	SCHEDULE 14A/A INFORMATION		
	T STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECT HE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT O		A)
Filed by registrant / /			
Filed by a party other tha	an the registrant /x/	/ /	Confidential, for Use of the
Check the appropriate box: / / Preliminary consent st			Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
/ / Definitive consent sta	atement		
/X/ Definitive additional	materials		
/ / Soliciting material pu	ursuant to Rule 14a-11(c) or	Rule 14	la-12
	RJR NABISCO HOLDINGS CORP.		
	Registrant as Specified in i	ts Chart	cer)
	BROOKE GROUP LTD.		
(Name of	f Person(s) Filing Consent S	tatement	
Payment of filing fee (Che	eck the appropriate box):		
/ / \$125 per Exchange Act	Rule 0-11(c)(1)(ii), 14a-6(	i)(1), c	or 14a-6(j)(2).
/ / \$500 per each party 14a-6(i)(3).	to the controversy pursuant	to Exch	nange Act Rule
/ / Fee computed on table	below per Exchange Act Rules	s 14a-6(	(i)(4) and 0-11.
(2) Aggregate numbe (3) Per unit price pursuant to Exc	class of securities to which er of securities to which tra or other underlying value o change Act Rule 0-11: um aggregate value of transac	ansactio f transa	on applies:
(5) Total fee paid:	:		
/x/ Fee paid previously wi	ith preliminary materials.		
0-11(a)(2) and identify the	of the fee is offset as prov he filing for which the offso previous filing by registrat: the date of its filing.	etting f	ee was paid
(1) Amount previously	y paid:		
(2) Form, schedule or	r registration statement no.	:	
(3) Filing party:			

(4) Date filed: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

RJR NABISCO HOLDINGS CORP.

Proxy Solicitation for the Board of Directors by Brooke Group Ltd.

IF YOU WANT A NABISCO SPINOFF NOW, THIS IS YOUR ONLY CHANCE

HOWEVER...

If you really believe the tobacco companies will win every case against them for the next 25 years  $% \left( 1-\frac{1}{2}\right) =0$ 

If you really believe the 60 law firms representing the nationwide Castano class will go away  $% \left[ \left( {{{\mathbf{x}}_{i}}} \right) \right]$ 

If you really believe none of the 5 grand juries investigating tobacco will issue any indictments

If you really believe all the state Attorneys General trying Medicaid cases will lose interest

If you really believe the FDA will say it's OK to market tobacco to children

If you really believe no more  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Philip}}$  Morris or other whistleblowers will come forward

If you really believe their testimony will make no difference in any of these cases

If you really believe RJR Nabisco's current Board will spin off Nabisco any time soon

. . . THEN MAYBE THE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND NOT THE BROOKE PROGRAM IS FOR YOU

-1-

THE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

-2-

- O NO SPINOFF OF NABISCO -- EVER!!!
- o Nominal dividend increase
- o RJR Tobacco's U.S. market share continues to decline
- -- Yet, Chairman Harper has taken over \$40 million out of RJR in the past three years

# [GRAPHIC OMITTED]

 1985
 1986
 1987
 1988
 1989
 1990
 1991
 1992
 1993
 1994
 1995

 RJR
 .....
 31.6%
 32.3%
 32.5%
 31.8%
 28.5%
 29.6%
 27.8%
 28.8%
 30.6%
 26.7%
 25.8%

Philip

Morris .. 35.9% 36.8% 37.8% 39.3% 41.9% 42.3% 43.4% 42.3% 42.2% 44.8% 45.8%

-3-

o The Company remains blind to the basic facts:

-- "The domestic tobacco side of our business was the STANDOUT in a year which was essentially disappointing." (RJR Nabisco Schedule 14A, February 8, 1996)

o These are the statistics that "STANDOUT":

	Fourth Quarter Versus 1994**	Full Year 1995 Versus 1994
Volume	+1%	- 5%
Market Share	-1.0 point	-1.0 point
Net Sales	+5%	- 2%
EBITA	+1%	- 2%

- -----

Source: RJR Nabisco Schedule 14A, February 8, 1996

\*\* "RJR SHIPPED MORE 4Q VOLUME THAN CONSUMERS BOUGHT AT RETAIL....4Q SHIPMENT SHARES MAY HAVE OVERSTATED ACTUAL CONSUMER BUYING" -- (GARY BLACK FEB. 14, 1996)

o Even RJR Tobacco International has faltered in a growing market

-- EBITA declined 15% in 1995 before a \$54 million restructuring charge

-4-

THE BROOKE GROUP PROGRAM

-5-

# IMMEDIATE SPINOFF OF NABISCO

PERIOD!

-6-

O IMMEDIATE SPINOFF OF NABISCO

- -- Attorney Generals and Castano plaintiffs have agreed not to seek injunctions
  - -- Eliminated risk, if there was any
  - -- Only Castano and the Medicaid suits are of sufficient size to have possibly risked a fraudulent conveyance injunction (which in any case we do not believe was likely)
- -- Nabisco could be spun off in six to eight weeks
- -- At stock prices on March 19, 1996, shareholders would receive \$21.50 of Nabisco stock
- -- We believe Nabisco will be worth more than \$25.00 per RJRN share post spinoff

-7-

- O MERGER WITH LIGGETT NOT REQUIRED
- o If a merger is proposed, a subsequent independent shareholder vote is required

-8-

LITIGATION ISSUES AND SETTLEMENT

-9-

# LITIGATION ISSUES

Overview of Litigation Environment

	Estimated # of Cases(1)
o Class action cases	
Castano (addiction):	50,000,000
Engle (Florida/addiction/personal injury):	3,000,000
Broin (flight attendants):	60,000
Lacey (Alabama/additives/not certified):	300,000
Granier (Louisiana/addiction/not certified):	700,000
o State Medicaid reimbursement claims Six states filed (FL, LA, MA, MN, MS, WV)	4,500,000
o Personal injury cases	
40 years of successful defense in over 800 cases	140
Freedom to choose defense	
(1) Based on media reports.	

-10-

O NICOTINE ADDICTION BASED CLAIMS

- -- "The most dangerous game" (Gary Black)
- New information being released constantly, disclosing alleged nicotine and addiction relationships known by industry
- o Whistle Blowers
  - -- Ian Uydess (Philip Morris) and Jeffrey Wigand (BAT)
  - -- Others to come...including, possibly, RJR employees?
- o Criminal Indictments?

-11-

Catastrophe Insurance

- o Some cases being tried by a judge only, no jury
- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{o}}$  No need to bond potential judgments at lower court level
- O REMEMBER TEXACO!!
- o Can RJR obtain a multi-billion dollar bond?

-12-

\_\_\_\_\_

Overview of Litigation Environment -----

	Estima # of C	
0	Class action cases	
	Castano (addiction-related): 50,000,	000 Settled
	Engle (Florida/addiction/personal injury): 3,000,	000 Covered
	Broin (flight attendants): 60,	000 Nominal risk
	Lacey (Alabama/additives/not certified): 300,	000 Nominal risk
	Granier (Louisiana/addiction/not certified): 700,	000 Stayed
0	State Medicaid reimbursement claims Six states filed (FL, LA, MA, MN, MS, WV) 4,500,	000 Five settled
0	Personal injury cases	
	40 years of successful defense in over 800 cases	140 Nominal risk
	Freedom to choose defense	
	Addiction no longer a cause	Settled
	0ver =====	95% Settled ===

-13-

#### ESTIMATED COST OF CATASTROPHE INSURANCE -----(\$ in millions)

#### RJR - ---

RJR Domestic Tobacco 1995 EBITA Less Pro-Rata Interest Expense Less Pro-Rata Corporate Overhead	\$1,420 (390) (42)
Pre-Tax Domestic Tobacco	\$ 988 =====
Castano Payments Medicaid Payments (assumes all states)	49 74
Less Estimated Legal Fee Reduction	(40)
Total Cost	\$ 83 ======

Settlement Cost Per Pack

1.4 Cents/Pack

-14-

- o Proposed settlement of Castano (nicotine addiction) class action
  - -- Settles most important tobacco claim (also covers distributors)
  - -- 5% of domestic tobacco's pretax income each year (up to maximum \$50 million)
  - -- Payment terminated if remaining defendants prevail in litigation
  - -- If certification overturned, settlement applies if Castano-type class certified elsewhere
  - -- Assured of settlement terms substantially more favorable than any other defendant (payments could be reduced to zero)

-15-

\_\_\_\_\_\_

- o Settlement of five State Attorney General suits (FL, LA, MA, MS, WV)
  - -- Assuming a tobacco company merger, initial payment of \$125 million
  - -- Annual percentage of 2.5% to 7.5% of domestic tobacco pretax income, depending on whether additional states join the settlement
  - -- Settles claims of the most aggressive states with high Medicaid populations
  - -- Provides mechanism for other states which sue (if any) to join settlement
  - -- Payment terminated if remaining defendants succeed on merits
  - -- Most Favored Nation Clause: Benchmark figure of three times Brooke Group's payments per point of market share

-16-

- o No commitment to cooperate except as required by law
  - -- Not waiving attorney/client or any other legal privileges
  - -- Continue to vigorously defend all other litigation
- o Model of new agreement based on responsible co-existence
- o The settlement is a no-lose situation
  - -- Wins if the industry wins
  - -- Limits liability if industry loses

-17-

- o Settlement is applicable to:
  - -- RJR (First Option)
  - -- Lorillard
  - -- BAT
  - -- American Brands
  - -- UST

-18-

Estimated cost of catastrophe insurance, if applied to:

Lorillard

Settlement Cost Per Pack

2.8 Cents/Pack

Brown & Williamson (BAT)

Settlement Cost Per Pack

1.6 Cents/Pack

-19-

RJRN Stock Could Be Worth More Than \$47 Per Share

- o Nabisco could be worth \$38 or more post spinoff
  - -- \$25 per RJRN share
- o Tobacco could be worth \$22 or more post spinoff
  - -- 9% yield with \$2 dividend
  - -- Without benefit of settlement

-20-

RJRN Stock Could Be Worth More Than \$58 Per Share

- o Nabisco could be worth \$38 or more post spinoff
  - -- \$25 per RJRN share
- o Tobacco could be worth \$33 or more post spinoff, with the litigation
   settlement
  - -- 6% yield with \$2 dividend

-21-

#### SIGNIFICANT DIVIDEND INCREASE

\_\_\_\_\_

o Adopt a new dividend policy

-- At least 60% of the Tobacco Company's Net Cash Flow

o An annual dividend of \$2 for the Tobacco Company, commencing in the second half of 1996 based on I/B/E/S estimates of RJRN's earnings in 1996 and 1997

-22-

# REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY

COMPUTATION OF CASH NET INCOME PER SHARE -- TWELVE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 1997 (\$ IN MILLIONS, EXCEPT PER SHARE NUMBERS)

	Total	Per Share
I/B/E/S Estimate(1) for RJR Nabisco I/B/E/S(1) for Nabisco Plus: 19.5% Nabisco	\$ 904 (432) 84	\$ 2.74 (1.31) 0.26
Net Income for RJR Tobacco Plus: Amortization After Tax Plus: Depreciation	556 368 238	1.68 1.12 0.72
After Tax Cash Net Income Less: Preferred C Dividends Less: Capital Expenditures(2)	1,162 (80) (223)	3.52
Net Cash Flow	\$   859 =====	
Net Cash Flow per Share	\$ 3.15 ======	
Cash Dividends per Brooke Group Program	\$ 2.00	
Per Cent of Net Cash Flow(3)	====== 63%	
(1) At March 15, 1996.		
<pre>(2) Assumes Capital Expenditures total \$22 average of Company's Capital Expenditu 1995 \$232 1994 \$215 1993 \$224</pre>		e-year
<ul> <li>(3) Dividend payout ratios of comparable of programs (after buyback programs): Phi Brands 62% (248%), UST 62% (126%). BAT companies with lower payout ratios.</li> </ul>	ilip Morris 59%	(99%), American

-23-

\_\_\_\_\_

PROVEN TOBACCO MANAGEMENT

\_\_\_\_\_\_

RONALD FULFORD TO LEAD RJR TOBACCO

- o Turnaround specialist at Hanson plc from 1982 to 1996
  - -- Senior Associate Director of Hanson plc (market cap. \$15 billion, 55% sales in U.S.)
  - -- Nine years of successful Tobacco operating experience at Imperial Tobacco (38% of U.K. market)
- o Chief Executive Officer:

1980-1982	United Gas Industries
1982-1987	EverReady Batteries
1984-1987	London Brick
1987-1996	Imperial Tobacco

- Fulford has developed a proven management system for turnaround situations
  - -- Repeatedly implemented and refined the system during his career
  - -- The system has worked successfully in a tobacco company
  - -- Fulford provides leadership

-24-

#### 

FULFORD HAS A HISTORY OF SUCCESSFUL TURNAROUNDS AT HANSON PLC

# [GRAPHIC OMITTED]

Operating Income as Percent of Sales	EverReady South Africa 1983-1987	EverReady Britain 1983-1986	London Brick 1984-1988	Imperial Brick 1986-1995
4000		1.00/		
1983	20%	12%		
1984	32%	21%	19%	
1985	30%	22%	25%	
1986	28%	24%	28%	21%
1987	31%		31%	24%
1988			32%	32%
1989				39%
1990				42%
1991				42%
1992				45%
1993				48%
1994				49%
1995				50%

Capital Employed as Percent of Sales	EverReady South Africa 1983-1987	EverReady Britain 1983-1986	London Brick 1984-1988	Imperial Brick 1986-1995
1983	66%	48%		
1984	59%	34%	46%	
1985	55%	28%	42%	
1986	52%	25%	38%	36%
1987	45%		40%	35%
1988			35%	28%
1989				11%
1990				8%
1991				8%
1992				5%
1993				4%
1994				2%
1995				1%

-25-

STAGE ONE AT IMPERIAL TOBACCO: COST-CUTTING

# Head Count [GRAPHIC OMITTED]

Stage One at Imperial Tobacco: Cost-Cutting

Head Count	Number of Employees
1982	19,071
1983	15,964
1984	14,607
1985	13,369
1986	12,279
1987	9,300
1988	7,538
1989	5,931
1990	5,092
1991	4,391
1992	3,531
1993	3,109
1994	2,911
1995	2,816

# Productivity [GRAPHIC OMITTED]

# Productivity -- % Improvement

September, 1987 October, 1987 November, 1987 December, 1987 January, 1988 February, 1988 March, 1988 March, 1988 May, 1988 July, 1988 August, 1988 September, 1988 October, 1988 December, 1988 December, 1988 January, 1989 February, 1989 February, 1989 March, 1989 July, 1989 August, 1989 September, 1989 September, 1989 December, 1989 December, 1989 November, 1989 December, 1989 January, 1990 February, 1990 February, 1990 April, 1990 March, 1990 June, 1990 June, 1990 July, 1990 August, 1990 September, 1990 September, 1990 October, 1990 October, 1990 October, 1990 November, 1990 December, 1990 December, 1990 November, 1990 December, 1990	40.78 42.98 48.33 47.89 49.78 50.77 53.60 54.84 55.46 55.48 57.78 60.20 63.02 64.87 66.48 67.84 66.50 69.00 68.88 68.61 68.37
December, 1990 January, 1991 February, 1991	70.18 71.88 73.20

March, 1991 April, 1991 May, 1991 June, 1991 July, 1991 August, 1991 September, 1991 October, 1991 November, 1991 December, 1991 January, 1992 February, 1992 March, 1992 March, 1992 July, 1992 August, 1992 July, 1992 August, 1992 September, 1992 October, 1992 December, 1992 January, 1993 February, 1993 February, 1993 March, 1993 July, 1993 August, 1993 July, 1993 August, 1993 September, 1993 October, 1993 September, 1993 October, 1993 July, 1993 August, 1993 September, 1993 December, 1993 December, 1993 January, 1994 February, 1994 March, 1994 June, 1994 July, 1994 August, 1994 August, 1994 September, 1994 September, 1994 September, 1994 September, 1994	121.91 124.29 128.30 131.41 135.87 141.40 144.04 145.55 147.18 148.28 148.28 148.80 149.70 151.51 154.97 155.52 156.18 152.84 151.87 154.18 155.81 157.80 157.53 160.06
March, 1994	151.87
April, 1994	154.18
May, 1994	155.81
June, 1994	157.80
July, 1994	157.53

# o Change the organizational structure to achieve a low cost structure

- -- Decentralize into profit centers
- -- Create financial targets: transfer prices and benchmarking
- -- Incentivize management based on divisional and corporate productivity and working capital
- -- Create a self-governing organization

# STAGE TWO AT IMPERIAL TOBACCO: MARKETING STRATEGY

-----

# [GRAPHIC OMITTED]

		L
	Market	Share
	ITL	Gallaher
December, 1981	50.00%	
January, 1982	48.76	
February, 1982	49.18	
March, 1982	48.55	
April, 1982	48.33 48.08	
May, 1982 June, 1982	40.00	
July, 1982	47.28	
August, 1982	46.63	
September, 1982	46.62	
October, 1982	46.47	
November, 1982 December, 1982	46.33 46.08	30.00%
January, 1983	45.92	00.00/0
February, 1983	45.69	
March, 1983 April, 1983	45.48	
	45.27	
May, 1983	45.10 45.02	33.00
June, 1983 July, 1983	45.02	33.00
	44.90	
September, 1983	44.90	
October, 1983	44.88	
	44.83	~~ ~~
December, 1983 January, 1984	44.76 44.65	32.70
		32.90
March, 1984	44.43	32.70
April, 1984	44.31	32.90
May, 1984	44.14	32.50
June, 1984	44.00	32.22
July, 1984 August, 1984	43.66 43.72	31.70 31.90
	43.67	31.70
	43.46	31.60
,	43.38	31.70
December, 1984	43.36	
January, 1985	43.30 43.20	31.50 31.70
February, 1985 March, 1985	43.20	31.90
April, 1985	42.88	32.30
May, 1985	42.67	32.50
June, 1985	42.37	32.70
July, 1985	42.11	32.90
August, 1985 September, 1985	41.92 41.74	33.10 33.30
October, 1985	41.66	33.40
November, 1985	41.35	33.60
December, 1985	41.08	33.80
January, 1986	40.89	34.00
February, 1986 March, 1986	40.73 40.68	34.20 34.50
April, 1986	40.47	34.70
May, 1986	40.37	35.00
June, 1986	40.20	35.30
July, 1986	40.05	35.70
August, 1986 September, 1986	39.50 39.50	35.90 36.20
October, 1986	39.22	36.40
November, 1986	38.88	36.60
December, 1986	38.72	36.80
January, 1987	38.60	37.00
February, 1987	38.30	37.30
March, 1987 April, 1987	38.13 37.85	37.60 37.80
May, 1987	37.80	38.10
	2	

June, 1987	37.57	38.30
July, 1987	37.58	38.60
August, 1987 September, 1987	37.46 37.35	38.90 39.10
October, 1987	37.33	39.30
November, 1987	37.02	39.50
December, 1987	36.86 36.87	39.70 40.00
January, 1988 February, 1988	36.87	40.00
March, 1988	36.28	40.40
April, 1988	36.10 35.91	40.60 40.80
May, 1988 June, 1988	35.91 35.73	40.80
July, 1988	35.67	41.00
August, 1988	35.42	41.10
September, 1988 October, 1988	35.30 35.10	41.30 41.50
November, 1988	35.08	41.60
December, 1988 January, 1989	34.95 34.90	41.80 42.00
February, 1989	34.90	42.00
March, 1989	34.78	42.10
April, 1989 May, 1989	34.72 34.68	42.20 42.30
June, 1989	34.65	42.20
July, 1989	34.55	42.30
August, 1989 September, 1989	34.65 34.65	42.40 42.50
October, 1989	34.61	42.70
November, 1989	34.67	42.80
December, 1989 January, 1990	34.54 34.50	42.90 43.00
February, 1990	34.46	43.00 0
March, 1990	34.38	43.30
April, 1990 May, 1990	34.22 34.17	43.40 43.50
June, 1990	34.00	43.60
July, 1990	33.64	43.70
August, 1990 September, 1990	33.67 33.61	43.60 0
October, 1990	33.41	43.50
November, 1990		43.40
December, 1990 January, 1991	33.31 33.25	43.40 43.50
January, 1991 February, 1991	33.19	43.60
March, 1991	33.13	43.70
April, 1991 May, 1991	33.14 33.21	43.60 43.70
June, 1991	33.33	43.80
July, 1991 August, 1991	33.45	43.60 43.00
September, 1991	33.58 33.71	43.00 42.90
October, 1991	33.83	42.80
November, 1991 December, 1991	33.91 33.95	42.70 42.60
January, 1992	34.01	42.60
February, 1992	34.05	42.50
March, 1992 April, 1992	34.07 34.04	42.40 42.30
May, 1992 June, 1992	34.02	42.10
June, 1992	34.01	42.00
July, 1992 August, 1992	34.03 34.03	41.90 41.80
September, 1992	34.05	41.70
October, 1992	34.07 34.08	41.60 41.50
November, 1992 December, 1992	34.00	41.30
January, 1993	34.22	41.30
February, 1993 March, 1993	34.33 34.46	41.20 41.10
April, 1993	34.68	41.00
May, 1993	34.68	41.10
June, 1993 July, 1993	34.79 34.85	41.20 41.30
August, 1993	34.93	41.10
September, 1993	35.01 35.10	41.00
October, 1993 November, 1993	35.10 35.21	41.00 41.00
December, 1993	35.31	41.00
January, 1994 February, 1994	35.44 35.50	40.00 40.00
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	00.00	40.00

June, 1994 July, 1994 August, 1994 September, 1994 October, 1994 December, 1994 January, 1995 February, 1995 March, 1995 May, 1995 June, 1995 July, 1995 August, 1995	36.88 36.92 36.93 36.99 37.05	39.90 39.80 39.70 39.60 39.50 39.50 39.50 39.50 39.00 38.90 38.90 38.80 38.70 38.60 38.50 38.50 38.40
July, 1995 August, 1995	36.99 37.05	38.50 38.40
October, 1995 November, 1995 December, 1995	37.08 37.13 37.20 37.28 37.38	38.30 38.30 38.20 38.10
January, 1996	51.30	30.00

- o Take control of the marketing strategy
- -- Reinvest a portion of the cost savings
- -- Adopt a portfolio approach to marketing (de-emphasize brand management)
- -- Introduce regional brand strategies

-27-

STAGE THREE AT IMPERIAL TOBACCO: INTERNATIONAL SALES

# [GRAPHIC OMITTED]

Pound Sterling	in Millions
1987	37
1988	43
1989	42
1990	43
1991	49
1992	55
1993	61
1994	75
1995	117

- o Identify growth opportunities, especially international
- -- Prioritize resource allocation
- -- Aggressively enter newly developing markets
- -- Attain critical mass regionally, or exit

-28-

RESULTS AT IMPERIAL TOBACCO: OPERATING PROFIT GROWTH 1987-1995

# [GRAPHIC OMITTED]

Pound Sterling in Millions

-----

Operating	Profit
1987	144
1988	171
1989	222
1990	249
1991	256
1992	284
1993	308
1994	328
1995	348

-29-

#### CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

\_\_\_\_\_

- o Dale Hanson to join Board of Directors
  - -- CEO, CalPERS from 1987 to 1994 (third largest pension system in the world)
  - -- A leader of the shareholder rights movement
- o Independent Nabisco
  - -- Neither Brooke nor its affiliates will exercise any management control over Nabisco
- o Restrictions on affiliate transactions
  - -- Transactions greater than \$2 million per year between RJR Nabisco and Brooke Group will be subject to approval by stockholders of RJR Nabisco
- No staggered Board of Directors or poison pill at RJR Tobacco or Nabisco
- o Confidential voting on all future matters to be acted upon by shareholders
- o Termination of Directors' Retirement Plan
  - -- Current plan grants \$60,000 per year for up to 15 years

-30-

PROPOSED BOARD OF DIRECTORS

\_\_\_\_\_

-----

-31-

#### PROPOSED BOARD OF DIRECTORS

\_\_\_\_\_

o  $% \left( {{\mathbb{F}}_{{\mathbb{F}}}} \right)$  The Shareholder Board is a stronger Board than the Management Board

-- Both Tobacco operating experience and understanding of legal issues

	Brooke Group Program	Management Program
Tobacco Operating Experience(1)	5	1
Attorneys	3	1
Academics	1	1
Investment Bankers	1	0
Corporate Governance Experts	1	Θ
Food Operating Experience	0	2
"Honorary" Appointees	Θ	5
Total	11	10
	==	==

- -----

(1) More than three years

-32-

#### PROPOSED BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Brooke Group Program Director (Age) Experience - ---------Former U.S. Deputy Attorney General Arnold Burns(1) (65) Rouben Chakalian(2) (60) Chairman of Liggett, Ex-EVP, RJR International Robert Frome (56) Partner, Olshan Grundman Frome Ex-CEO, Imperial Tobacco Ronald Fulford (61) Ex-CEO, CalPERS Dale Hanson (53) Richard Lampen(2) (42) General Counsel, New Valley Bennett LeBow(2) (58) Chairman, Brooke Group Barry Ridings(1) (43) Managing Director, Alex. Brown William Starbuck (61) ITT Professor of Management, NYU Peter Strauss (63) Ex-SVP, American Tobacco Frederick Zuckerman (61) Ex-Treasurer, RJR, IBM and Chrysler

\_\_\_\_\_

\_ \_\_\_\_

(1) New Valley Independent Director

(2) Brooke Group Insider

-33-

SUMMARY

\_\_\_\_\_

-34-

Annual Rates of Return Since

RJR's IPO in 1991

[Reprint of the "LeBow LeBankrupt"	Brooke Groupe	29.3%
advertisement publicized		
by RJR Nabisco in various	RJR Nabisco	-0.5%
papers on March 27, 1996.]		

\_\_\_\_\_

-35-

o An immediate spinoff of Nabisco

- o \$2.00 Tobacco dividend
- Tobacco operations led by Ronald Fulford, ex-CEO of Imperial Tobacco (Hanson plc)
- o Corporate governance guidelines overseen by Dale Hanson
- o First option on litigation settlement

-36-

#### APPENDIX

(Pursuant to Rule 304 of Regulation S-T)

- 1. Page 3 contains a description in tabular form of a graph which represents the comparison of U.S. tobacco market share of RJR Nabisco and Philip Morris for the years 1985 through 1995, which graph is contained in the paper format of this Additional Soliciting Material being delivered to stockholders.
- 2. Page 25 contains a description in tabular form of a graph describing "Operating Income as Percent of Sales" and "Capital Employed as Percent of Sales" at the following companies during the following years: (i) EverReady South Africa (1983-1987); (ii) EverReady Britain (1983-1986); (iii) London Brick (1984-1988); and Imperial Tobacco (1986-1995); which graph is contained in the paper format of this Additional Soliciting Material being delivered to stockholders.
- 3. Page 26 contains a description in tabular form of a graph entitled "Head Count" which represents the comparison of the number of employees during the years 1987 through 1995, which graph is contained in the paper format of this Additional Soliciting Material being delivered to stockholders.
- 4. Page 26 contains a description in tabular form of a graph entitled "Productivity" which represents the comparison of the Percentage Improvement from September 1987 through December 1995, which graph is contained in the paper format of this Additional Soliciting Material being delivered to stockholders.
- 5. Page 27 contains a description in tabular form of a graph which represents market share of (i) Imperial Tobacco from December 1981 through January 1996 and (ii) Gallaher from December 1982 through January 1996, which graph is contained in the paper format of this Additional Soliciting Material being delivered to stockholders.
- 6. Page 28 contains a description in tabular form of a graph which represents international sales in millions of U.K. pounds during the years 1987 through 1995, which graph is contained in the paper format of this Additional Soliciting Material being delivered to stockholders.
- 7. Page 29 contains a description in tabular form of a graph which represents operating profit growth for the years 1987 through 1995, which graph is contained in the paper format of this Additional Soliciting Material being delivered to stockholders.
- 8. Page 35 contains a description in tabular form of a graph which represents a comparison of annual rates of return of RJR Nabisco and Brooke Group since RJR Nabisco's IPO in 1991, which graph is contained in paper format of this Additional Soliciting Material being delivered to stockholders.