Two individuals suing. The dismissal of the case was reversed on appeal, and the case was remanded to the trial court. Motions to dismiss were filed by the defendants and are pending.

Levine v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. CL 95-98769 (AH), Circuit Court of the 15th Judicial Circuit, Florida, Palm Beach County (case filed 7/24/96). One individual suing. Plaintiff asserted claims for negligence and strict liability against each defendant and a claim for punitive damages against R.J. Reynolds. Although, plaintiff's Liggett brand history is limited, a motion for summary judgment was denied by the court. The matter is set for trial on January 19, 2009.

Meckler, et al. v. Liggett Group Inc., Case No. 97-03949-CA, Circuit Court of the 4th Judicial Circuit, Florida, Duval County (case filed 7/10/97). One individual suing. Liggett is the only tobacco company defendant. The case is dormant.

Rawls, et al. v. Liggett Group Inc., Case No. 97-01354 CA, Circuit Court of the 4th Judicial Circuit, Florida, Duval County (case filed 3/6/97). One individual suing. Liggett is the only tobacco company defendant. The case is dormant.

Spivak v. Philip Morris Incorporated, et al., Case No. 08-19309 (AH), Circuit Court of the 15th Judicial Circuit, Florida, Palm Beach County (case filed 6/26/08). One individual suing as personal representative of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker.

Spry, et al. v. Liggett Group LLC, et al., Case No. 06-31216 CICI, Circuit Court of the 7th Judicial Circuit, Florida, Volusia County (case filed 7/27/06). Two individuals suing. Discovery is pending.

### **Louisiana**

Dimm, et al. v. R.J. Reynolds, et al., Case No. 53919, Circuit Court of the 18th Judicial District Court, Louisiana, Iberville Parish (case filed 7/25/00). Seven individuals suing.

Hunter, et al. v. R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 2002/18748m, Circuit Court of the Civil District Court, Louisiana, Parish of Orleans (case filed 12/4/2002). Two individuals suing.

Newsom, et al. v. R.J. Reynolds, et al., Case No. 105838, Circuit Court of the 16th Judicial District Court, Louisiana, St. Mary Parish (case filed 5/17/00). Five individuals suing.

Oser v. The American Tobacco Co., et al., Case No. 97-9293, Circuit Court of the Civil District Court, Louisiana, Parish of Orleans (case filed 5/27/97). One individual suing.

Reese, et al. v. R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 2003-12761, Circuit Court of the 22nd Judicial District Court, Louisiana, St. Tammany Parish (case filed 6/10/03). Five individuals suing.

### **Maryland**

Burger, et al., v. John Crane-Houdaille, Inc., et al., Case No. 24-X-06-0000018, Circuit Court for Baltimore City, (case filed 8/08/08). Two individuals suing certain cigarette and asbestos manufacturers for injuries allegedly caused by exposure to asbestos and cigarette smoke. On September 29, 2008, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss. Plaintiff filed a response on October 1, 2008.

### **Mississippi**

Cochran v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 2002-0366(3), Circuit Court, Mississippi, George County (case filed 12/31/02). One individual suing.

Granger v. B.A.T. Industries, P.L.C., et al., Civil Action No. 3:08- CV -216-HTW-LRA , United States District Court, Southern District of Mississippi, Jackson Division (case filed 3/5/08). One individual suing. The case was originally filed in the Circuit Court of Copiah County, Mississippi and was removed to Federal Court in April 2008.

### **Missouri**

Nuzum v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corporation, et al., Case No. 03-CV-237237, Circuit Court, Missouri, Jackson County (case filed 5/21/03). Two individuals suing. Trial has been scheduled for October 19, 2009.

### **New York**

Brantley v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 114317/01, Supreme Court of New York, New York County (case filed 7/23/01). One individual suing.

Debobes v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 29544/92, Supreme Court of New York, Nassau County (case filed 10/17/97). One individual suing.

Gouveia, et al. v. Fortune Brands, Inc., et al., Case No. 210671/04, Supreme Court of New York, Rensselaer County (case filed 9/16/1997). Two individuals suing. A Note of Issue was served on February 12, 2008. Summary Judgment motions are pending.

Hausrath, et al. v. Philip Morris Inc., et al., Case No. I2001-09526, Supreme Court of New York, Erie County (case filed 01/24/02). Two individuals suing.

James v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 103034/02, Supreme Court of New York, New York County (case filed 4/4/97). One individual suing. The Note of Issue setting the case for trial was stayed pending a decision by the Court of Appeals in another case.

Robare v. Fortune Brands, Inc., et al., Case No. 0139/08, Supreme Court of New York, Clinton County (case filed 2/19/08). One individual suing. The complaint was dismissed on April 15, 2008. On April 28, 2008, plaintiff, *pro se*, filed a notice of appeal. Plaintiff recently filed a second action in June 2008, with Case No. 1035/08.

Shea, et al. v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 008938/03, Supreme Court of New York, Nassau County (case filed 10/17/97). Two individuals suing. The Note of Issue setting the case for trial was vacated pending a decision by the Court of Appeals in another case.

Standish v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 18418-97, Supreme Court of New York, Bronx County (case filed 7/28/97). One individual suing. The Note of Issue setting the case for trial was stayed pending a decision by the Court of Appeals in another case.

Tomasino, et al. v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 027182/97, Supreme Court of New York, Nassau County (case filed 9/23/97). Two individuals suing. The Note of Issue setting the case for trial was vacated pending a decision by the Court of Appeals in another case.

Tormey, et al. v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 2005-0506, Supreme Court of New York, Onondaga County (case filed 1/25/05). Two individuals suing.

Yedwabnick, et al. v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 20525/97, Supreme Court of New York, Queens County (case filed 9/19/97). One individual suing. A Note of Issue requesting a trial date is scheduled to be filed on March 27, 2009.

## **Ohio**

Croft, et al. v. Akron Gasket & Packing, et al., Case No. CV04541681, Court of Common Pleas, Ohio, Cuyahoga County (case filed 8/25/05). Two individuals suing.

## **Pennsylvania**

Buscemi v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corporation, et al., Docket No. 9552-02, Court of Common Pleas, Pennsylvania, Delaware County (case filed 9/21/99). One individual suing. The case is dormant.

## West Virginia

Brewer, et al. v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 01-C-82, Circuit Court, West Virginia, Ohio County (case filed 3/20/01). Two individuals suing.

Little v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 01-C-235, Circuit Court, West Virginia, Ohio County (case filed 6/4/01). One individual suing.

## **II. CLASS ACTION CASES**

### a) Smoking Related

Brown, et al. v. American Tobacco Co., Inc., et al., Case No. 711400, Superior Court of California, County of San Diego (case filed 10/1/97). In April 2001, under the California Unfair Competition Laws and the Consumer Legal Remedies Act, the court granted in part the plaintiffs' motion for certification of a class composed of residents of California who smoked at least one of the defendants' cigarettes from June 10, 1993 through April 23, 2001, and who were exposed to the defendants' marketing and advertising activities in California. The action was brought against the major U.S. cigarette manufacturers, including Liggett, seeking to recover restitution, disgorgement of profits and other equitable relief under California Business and Professions Code. Certification was granted as to the plaintiffs' claims that the defendants violated § 17200 of the California Business and Professions Code pertaining to unfair competition. The court, however, refused to certify the class under the California Legal Remedies Act or the plaintiffs' common law claims. Following the November 2004 passage of a proposition in California that changed the law regarding cases of this nature, the defendants moved to decertify the class. In March 2005, the court granted the defendants' motion. In May 2005, the plaintiffs appealed. In September 2006, the California Court of Appeal affirmed the order decertifying the class. In October 2006, the plaintiffs filed a petition for review with the California Supreme Court. The petition for review was granted in November 2006. The parties are awaiting a date for oral argument on the petition.

Cleary, et al. v. Philip Morris, Inc., et al., Case No. 2000 L004952, Circuit Court of the State of Illinois, Cook County (case filed 6/3/98). The action was brought on behalf of persons who have allegedly been injured by (1) the defendants' purported conspiracy pursuant to which defendants allegedly concealed material facts regarding the addictive nature of nicotine; (2) the defendants' alleged acts of targeting their advertising and marketing to minors; and (3) the defendants' claimed breach of the public's right to defendants' compliance with laws prohibiting the distribution of cigarettes to minors. The plaintiffs request that the defendants be required to disgorge all profits unjustly received through their sale of cigarettes to plaintiffs, which in no event will be greater than \$75,000 each, inclusive of punitive damages, interest and costs. In April 2005, the plaintiffs filed a second amended complaint. In February 2006, a hearing on the defendants' motion to dismiss occurred. The court dismissed count V (public nuisance) and count VI (unjust enrichment) and, although the plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration was granted in part and denied in part, the court did not revive the plaintiffs' public nuisance and unjust enrichment claims. In July 2006, the plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification and a class certification hearing was conducted in September 2007. The parties are awaiting a decision. Merits discovery was stayed pending a ruling by the court on class certification; class certification discovery is pending. A status conference is scheduled for October 20, 2009.

In Re: Tobacco Litigation (Personal Injury Cases), Case No. 00-C-5000, Circuit Court, West Virginia, Ohio County (case filed 1/18/00). Although not technically a class action, the court consolidated approximately 750 individual smoker actions that were pending prior to 2001 for trial on some common related issues. The court issued an order staying the case pending the outcome of the United States Supreme Court's review of *Altria Group Inc. v. Good*. Liggett was severed from trial of the consolidated action.

Parsons, et al. v. Liggett Group Inc., et al., Case No. 98-C-388, Circuit Court, State of West Virginia, Kanawha County (case filed 4/9/98). This personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff's decedent and all West Virginia residents having claims for personal injury arising from exposure to both cigarette smoke and asbestos fibers. The case is stayed as a result of bankruptcy petitions filed by three defendants.

Schwab, et al. v. Philip Morris USA, Inc., et al., Case No. 1:04-CV-01945-JBW-SMG, USDC, Eastern District of New York (case filed 5/11/04). This class action sought economic damages on behalf of plaintiffs and all others similarly situated under the RICO act challenging the practices of defendants in connection with the marketing, advertising, promotion, distribution and sale of "light" cigarettes. In September 2006, the court certified a nationwide class of "light" smokers. The defendants appealed the certification and, in April 2008, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit decertified the class. The case has been remanded to the district court. To date, no further proceedings have been held.

Young, et al. v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 2:97-CV-03851, Civil District Court, State of Louisiana, Orleans Parish (case filed 11/12/97). This purported personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated residents in Louisiana who, though not themselves cigarette smokers, have been exposed to secondhand smoke from cigarettes which were manufactured by the defendants, and who suffered injury as a result of that exposure. The plaintiffs seek to recover an unspecified amount of compensatory and punitive damages. In October 2004, the trial court stayed this case pending the outcome of the appeal in *Scott v. American Tobacco Co., Inc.* For more information on the *Scott* case, see Note 8. Contingencies.

b) Price Fixing

Romero, et al. v. Philip Morris Companies, Inc. et al., Case No. D0117 CV-00000972, District Court, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico (case filed 4/10/00). In this class action, plaintiffs allege that defendants conspired to fix, raise, stabilize, or maintain prices for cigarettes in the State of New Mexico. Plaintiffs' motion for class certification was granted in April 2003. In February 2005, the New Mexico Supreme Court affirmed the trial court's certification order. In June 2006, the trial court granted defendants' motions for summary judgment. Plaintiffs appealed the decision. Briefing was completed in August 2007 and the parties are awaiting a decision.

Smith, et al. v. Philip Morris Companies, Inc., et al., Case No. 00-CV-26, District Court, Kansas, Seward County (case filed 2/7/00). In this class action, plaintiffs allege that defendants conspired to fix, raise, stabilize, or maintain prices for cigarettes in the State of Kansas. The court granted class certification in November 2001.

### III. **GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS**

City of St. Louis, et al. v. American Tobacco Company, Inc., et al., Case No. CV-982-09652, Circuit Court, State of Missouri, City of St. Louis (case filed 12/4/98). City of St. Louis and approximately 50 hospitals seek to recover past and future costs expended to provide healthcare to Medicaid, medically indigent, and non-paying patients suffering from tobacco-related illnesses. In June 2005, the court granted defendants' motion for summary judgment as to claims for damages which accrued prior to November 16, 1993. The claims for damages which accrued after November 16, 1993 are pending. Discovery is ongoing. On September 3, 2008, oral argument on defendants' motion for partial summary judgment and on plaintiffs' motion for partial summary judgment seeking to preclude defendants from relitigating issues based on collateral estoppel was heard. Recently, several plaintiffs dismissed their cases, with prejudice. Trial is scheduled to commence on January 11, 2010.

Crow Creek Sioux Tribe v. American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. CV 97-09-082, Tribal Court of the Crow Creek Sioux Tribe, State of South Dakota (case filed 9/26/97). The plaintiffs seek to recover actual and punitive damages, restitution, funding of a clinical cessation program, funding of a corrective public education program and disgorgement of unjust profits from sales to minors. The plaintiffs claim that the defendants are liable under the following theories: unlawful marketing and targeting of minors, contributing to the delinquency of minors, unfair and deceptive acts or practices, unreasonable restraint of trade and unfair methods of competition, negligence, negligence per se, conspiracy and restitution of unjust enrichment. The case is dormant.

### IV. **THIRD-PARTY PAYOR ACTIONS**

General Health Services (Kupat Holim Clalit) v. Philip Morris, Inc., et al., Case No. 1571/98, District Court, Israel, Jerusalem (case filed 9/28/98). General Health Services seeks monetary damages and declaratory and injunctive relief on behalf of itself and all of its members against the major United States tobacco manufacturers. Motions filed by the defendants are pending before the Israel Supreme Court, seeking appeal from a lower court's decision granting leave to plaintiff for foreign service of process. For more information on the *General Health Services* case, see Note 8. Contingencies.

National Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare, et al. v. Philip Morris USA, Inc., et al., 1:08-CV-02021-RJD-JO, USDC, Eastern District of New York (case filed 5/20/08). Plaintiffs filed this action pursuant to the Medicare as Secondary Payer ("MSP") statute to recover for Medicare expenditures made from May 21, 2002 to the present. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment were filed in July 2008 and have been fully briefed. A hearing on the motions is scheduled for November 20, 2008.